Formal Recommendation From: National Organic Standards Board (NOSB) To: the National Organic Program (NOP)

Date:	October 17, 2012
Subject:	Petition to add L-Methionine to the National List at §205.605
Chair:	Barry Flamm
The NOS	SB hereby recommends to the NOP the following:
Rulemak	ing Action: ✓ Petition Passed
	e Statement:
Other:	
Stateme	ent of Recommendation: (Motion # 1) Passed
	to classify L-Methionine as petitioned on 205.605(b) as synthetic, non-agricultural.
Pational	lo Supporting Posemmendation (including consistency with OEDA and NOD):
	le Supporting Recommendation (including consistency with OFPA and NOP): onine, as petitioned, is a wholly synthetic manufactured ingredient.
L-IVIECITIC	mine, as petitioned, is a wholly synthetic mandiactured ingredient.
	tee Vote:
	Tracy Favre
	John Foster
Yes:	15 No: 0 Abstain: 0 Absent: 0 Recuse 0 Page 1 revised 10/12 ma

Statement of Recommendation: (Motion # 2)	Passed
Motion to list L Methionine as petitioned for inclusion on 205.605(b with soy-based protein.). For use only in infant formula made
Rationale Supporting Recommendation (including consistency	v with OFPA and NOP):
Methionine is an essential amino acid that cannot be synthesized by a dietary supplement in humans and a feed additive in livestock. Phrofor nitrogen balance, cell metabolism, protein formation, and growt number of soy-based baby formulas are supplemented with L-meth milk-based formula or breast milk) does not provide adequate levels growth, nitrogen balance, and plasma albumin concentrations (Agos L-Methionine is required in soy based infant formulas in order to me quality at 21 CFR 107.100 (f). The Handling subcommittee recomme that if L-Methionine is not added to soy formula there would be no discussed the fact that protein is the essential building block and the source of non-milk protein available in commerce for use for infant	ysiologically, methionine is required th (Brosnan and Brosnan, 2006). A ionine because soy formula (unlike s of methionine to ensure adequate stoni et al., 2006). Therefore eet FDA requirements for protein ands approval, acknowledging the fact organic soy based formula. The group ere does not seem to be an alternate
Committee Vote:	
Moved: Tracy Favre	
Seconded: Jean Pichardson	

Abstain: 0

No: 2

Yes: 13

Absent: 0

Recuse: 0

National Organic Standards Board Handling Subcommittee Petitioned Material Proposal L-Methionine

July 3, 2012

Summary of Proposed Action:

The Team Leader for Infant Formula Regulation at FDA provided information about the need for L-Methionine in soy based formulas in order to meet requirements for protein quality at 21 CFR 107.100 (f). Subcommittee members have reservations about approving synthetic L Methionine, because toxic solvents are used in extraction process. However the subcommittee recommends approval, acknowledging the fact that if L-Methionine is not added to soy formula there would be no organic soy based formula. The group discussed the fact that protein is the essential building block and there does not seem to be an alternate source of non-milk protein available in commerce for use for infant formula.

Additional comments: The Handling Subcommittee would welcome public comment about alternatives.

Evalu	ation Criteria		
(Appli	cability noted for each category; Documentation attached)	Criteria	i
Satisf	ied? (see "B" below)		
1.	Impact on Humans and Environment	☐ Yes	Χ
	No □ N/A		
2.	Essential & Availability Criteria	X Yes	
	No □ N/A		
3.	Compatibility & Consistency	☐ Yes	
	No X N/A		
4.	Commercial Supply is Fragile or Potentially Unavailable	X Yes	
	No □ N/A		
	as Organic (only for § 205.606)		
Subs	tance Fails Criteria Category: [] Comments:		
Propo	osed Annotation (if any):		
Ci	asis for annotation: ☐ To meet criteria above ☐ Other regulatory tation otes:	criteria [
Reco	mmended Committee Action & Vote, including classification recon	nmendati	ion

(state actual motion):

Classification Motion: Motion to list L-Methionine on 205.605(b) as synthetic, non-agricultural.

Motion by: TF Seconded by: HA

Yes: # 6 No: # 0 Absent: # 1 Abstain: #0 Recuse: #0

Listing Motion: Motion to list L Methionine for inclusion on 205.605(b). For use only in infant formula and medical nutritional enteral products labeled organic or made with organic (specified ingredients or food group(s)) soy-based protein.

