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JUDGE CLIFTON: We're now on record. It's April 20, 2011. It's a Wednesday. It's 9:04 in the morning. We're in Grand Rapids, Michigan. This is a rule making hearing. The case is scheduled, pursuant to an announcement in the Federal Register, that will be referred to later. The locations of the hearing are here, and then next week in Provo, Utah.

My name is Jill Clifton. I'm the United States Administrative Law Judge who's been assigned to take in the testimony and the exhibits, and to certify the record. I am not the decision maker. I do resolve issues of, that may arise, involving conflicts about what's relevant and what's admissible, and that type of thing. Purely in an evidentiary fashion during the hearing.

## I'd like now to read into the

record the heading, the case caption and the
docket numbers. The heading is United States Department of Agriculture, Before the Secretary of Agriculture. The caption is, In Re: Tart Cherries Grown in Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, Oregon, Utah, Washington and Wisconsin. The Docket Numbers are Docket Numbers 11-0093, A0-370-A9, FV-10-930-5, and AMS-FV-10-0087.

I'd like to take appearances of those who will be participating, starting first with those who are seated at counsel table, beginning please with Ms. Deskins.

MS. DESKINS: Good morning. My name is Sharlene Deskins. I'm an attorney with the United States Department of Agriculture, also the General Counsel. I represent the Agriculture Marketing Service in this proceeding.

JUDGE CLIFTON: And Ms. Deskins, please spell both of your names.

MS. DESKINS: My first name is
spelled S-h-a-r-l-e-n-e, my last name is
spelled D-e-s-k-i-n-s.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you.
MR. ENGELER: My name is Martin Engeler, that's spelled M-a-r-t-i-n, E-n-g-e-l-e-r, and I work with the USDA Agricultural Marketing Service, the Marketing Order Administration Branch, and I am stationed currently in Fresno, California.

MS. SALEHI: Good morning. My name is Parisa Salehi, that is spelled P-a-r-i-s-a, last name, S-a-l-e-h-i. I work for the Marketing Order Administration Branch.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, thank you. Now, those people who are not seated at counsel table, you may either approach the podium, or approach counsel table and identify yourself, please.

MR. JOHNSON: Good morning. My
name is Kenneth Johnson, K-e-n-n-e-t-h, J-o-h-n-s-o-n. I am with AG Marketing Service Food Investment Programs, Marketing Order Administration Branch, and a Regional Manager
of the D.C. Marketing Field Office.
MS. VARELA: Good morning. My
name is Jennie Varela, J-e-n-n-i-e, V-a-r-e-la. I'm with the Agricultural Marketing Service, Fruit and Vegetable Program, in the Southeast Marketing Sales Office.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Now, just so I'm clear, Ms. Varela, where physically are you normally officed?

MS. VARELA: Winter Haven, Florida.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Winter Haven, Florida. And Mr. Johnson, where physically are you usually?

MR. JOHNSON: I'm in Riverdale, Maryland.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay.
MR. MC FETRIDGE: My name is Marc
McFetridge, that's M-a-r-c, M-c-F-e-t-r-i-d-ge. I'm with the AG Marketing Service, Fruit and Vegetable Program, Economic Analysis in the Program Timing Branch.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, good. Now, let's go to counsel table for, where Brian Hill is seated, and I will let him tell me his official capacity in this hearing.

MR. HILL: Yes, my name is Brian Hill, B-r-i-a-n, last name H-i-l-l, with the United States Department of Agriculture's Office of General Counsel, Marketing Division in Washington, D.C., and I will be representing the Cherry Industry Administrative Board in this matter.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Does that Board have a nickname?

MR. HEDIN: CIAB.
JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, good. MR. HEDIN: Good morning, I'm Perry Hedin, that's P-e-r-r-y, H-e-d-i-n. I'm the Executive Director of the CIAB, or the Cherry Industry Administrative Board, and we are housed permanently in DeWitt, Michigan. That's D-e-W-i-t-t.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Spell your last
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name for me again, please?
MR. HEDIN: H-e-d as in David, i-n as in Nancy.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Now, you have mentioned that there is a sign-in sheet for witnesses, that is placed toward the back of the room, and the people do not have to sign. But, if they do sign, they may also want to print. We need the names to be perfectly legible.

