

wage area. All other criteria are indeterminate. Since the distance criterion indicates that Ouray, San Juan, and San Miguel Counties are closer to the Northeastern Arizona survey area, we recommend that these three counties be redefined to the Northeastern Arizona wage area.

The Federal Prevailing Rate Advisory Committee (FPRAC), the national labor-management committee responsible for advising OPM on matters concerning the pay of FWS employees, recommended these changes by consensus. These changes would be effective on the first day of the first applicable pay period beginning on or after 30 days following publication of the final regulations. FPRAC recommended no other changes in the geographic definitions of the Northeastern Arizona and Southern Colorado wage areas.

**Regulatory Flexibility Act**

I certify that these regulations would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities because they would affect only Federal agencies and employees.

**List of Subjects in 5 CFR Part 532**

Administrative practice and procedure, Freedom of information, Government employees, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements, Wages.

U.S. Office of Personnel Management.

**John Berry,**  
*Director.*

Accordingly, the U.S. Office of Personnel Management is proposing to amend 5 CFR part 532 as follows:

**PART 532—PREVAILING RATE SYSTEMS**

1. The authority citation for part 532 continues to read as follows:

**Authority:** 5 U.S.C. 5343, 5346; § 532.707 also issued under 5 U.S.C. 552.

2. Appendix C to subpart B is amended by revising the wage area listings for the Northeastern Arizona and Colorado wage areas to read as follows:

**Appendix C to Subpart B of Part 532—Appropriated Fund Wage and Survey Areas**

\* \* \* \* \*

**ARIZONA**

**Northeastern Arizona**

*Survey Area*

Arizona:  
Apache  
Coconino  
Navajo

New Mexico:  
McKinley  
San Juan

*Area of Application. Survey Area plus:*

Colorado:  
Dolores  
Gunnison (Only includes the Curecanti National Recreation Area portion)  
La Plata  
Montezuma  
Montrose  
Ouray  
San Juan  
San Miguel  
Utah:  
Kane  
San Juan (Does not include the Canyonlands National Park portion)

\* \* \* \* \*

**COLORADO**

\* \* \* \* \*

**Southwestern Colorado**

*Survey Area*

Colorado:  
El Paso  
Pueblo  
Teller

*Area of Application. Survey Area plus:*

Colorado:  
Alamosa  
Archuleta  
Baca  
Bent  
Chaffee  
Cheyenne  
Conejos  
Costilla  
Crowley  
Custer  
Delta  
Fremont  
Gunnison (Does not include the Curecanti National Recreation Area portion)  
Hinsdale  
Huerfano  
Kiowa  
Kit Carson  
Las Animas  
Lincoln  
Mineral  
Otero  
Pitkin  
Prowers  
Rio Grande  
Saguache

\* \* \* \* \*

[FR Doc. 2011-3769 Filed 2-18-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE 6325-39-P**

**DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE**

**Agricultural Marketing Service**

**7 CFR Part 1214**

[Document No. AMS-FV-10-0008-PR-1A]

RIN 0581-AD00

**Proposed Christmas Tree Promotion, Research, and Information Order; Extension of Comment Period on Proposed Establishment of a Program**

**AGENCY:** Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

**ACTION:** Reopening and extension of comment period.

**SUMMARY:** Notice is hereby given that the comment period on the proposed establishment of an industry-funded promotion, research, and information program for fresh cut Christmas trees is reopened and extended. The comment period is also reopen and extended for the new Christmas tree information collection requirements by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for the operation of the proposed program. The proposed Christmas Tree Promotion, Research, and Information Order (Proposed Order), was submitted to the Department of Agriculture (Department) by the Christmas Tree Checkoff Task Force, an industry wide group of producers and importers that support this proposed program.

**DATES:** Comments must be received by March 9, 2011. Pursuant to the Paperwork Reduction Act (PRA), comments on the information collection burden that would result from this proposal must be received by March 9, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are invited to submit written comments on the Internet at <http://www.regulations.gov> or to the Research and Promotion Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Stop 0244, Room 0632-S, Washington, DC 20250-0244; fax: (202) 205-2800. All comments should reference the docket number and the date and page number of this issue of the **Federal Register** and will be made available for public inspection in the above office during regular business hours or can be viewed at <http://www.regulations.gov>. All comments submitted in response to this proposed rule will be included in the record and will be made available to the public. Please be advised that the identity of the individuals or entities submitting comments will be made public on the Internet at the address provided above.

Pursuant to PRA, comments regarding the accuracy of the burden estimate, ways to minimize the burden, including the use of automated collection techniques or other forms of information technology, or any other aspect of this collection of information, should be sent to the above address. In addition, comments concerning the information collection should also be sent to the Desk Office for Agriculture, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, New Executive Office Building, 725 17th Street, NW., Room 725, Washington, DC 20503.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Patricia A. Petrella, Marketing Specialist, Research and Promotion Branch, Fruit and Vegetable Programs, AMS, USDA, 1400 Independence Avenue, SW., Room 0632, Stop 0244, Washington, DC 20250-0244; *telephone*: (301) 334-2891; or *facsimile*: (301) 334-2896; or *e-mail*: [Patricia.Petrella@ams.usda.gov](mailto:Patricia.Petrella@ams.usda.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** A proposed rule was issued on November 2, 2010, and published in the **Federal Register** on November 8, 2010, (75 FR 68512). That rule proposed the establishment of an industry-funded promotion, research, and information program for fresh cut Christmas trees.

