South East Dairy Farmers Association

1531 T St. NW, Washington, D.C. 20009 Phone 202-234-6888 Fax 202-234-6887

July 13, 2000

Office of the Hearing Clerk USDA-AMS Room 1081 South Building 1400 Independence Ave., SW Washington, D.C. 20250 OALJ/HCO

RECEIVED

Re: Docket No. AO-14-A69. et.al; DA-00-03; Hearing on Class III and IV Milk Pricing Formulas

Brief and Proposed Findings and Conclusions filed on behalf of the South East Dairy Farmers Association

This brief is concerned with the proposal presented by Family Dairies, USA and the Midwest Dairy Coalition which would compute the basic formula price for Class I milk by using a weighted average of Class III and Class IV prices.

It is the position of the South East Dairy Farmers Association that this proposal is outside the announced scope of the hearing and should be denied for that reason. The hearing notice clearly stated that the purpose of the public hearing was to "...reconsider the Class III and Class IV milk pricing formulas included in the final rule for the consolidation and reform of Federal milk orders." There was nothing in the notice to suggest that the procedure for computing the basic formula price would be a matter for consideration at the hearing.

The issues relating to the procedures for computing the basic formula price were dealt with at length in the reform document, including the rationale for using the higher of the Class III or Class IV prices. It is our position that if this issue is to be revisited, it should be done at a separate hearing, properly noticed, at which all interested parties would have the opportunity to present proposals and testimony.

Brief and Proposed Findings and Conclusions Class III and IV Milk Pricing Hearing South East Dairy Farmers Association July 13, 2000 Page TWO

Should the Department nevertheless decide to give further serious consideration to this proposal, the present hearing should be reopened so that all interested parties would have the opportunity to present evidence. However, because of the importance of this issue to all Federal order producers, this is not an option that we recommend.

For the reasons stated above, the proposal to use a weighted average of the Class III and Class IV prices as the Class I price mover should be denied.

Respectfully submitted,

P. J. sph Winght
P. Joseph Wright, President