I won't have the witnesses identify themselves now, because we'll do that as they come to the stand. Do you anticipate, Ms. Deskins, that we may finish today?

MS. DESKINS: Oh, I think it's going to take two days.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Mr.
Hill, what's your best guess?
MR. HILL: It will certainly
finish tomorrow, because there are some witnesses that are scheduled to come in tomorrow.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. That's clear, good. All right. I think of nothing else that I need to do before asking Ms. Deskins to begin with the substantive part of the hearing. Ms. Deskins, you may proceed.

MS. DESKINS: Thank you, Judge Clifton.

The hearing today is an Amendment Hearing regarding the Tart Cherries Marketing Order, which is Order Number 930. The Notice of Hearing was published on March 14th, 2011. In that notice it does state that all of the USDA employees involved in the decision-making process, involved in this hearing, are prohibited from discussing the merits of the case with any interested party. What that means is that we cannot talk to you about what the substance is of this hearing. However, if you have a procedural question for us, such as how we get an exhibit in, when can you testify, we can talk to you about any of those kinds of issues.

Judge Clifton, my first witness today is going to be Mr. Marc McFetridge.

ADMIN. JUDGE CLIFTON: You may approach, and be seated in the witness stand. Unless you prefer to be some other place. Over here. And I'll swear you in after you are seated.

First, please state again your full name?

MR. MC FETRIDGE: My name is Marc McFetridge.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. Whereupon,

## MARC McFETRIDGE,

called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. Ms. Deskins, you may proceed.

MS. DESKINS: Judge Clifton, before we get some things rolling, I forgot about, do we need to put into the record the
exhibits?
JUDGE CLIFTON: That's fine. Now is good.

MS. DESKINS: Regarding the hearing, the first exhibit that needs to, that I'd like to propose entry is the notice that was published in the Federal Register regarding this case, and it's already been marked as Exhibit No. 1.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any objection?

MR. HILL: No objection, your
Honor.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Exhibit 1 is admitted.
(Whereupon Exhibit No. 1 was admitted into evidence.)

MS. DESKINS: The next exhibit is
the actual press release regarding this hearing. It's already been marked as Exhibit No. 2.

```
                JUDGE CLIFTON: Any objection?
```

            Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc.
    MR. HILL: No objection. JUDGE CLIFTON: Exhibit 2 is admitted.
(Whereupon Exhibit No. 2 was admitted into evidence.)

MS. DESKINS: Exhibit No. 3, Judge Clifton, what do you have as Exhibit No. 3 ? I'm missing --

JUDGE CLIFTON: It's the document that over the signature of Parisa Salehi.

MS. DESKINS: Okay, the Certificate of Mailing.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Yes.
MS. DESKINS: Okay. I'd like to have that marked as Exhibit No. 3. Exhibit No., oh --

JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any objection to it being admitted?

MR. HILL: No, your Honor.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Exhibit 3 is
admitted into evidence.
(Whereupon Exhibit No. 3 was
Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc.
admitted into evidence.)
MS. DESKINS: Exhibit No. 4 is the Certificate regarding the Press Release. JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any objection?

MR. HILL: No.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Exhibit 4 is admitted into evidence.
(Whereupon Exhibit No. 4 was admitted into evidence.)

MS. DESKINS: Exhibit No. 5 is the Certificate that the Governors of the States of Michigan, New York, Pennsylvania, Oregon, Utah, Washington and Wisconsin, have been notified about this hearing.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any objection?

MR. HILL: No objection.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Exhibit 5 is
admitted into evidence.
(Whereupon Exhibit No. 5 was
admitted into evidence.)
Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc.
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MS. DESKINS: Judge Clifton, I'd like to have marked as Exhibit No. 6, the testimony of Marc McFetridge.

JUDGE CLIFTON: And it is so marked.
(Whereupon Exhibit No. 6 was marked for identification.) JUDGE CLIFTON: Do you want to wait until Mr. McFetridge has testified to move its admission?

MS. DESKINS: Yes, I do.
JUDGE CLIFTON: All right.
MS. DESKINS: And he has another exhibit, Exhibit No. 7, which is some statistical information on the U.S. Red Tart Cherry Industry. And I've had that marked as 7.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Now, are there copies for those that need to look at them during the testimony?

MS. DESKINS: There should be some in the, yes, Mr. Johnson has some. Do you
want me to pass them out right now?
JUDGE CLIFTON: Yes, this would be good so that everyone can look along as Mr. McFetridge proceeds.