USDA was contacted by a congressman and received several letters from North Carolina growers requesting the comment period be reopened and extended to allow additional time to submit their comments. The growers also expressed that the comment period was open during their busiest time of the year.

USDA is reopening the comment period an additional 15 days to allow interested persons more time to review the proposed rule, perform a complete analysis, and submit written comments.

This notice is issued pursuant to the Commodity Promotion, Research, and Information Act of 1996 (1996 Act) (7 U.S.C. 7411-7425).

Dated: February 16, 2011.

**Rayne Pegg,**

*Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service.*

[FR Doc. 2011-3934 Filed 2-18-11; 8:45 am]

**BILLING CODE P**

**DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY**

**10 CFR Parts 430 and 431**

[Docket No. EE-2008-BT-STD-0012]

**Equipment Price Forecasting in Energy Conservation Standards Analysis**

**AGENCY:** Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Department of Energy.

**ACTION:** Notice of data availability; request for comment.

**SUMMARY:** The U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) seeks information related to potential technical improvements its energy conservation standards rulemaking analysis, and requests comment on corresponding revisions to the analysis for energy conservation standards for refrigerators, refrigerator-freezers and freezers.

**DATES:** Written comments and information are requested on or before March 24, 2011.

**ADDRESSES:** Interested persons are encouraged to submit comments using the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>. Follow the instructions for submitting comments. Alternatively, interested persons may submit comments, identified by docket number EE-2008-BT-STD-0012, by any of the following methods:

- *E-mail:* to [ResRefFreez-2008-STD-0012@hq.doe.gov](mailto:ResRefFreez-2008-STD-0012@hq.doe.gov). Include EE-2008-BT-STD-0012 in the subject line of the message.
- *Mail:* Ms. Brenda Edwards, U.S. Department of Energy, Building Technologies Program, Mailstop EE-2J, Equipment Price Forecasting in Energy Conservation Standards Analysis, EE-2008-BT-STD-0012, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585-0121. *Phone:* (202) 586-2945. Please submit one signed paper original.
- *Hand Delivery/Courier:* Ms. Brenda Edwards, U.S. Department of Energy, Building Technologies Program, 6th Floor, 950 L'Enfant Plaza, SW., Washington, DC 20024. *Phone:* (202) 586-2945. Please submit one signed paper original.

*Instructions:* All submissions received must include the agency name and docket number for this rulemaking.

*Docket:* For access to the docket to read background documents, or comments received, go to the Federal eRulemaking Portal at <http://www.regulations.gov>.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:**

Requests for additional information may be sent to Mr. John Cymbalsky, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of Energy

Efficiency and Renewable Energy, Building Technologies Program, EE-2J, 1000 Independence Avenue, SW., Washington, DC 20585-0121. *Telephone:* 202-586-4617. *E-mail:* [Lucas.Adin@ee.doe.gov](mailto:Lucas.Adin@ee.doe.gov).

In the office of the General Counsel, contact Ms. Elizabeth Kohl, U.S. Department of Energy, Office of the General Counsel, GC-71,1000 Independence Ave., SW., Room 6A-179, Washington, DC 20585. *Telephone:* 202-586-7796; *E-mail:* [Elizabeth.Kohl@hq.doe.gov](mailto:Elizabeth.Kohl@hq.doe.gov).

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** On January 18, 2011, the President issued Executive Order (the Order) 13563, meant to ensure that regulations seek more affordable, less intrusive means to achieve policy goals, and that agencies give careful consideration to the benefits and costs of those regulations. Among other things, the Order requires agencies propose or adopt a regulation only upon a reasoned determination that its benefits justify its costs, the regulation imposes the least burden on society consistent with obtaining the regulatory objectives, and that in choosing among alternative regulatory approaches, agencies choose those approaches that maximize net benefits.

The Order also contains provisions that bear on the analysis of benefits and costs. It provides that agencies must "use the best available techniques to quantify anticipated present and future benefits and costs as accurately as possible." In subsequent guidance on February 2, 2011, the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs explained that such techniques include "identifying changing future compliance costs that might result from technological innovation or anticipated behavioral changes."

In light of the Order, DOE has examined its processes for establishing energy efficiency standards for consumer products and commercial equipment. In examining its analytical approaches for developing these regulations, DOE has developed a supplemental approach to help quantify the impacts flowing from the setting of efficiency levels for a given product or equipment. This approach is intended to improve accuracy in the assessment of future compliance costs. As part of this notice, DOE is soliciting comment on the potential inclusion of this approach for its future rulemaking activities. Additionally, DOE is seeking comment on the merits of adopting this approach within the context of its ongoing rulemaking to set standards for refrigerators, refrigerator-freezers, and