Motion by: TF Seconded by: HA

Yes: #6 No: #0 Absent: #1 Abstain: #0 Recuse: #0

Crops		Agricultural		Allowed ¹	Х
Livestock		Non-synthetic		Prohibited ²	
Handling	Х	Synthetic	Х	Rejected ³	
2No restriction		Commercial unavailable as organic	X	Deferred ⁴	

¹Substance voted to be added as "allowed" on National List to § 205.605(b) with Annotation (if any): For use in or on processed infant formula labeled as "organic" or "made with organic ingredients". Annotated: For use only in formula made with isolated soy-based protein.

Describe why a prohibited substance:

Approved by Committee Chair to Transmit to NOSB

John Foster, Committee Chair

July 3, 2012

²Substance to be added as "prohibited" on National List to § 205. with Annotation (if any):

³Substance was rejected by vote for amending National List to § 205. Describe why material was rejected:

⁴Substance was recommended to be deferred because If follow-up needed, who will follow up:

NOSB Evaluation Criteria for Substances Added To the National List

Category 1. Adverse impacts on humans or the environment? Substance: L-Methionine

	Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition;
					regulatory agency; other)
	Are there adverse effects on environment from manufacture, use, or disposal? [§205.600 b.2]	X	X		Yes, manufacture of synthetic L-Methionine typically is obtained from a precursor DL-Methionine, which uses Cyanide, considered an extremely toxic and volatile chemical. Inadvertent release of Cyanide has happened and has caused environmental damage.
2.	Is there environmental contamination during manufacture, use, misuse, or disposal? [§6518 m.3]	X	X		Yes, see above comment.
3.	Is the substance harmful to the environment and biodiversity? [§6517c(1)(A)(i);6517(c)(2)(A)i]	X			Continued use of synthetically manufactured L-Methionine has the potential to delay the development of naturally obtained sources, including aquatic sources
4.	Does the substance contain List 1, 2 or 3 inerts? [§6517 c (1)(B)(ii); 205.601(m)2]			Х	
5.	Is there potential for detrimental chemical interaction with other materials used? [§6518 m.1]	X			Over supplementation of L- Methionine has shown to have detrimental effect on the uptake of other critical amino acids
6.	Are there adverse biological and chemical interactions in agroecosystem? [§6518 m.5]		X		L-Methionine breaks down fairly quickly in the environment and is therefore not considered a risk to soil or water health.
7.	Are there detrimental physiological effects on soil organisms, crops, or livestock? [§6518 m.5]		X		See above comments
8.	Is there a toxic or other adverse action of the material or its breakdown products? [§6518 m.2]		X		
9.	Is there undesirable persistence or		Χ		

concentration of the material or breakdown products in environment? [§6518 m.2]			
10. Is there any harmful effect on human health? [§6517 c (1)(A)(i); 6517 c(2)(A)i; §6518 m.4]	X	X	Over supplementation of L-Methionine is hepatoxic, causing fatty deposits in the liver. Normal supplementation has not shown detrimental effects, and is, in fact, an essential amino acid.
11. Is there an adverse effect on human health as defined by applicable Federal regulations? [205.600 b.3]		X	
12. Is the substance GRAS when used according to FDA's good manufacturing practices? [§205.600 b.5]	X		
13. Does the substance contain residues of heavy metals or other contaminants in excess of FDA tolerances? [§205.600 b.5]		Х	

¹If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.

NOSB Evaluation Criteria for Substances Added To the National List Category 2. Is the Substance Essential for Organic Production?

