USDA Beef Carcass Grading Instrument and Establishment Employee Augmented Grading (IEEAG) Program

1 Purpose

This document provides the requirements for Establishments that wish to utilize the Instrument and Establishment Employee Augmented Grading (IEEAG) Program for applying the US Standards for Carcass Beef.

2 SCOPE

This document sets forth the requirements for monitoring plant personnel applying the USDA Beef Grading Standards utilizing approved instrument. Applicants desiring to participate in this program must submit a USDA Process Verified (PV) or Quality System Assessment (QSA) program to the Quality Assessment Division (QAD) which addresses all aspects and requirements set forth in this document to include documentation and procedures for corrective actions. Grading activities utilizing the grading instrument by the certified plant employee will be in accordance with the US Standards for Carcass Beef. Application of the US Beef Grading Standards and will be monitored and verified by a QAD agent utilizing this document and the Applicant's approved Quality Systems Assessment program.

3 References

GVD 1000 Procedure, Quality Systems Verification Programs General Policies and Procedures GVD 1001 Procedure, USDA Process Verified Program (PVP)
GVD 1002 Procedure, Quality System Assessment (QSA) Program

4 Responsibilities

4.1 Plant Responsibilities

- a. Ensuring proper validation of the instrument.
- b. Daily documentation of validation parameters.
- c. Ensuring that the QAD agent is notified when the instrument does not properly validate.
- d. Ensuring that the instrument is not used until properly validated.
- e. Validation of the instrument at the beginning of the shift. Additional validation shall occur after mid-shift meal breaks and any time there is a production stoppage of more than 30 minutes.
- f. Ensuring that the instrument operator is properly trained and training is documented.
- g. Ensuring proper image capture.

"The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, age, disability, and where applicable sex, marital status, familial status, parental status, religion, sexual orientation, genetic information, political beliefs, reprisal, or because all or part of an individual's income is derived from any public assistance program (not all prohibited bases apply to all programs.) Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) should contact USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD). To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, 1400 Independence Avenue, S.W., Washington, D.C. 20250-9410, or call (800) 795-3272 (voice) or (202) 720-6382 (TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer." (Updated July 18, 2005)

Date Issued 09/13/12 Date Revised 11/12/13 Approved by JLR

Agricultural Marketing Service Quality Assessment Division 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Stop 3960 Washington, DC 20250 QAD 1010 November 12, 2013 Page 2 of 6

- h. Ensuring that carcasses not represented by an image, when the instrument is properly functioning, are not assigned a quality grade. All carcasses must be represented by a retrievable image when the instrument is functioning.
- i. Ensuring plant employee applying the USDA Grade Standards has been certified by QAD to apply the grade standards.
- j. Ensuring that preventative and corrective actions are taken when observations and findings indicate potential noncompliance.
- k. Ensuring that a documented quality control system is in place to monitor and identify issues related to sawing, ribbing, carcass splitting, or other presentation defects, on a continuous basis, throughout each shift. Quality Control documentation will be made available to the QAD agent upon request.
- 1. Assuring carcasses are adequately chilled and ribbed a minimum of ten minutes prior to presentation for instrument grading or traditional grading.
- m. Ensuring that the instrument technology is operated in accordance with the manufacturer's guidance.
- n. Providing instrument assessment data and ribeye images (color or black and white) to the QAD agent monitoring the system as requested.
- o. Ensure images are sharp and clear (properly focused and not blurred).
- p. Ensure the ribeye surfaces are free of processing debris that would negatively impact instrument prediction.
- q. Ensure camera placement includes the 12th-13th rib cross section.
- r. Ensure control measures are being conducted to verify proper alignment of camera mechanism on carcass surface.
- s. Provide the QAD Agent with a copy of the unprocessed and processed images for each carcass selected for the AQL review of image capture.

4.2 Quality Assessment Division Responsibilities

- a. Plant employee designated to apply the USDA grade standards utilizing the instrument must at a minimum possess a four-year university degree, or three (3) years of meat related experience, and/or a combination of education and experience.
- b. QAD will determine the examinations, correlations, etc., administered to the plant employee to ascertain knowledge of the grading standards.
- c. The QAD agent will review, understand and verify the Applicant's approved QSA program.
- d. Utilize the Program Monitoring Procedures noted below.
- e. Verify, as applicable, that the instrument(s) is/are on an approved list via serial numbers, etc.
- f. Verify that all components (camera head, cable and controller box) have the same ID number.
- g. Daily completion of the QAD Grading Checklists (Exhibit A, B).
- h. Conduct traditional grading, temporarily, as needed if the instrument fails to validate.

