NOSB RECOMMENDED DECISION FORM

Form NOPLIST2. Full Board Transmittal to NOP

For NOSB Meeting: Substance: Methionine										
April 2010 A. Evaluation Criteria (Applicability noted for each category; Documentation attached) Criteria Satisfied? (see B below)										
1. Impact on Humans and Environment Yes x No N/A										_
Essential & Availabil										
Compatibility & Cons										
	ly is Fragile or Potentially Unavailable as Organic (only for 606) Yes \(\Bar{\cup} \) N/A x									
B. Substance fails	C. Proposed Annotation:									
criteria?	for use only in organic poultry production until October 1, 2012, at the following maximum levels per ton of synthetic methionine in the feed ration: Laying chickens – 4 pounds per ton; Broiler									
Criteria category:	chickens – 5 pounds per ton; and Turkeys & all other poultry – 6 pounds per ton.									
2&3										
Comments: Even though	Basis for annotation: Recommendation from methionine task force, minus the words									
substance fails 2 criteria,	"calculated as the average pounds per ton "									
industry comment indicated need.	To meet criteria above: Criteria:									
D. Final Board Action & V	Other regulatory	criteria:	Citation:_							
D. Final Board Action &	vote (State Actua		n). <u>mend 7 CFR § 20</u> 5	5.603(d)	(1) as follows: D	L-Methic	onine	, DL-I	Methior	nine-
hydroxy analog, and DL-M		ky analo	g calcium (CAS #	-59-51-8	8; 63-68-3; 348-67	7-4)—for	use	only i	n orgar	nic
poultry production until O Laying chickens – 4 poun										
<u>.</u>										
Motion: Jeff Moyer Second: Tina Ellor Yes: 10 No: 4 Abstain: 0 Absent: 1										
	Agricultural		Nonagricultural		Crops					
	Synthetic	х	Not synthetic		Livestock	х				
	Allowed	X	Prohibited ²		Handling					
	No restriction		Deferred4		Rejected ³					
Provide a summary narrative here or attach a more complete narrative, and attach the original committee recommendation that includes the evaluation criteria checklist: 1—substance voted to be added as "allowed" on National List on National List to § 205. 603 with Annotation (if any): for use only in organic poultry production until October 1, 2012, at the following maximum levels per ton of synthetic methionine in the feed ration: Laying chickens – 4 pounds per ton; Broiler chickens – 5 pounds per ton; and Turkeys & all other poultry – 6 pounds per ton. 2—substance to be added to "prohibited" paragraph of National List to § 205 Describe why a prohibited substance:										
3—substance was rejected by vote for amending National List to § 205 Describe why material was rejected:										
4-substance was recommended to be deferred § 205 Describe why deferred; if any follow-up is needed. If follow-up needed, who conducts follow-up										
E. Approved by NOSB Chair to transmit to NOP										
Daniel G. Giacomini April 29, 2010 Chair Date										
F. NOP Action: Include in FR to amend National List: Return to NOSB Reason:										
					Date					

NOSB COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION

Form NOPLIST1. Committee Transmittal to NOSB

For NOSB Meeting: April 2010			Substance: Methionine (Livestock Committee motion)							
Committee: Crops Livestock X Handling Petition is for:removal of the annotation date of October 1, 2010 for Synthetic Methionine on the National List § 205.603.										
A. Evaluation Criter	ia (Applicability note	d for each	category; D	Oocumenta	ition a	attached) <u>C</u>	Criteria Sati	sfied?	(see B below)	
Impact on Hur	1. Impact on Humans and Environment						Yes X N	o 🗆	N/A	
2. Essential & Av	vailability Criteria						Yes 🗆 N	No X	N/A □	
3. Compatibility 8	& Consistency						Yes 🗆 N	No X	N/A 🛚	
Commercial Supply is Fragile or Potentially Unavailable as Organic (or					c (onl	y for 606)	Yes □ N	lo 🗆	N/A X	
B. Substance Fails Criteria Category: <u>2 & 3</u> Comments: High use levels of synthetic MET does not meet consumer expectations nor follow the principles of organic agriculture. Different management practices and housing strategies are much preferred to purchased inputs in organic farming. Material will still be on the National List, but with a new step down rate of use. The Livestock Committee hopes to stimulate further development and management changes in the organic poultry industry that will meet consumer expectations and organic principles. Along with the Animal Welfare Recommendation that was passed in November 2009, which will eventually include stocking rates for poultry, the committee believes these goals will be met.										
	C. Proposed Annotation (if any):to amend 7 CFR § 205.603(d)(1) as follows: DL-Methionine, DL-Methionine-hydroxy analog, and DL-Methionine hydroxyl analog calcium (CAS #-59-51-8; 63-68-3; 348-67-4)—for use only in organic poultry									
production until October 1, 2012, at the following maximum levels per ton of synthetic methionine in the feed ration:										
Laying chickens – 4 ton. After October 1	pounds per ton; Bi 2012 at the follow	oiler chic	<u>:kens – 5 p</u> num levels	ounds per	ton; Lavir	and Turkeys	s & all other	r poult - 2 pc	ry – 6 pounds per	
Turkeys and all other				per torr.	Luyii	ig and brone	ornonciis	2 00	dias per ton, una	
Basis for annotation: To meet criteria above:X Other regulatory criteria: Citation:										
To meet consumer expectations of organic poultry production, yet allow additional time for the development of natural alternatives to Synthetic Methionine.										
D. Recommended Committee Action & Vote (State Actual Motion): _to amend 7 CFR § 205.603(d)(1) as follows: DL-Methionine, DL-Methionine-hydroxy analog, and DL-Methionine hydroxy analog calcium (CAS #-59-51-8; 63-68-3; 348-67-4)—for use only in organic poultry production until October 1, 2012, at the following maximum levels per ton of synthetic methionine in the feed ration: Laying chickens – 4 pounds per ton; Broiler chickens – 5 pounds per ton; and Turkeys & all other poultry – 6 pounds per ton. After October 1, 2012 the following maximum levels per ton: Laying and Broiler chickens – 2 pounds per ton; and Turkeys and all other poultry - 3 pounds per ton.										
Motion by: Dan Giaco	omini Seconded:	Jeff Moye	er \	/es: 5	_ N	lo: 0	Absent: 3		Abstain: 0	
	Crops	Agri	icultural			Allowed ¹		Х		
	Livestock	X Non	Non-Synthetic			Prohibited ²				
	Handling	Syn	thetic		Χ	Rejected ³				
	No restriction		nmercially Unitable as Or			Deferred ⁴				
Substance voted to	1) Substance voted to be added as "allowed" on National List to § 205with Annotation (if any)									
2) Substance to be added as "prohibited" on National List to § 205with Annotation (if any)										
Describe why a prohibited substance:										
3) Substance was rejected by vote for amending National List to § 205. 603 Describe why material was rejected										
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,										
4) Substance was recommended to be deferred because If follow-up needed, who will follow up										
E. Approved by Committee Chair to transmit to NOSB:										
Kevin K. Enge	Kevin K. Engebert February 23, 2010 Date									
Committee Chair			Da	ite						