Substance: L-Methionine

	Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
1.	Is the substance formulated or manufactured by a chemical process? [6502 (21)]	X	X		Both synthetic and non-synthetic methods exist, but only the synthetic method is commercially available.
2.	Is the substance formulated or manufactured by a process that chemically changes a substance extracted from naturally occurring plant, animal, or mineral, sources? [6502 (21)]	X	X		The manufacture of L-Methionine can be accomplished from natural materials, however, only the completely synthetic methods are commercially viable.
3.	Is the substance created by naturally occurring biological processes? [6502 (21)]	X			L-Methionine is an essential amino acid that is obtained in the human diet from meat, dairy and some grains. The human body is not able to synthesize it.
4.	Is there a natural source of the substance? [§205.600 b.1]	X			See above
5.	Is there an organic substitute? [§205.600 b.1]	X			Yes, but not commercially viable at this time.
6.	Is the substance essential for handling of organically produced agricultural products? [§205.600 b.6]		Х		
7.	Is there a wholly natural substitute product? [§6517 c (1)(A)(ii)]	Х			It may be obtained from natural, whole food sources.
8.	Is the substance used in handling, not synthetic, but not organically produced? [§6517 c (1)(B)(iii)]		X		
9.	Is there any alternative substances? [§6518 m.6]	X	X		Cow's milk, meat and some grains are sources, but for soy-based formulas, L-methionine is not available in sufficient amounts to meet the dietary requirements of infants.
10	. Is there another practice that would make the substance unnecessary? [§6518 m.6]	X			Breastfeeding would eliminate the need for soy-based formulas

NOSB Evaluation Criteria for Substances Added To the National List

Category 3. Is the substance compatible with organic production practices? Substance: L-Methionine

	Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition;
					regulatory agency; other)
1.	Is the substance compatible with organic handling? [§205.600 b.2]		X		Previous TAP and TR indicate that the synthetic manufacture of L-methionine is not considered compatible with organic handling
2.	Is the substance consistent with organic farming and handling? [§6517 c (1)(A)(iii); 6517 c (2)(A)(ii)]		X	X	Petitioned use is for soy-based infant formula only, but is not consistent with organic farming.
3.	Is the substance compatible with a system of sustainable agriculture? [§6518 m.7]			X	
4.	Is the nutritional quality of the food maintained with the substance? [§205.600 b.3]	X			In fact, this is the only justification for inclusion of L-methionine in soy-based formulas
5.	Is the primary use as a preservative? [§205.600 b.4]		X		
6.	Is the primary use to recreate or improve flavors, colors, textures, or nutritive values lost in processing (except when required by law, e.g., vitamin D in milk)? [205.600 b.4]	X			It is required in order to bring nutrient contents of soy-based formula up to milk-based formulas and mother's milk.
7.	production, and does it contain an active synthetic ingredient in the following categories: copper and sulfur compounds;	X			This is a sulfur-based amino acid.
	 a. toxins derived from bacteria; b. pheromones, soaps, horticultural oils, fish emulsions, treated seed, vitamins and minerals? 			X	
	c. livestock parasiticides and medicines?			Х	
	d. production aids including netting, tree wraps and seals, insect traps, sticky barriers, row covers, and equipment cleaners?			X	

NOSB Evaluation Criteria for Substances Added To the National List

Category 4. Is the commercial supply of an agricultural substance as organic, fragile or potentially unavailable? [§6610, 6518, 6519, 205.2, 205.105 (d), 205.600 (c) 205.2, 205.105 (d), 205.600 (c)] Substance: Name L-Methionine

	Question	Yes	No	N/A ¹	Documentation (TAP; petition; regulatory agency; other)
	Is the comparative description provided as to why the non-organic form of the material /substance is necessary for use in organic handling?	X			
	Does the current and historical industry information, research, or evidence provided explain how or why the material /substance cannot be obtained organically in the appropriate form to fulfill an essential function in a system of organic handling?	X			
3.	Does the current and historical industry information, research, or evidence provided explain how or why the material /substance cannot be obtained organically in the appropriate quality to fulfill an essential function in a system of organic handling?		X		Quality of the substance has not been discussed, rather the commercial availability of the organic version
4.	Does the current and historical industry information, research, or evidence provided explain how or why the material /substance cannot be obtained organically in the appropriate quantity to fulfill an essential function in a system of organic handling?	X			
5.	Does the industry information provided on material / substance non-availability as organic, include (but not limited to) the following: a. Regions of production (including factors such as climate and number of regions);	X			

b.	Number of suppliers and amount produced;	Х			
C.	Current and historical supplies related to weather events such as hurricanes, floods, and droughts that may temporarily halt production or destroy crops or supplies;		X	X	Not provided but not relevant to manufacture.
d.	Trade-related issues such as evidence of hoarding, war, trade barriers, or civil unrest that may temporarily restrict supplies; or		X	X	Same as above
e.	Are there other issues which may present a challenge to a consistent supply?	X			Only as related to organic and non-synthetic versions of L-Methionine

¹If the substance under review is for crops or livestock production, all of the questions from 205.600 (b) are N/A—not applicable.