5 Program Requirements

5.1 Program Monitoring Procedures - Certified plant employees applying the grade standards based on instrument augmentation shall identify carcasses that comply for designated grades based on the USDA Beef Grade Standards. The QAD agent shall record all defects observed throughout the entire number of samples required.

TABLE I. MONITORING (Normal)

| Slaughter Rate | Sample Size | Accept/Reject Criteria |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|
| Number of cattle per shift | (Number of carcasses) | (Normal Level of Review) |
| Up to 1000 | 80 | 5/6 |
| 1001 to 1600 | 120 | 7/8 |
| 1601 to 2200 | 160 | 9/10 |
| Over 2200 | 200 | 11/12 |

Once the final grade has been determined, the QAD agent will randomly select the appropriate number of carcasses for review and record those that are not accurately identified per the USDA Beef Grade Standards. Factors that may be the cause of an inaccurate grade designation include, but not limited to:

- Maturity
- Dark cutting characteristics
- Blood splash
- Callous
- Improperly ribbed carcasses
- Improper sawing
- Bone dust/sawing debris/fat on the ribeye that causes the instrument to provide an invalid marbling score

5.2 Changing Levels of Monitoring Activities

- a) If during a single shift the QAD agent records inaccurately graded carcasses exceeding the acceptable level noted in Table I, the plant will be moved to Tightened sampling for the next production day for that shift. Tightened sampling levels are in Table II.
- b) Tightened sampling procedures will continue for three (3) consecutive days. If after three (3) consecutive days the plant demonstrates that they have taken corrective action they shall move back to normal sampling procedures. Corrective action may include re-evaluation of the plant employee, by QAD by means of a written correlation.
- c) If the QAD agent observes/records more than the acceptable number of inaccurately graded carcasses while on tightened sampling the plant will go to traditional grading by QAD agents, minimum of two (2) per shift, for a 1-week period of time. The Applicant will be responsible for all associated travel costs and per diem incurred to travel additional graders to their location.

- d) After one-week of traditional grading by QAD agents, the plant may be allowed to return to utilizing license plant personnel to apply the grades, pending adequate corrective and preventative actions have been taken. The program will resume with three (3) consecutive days of tightened monitoring, with acceptable results, prior to returning to normal sampling.
- e) Reduced Sampling If monitoring shows that grades and certification are properly applied per Table I under Normal levels for 20 consecutive days, then sampling frequency is reduced per Table III. Monitoring remains at the Reduced levels unless the maximum number of defects is exceeded. If this number is exceeded, monitoring switches to the Normal level.

Table II – Monitoring (Tightened)

| Slaughter Rate Number of cattle per shift | Sample Size (Number of carcasses) | Accept/Reject Criteria (Tightened Level of Review) |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| Up to 1000 | 80 | 3/4 |
| 1001 to 1600 | 120 | 5/6 |
| 1601 to 2200 | 160 | 7/8 |
| Over 2200 | 200 | 9/10 |

Table III – Monitoring (Reduced)

| Slaughter Rate | Sample Size | Accept/Reject Criteria |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------------|
| Number of cattle per shift | (Number of carcasses) | (Tightened Level of Review) |
| Up to 1000 | 50 | 3/4 |
| 1001 to 1600 | 80 | 5/6 |
| 1601 to 2200 | 120 | 7/8 |
| Over 2200 | 160 | 9/10 |

5.3 Gross Non-Compliance – QAD management may suspend the approval of the plant's application of carcass grades and identification of Carcass Schedules when significant numbers of obvious misgradings occur, beyond those being monitored under reduced, normal or tightened monitoring procedures. Actions to identify and correct the non-conformance by the Applicant will be immediately addressed.

5.4 Non-Conformances (**NC**) – The QAD agent shall:

- 1) Issue NC's (Exhibit B) for program deviations and/or deficiencies observed during monitoring activities outside of the designated monitoring/sampling noted in Table I, Table II, or Table III
- 2) Require a written response to each NC within one business day of receipt of the NC. The NC response shall include actions taken to correct as well as prevent reoccurrences of the nonconformance.
- 3) The QAD agent will retain copies of NC's and applicant's responses in the files. The QAD agent will provide copies of NC's and applicant's responses to the immediate QAD supervisor and the QAD Office.

Agricultural Marketing Service

Quality Assessment Division 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Stop 3960 Washington, DC 20250 QAD 1010 November 12, 2013 Page 5 of 6

Depending on the severity of the NC, failure to respond to NC's and to take corrective actions, within the specified timeframe may cause suspension of the program.

5.5 Certifying Plant Employees –A QAD management Team member will certify qualified plant employees responsible for program integrity and application of the Grading Standards. This will be accomplished through a process that includes both a written exam and a carcass cooler correlation. The qualified plant employee must achieve a passing score on both the written and carcass correlation sections of the testing. (Note: Carcasses used in a correlation for the purpose of certifying plant employees must represent a full range of factors that will adequately demonstrate the individual's knowledge, skills and ability to perform authorized duties.)

Upon satisfactory completion of training and testing, plant employees will be certified to perform authorization grading duties, including identification for Carcass Schedules where applicable. Certification of plant employees will be required to be renewed every two years by appropriate QAD management. This will include both a written exam and carcass cooler correlation. In addition, a record designating that each certified employee has completed and passed the required tests, signed by the QAD Branch Chief and the certified plant employee, shall be issued and must be on file at the Applicant's facility as well as the QAD Headquarters office. When QAD is requested to assist in the training of the plants employee, the Applicant shall incur any additional costs, including travel costs associated with the training of their designated employees.

- **5.6** Continuing evaluation of Certified plant employee The certified plant employee will be evaluated and tested on a continuing basis to ensure adequate knowledge of the Beef Grading standards and carcass schedule requirements. Evaluations will occur monthly, via written tests and/or written correlations. The certified plant employee is expected to maintain a high level of knowledge of the requirements for the position, and a high level of integrity in support of QAD programs.
- **5.7 QAD Equipment** Where applicable, USDA stamps used for identifying carcasses will be provided by the QAD. USDA stamps and roller brands will be secured by USDA employees when not in use. Only trained plant employees or QAD agents are authorized to use this equipment. Misuse of issued equipment may cause suspension of the plants approved program.
- **5.8 Meetings** The integrity of QAD programs must be maintained at all times. The QAD agent will interact with the company and schedule meetings as needed to discuss issues and/or observations for promoting continuous improvement of the program. The applicant will record minutes detailing the issues discussed during the meetings. Copies of the minutes will be maintained for the files.

6 Applications

6.1 Submission of Proposals

Prospective applicants are required to submit a written QSV program which must be approved prior to the commencement of activities associated with this program. The QSV program must address each required

Date Issued 09/13/12 Date Revised 11/12/13



United States Department of Agriculture Agricultural Marketing Service Quality Assessment Division 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Stop 3960 Washington, DC 20250 QAD 1010 November 12, 2013 Page 6 of 6

area and outline specific procedures used to ensure that these requirements are met. Each applicant shall submit an electronic copy to: QAD.supportService@ams.usda.gov. Applicants may also send a hard copy of their program to:

USDA, AMS, LPS, Quality Assessment Division

Grading and Auditing Branch Chief 1400 Independence Avenue SW, Stop 3960 Washington, DC 20250

The QAD will assign the submitted program to a QAD auditor for review who will notify applicants of their programs status within 20 working days of receipt.

6.2 Amendments to Programs

Amendments to approved programs shall be submitted to the QAD for review and approval prior to implementation. The Grading and Auditing Branch Chief or designee shall notify the applicant of amendment status within 10 (10) working days of receipt and shall issue a notice to the applicant indicating amendment approval or denial.

6.3 Charges for Providing Service - The applicable QAD commitment hourly fee shall be charged for the in-house QAD agent performing the monitoring activities. The non-commitment hourly fee and all travel costs incurred for additional agents, if needed, shall be borne by the Applicant.