All right. You may proceed, Ms. Deskins.

## EXAMINATION

BY MS. DESKINS
Q Mr. McFetridge, it's my
understanding that you have prepared some testimony for this hearing?

A That is correct.
Q Would you like to read through your testimony now?

A Yes. My name is Marc McFetridge. I've worked for the U.S. Department of Agriculture since 2006. I worked for the Marketing Order Administration Branch from July, 2006 through December, 2009. From December, 2009 to the present, I've worked for the Economic Analysis and Program Timing Branch as an Agriculture Economist, where my
duties include preparing economic and statistical analysis, which are used by government officials, to help administer federal programs and fruits and vegetables programs. A large part of my work is related to Federal Marketing Order issues.

I received a Bachelor's Degree in Agriculture Business Management in 2001 from Oregon State University, and a Master's Degree in Agriculture and Resource Economics in 2004 from Oregon State University.

Concerning the various proposed amendments, the Department has taken a neutral position. For this hearing on Tart Cherries, I prepared a report titled U.S. Tart Cherry Crop Statistics, Graphs and Tables. The biggest source is the National Agriculture Statistic Service, USDA.

The purpose of this report is to
introduce U.S. Government data and other relevant information into the hearing record. These data and graphs are intended to be used
by all parties involved in the hearing, in discussion and analyzing the merits of the various proposed amendments.

In the report, graphs and tables are shown for the U.S., and the seven major producing states. The graph on Page 3, shows the total U.S. berrying acres reached a high point of 55 and 50 acres, or 50,050 acres in 1987, but berrying acres has declined almost 29 percent since.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, so I just want to make sure that this gets typed right, Mr. McFetridge. So, the high point was how many acres?

THE WITNESS: 50,000 acres 50.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. 50,050 acres.

THE WITNESS: Yes.
JUDGE CLIFTON: So, the numbers are 50,050?

THE WITNESS: Exactly.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay, good. Go
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On.
THE WITNESS: The graph on Page 5 shows that the U.S. Tart Cherry yield has fluctuated between a low of 1,660 pounds per acre, to a high of 10,100 pounds per acre.

The graph on Page 7 shows that the U.S. Tart Cherry production has fluctuated between the low of 62.5 million pounds, to a high of 395.6 million pounds.

The graph on Page 9 shows the prices of seed by tart cherry growers has fluctuated between a low of 6 cents per pound, to a high of 47 cents per pounds.

The graph on Page 11 shows that the U.S. Tart Cherry Value Production has fluctuated between a low of 18,456,000, to a high of 88,082,000.

A graph depicted in the inverse relationship between the fluctuation of production and changes in price, is shown on Page 13,

> JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. And

Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc.
again, just so that that $88,000,000$ figure gets typed right, repeat for us how the numbers go.

THE WITNESS: Sure, it is 88, 082,000.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you.
MS. DESKINS: Judge Clifton, at this time, $I$ move for the admission of Exhibit 6 and 7.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Let's take just a moment for people to look at 7, and then I'll see if there's any objection.

Now, 7 is beautifully marked for the purposes of the record. It is a 13 page document, and the numbers are in that sequence. They are marked properly for the exhibit. Is there any objection to Exhibit 6 being admitted into evidence?

MR. HILL: No.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Exhibit 6 is admitted.
(Whereupon Exhibit No. 6 was Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
admitted into evidence.)
JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any objection to Exhibit 7 being admitted into evidence?

MR. HILL: No objection, but we'd like to ask a couple questions first.

JUDGE CLIFTON: You may. Now, let me ask. Do you want, I'm going to give you two shots at questions. One would be voir dire questions, to be clear of things before you decide whether to object to the exhibit. The other would be cross examination questions, which could be done after the exhibit comes in.

MR. HILL: We won't object. We'll just ask cross examine questions.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Exhibit 7 is admitted into evidence.
(Whereupon Exhibit No. 7 was
admitted into evidence.)
JUDGE CLIFTON: Ms. Deskins?
MS. DESKINS: I have no further
questions of the witness.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Hill, you may
cross examine.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HILL

Q Your Honor, if I may, Mr.
McFetridge, the data you presented on the graphs is referenced as source from the USDA NASS Information.

A Yes.
Q So, have you just compiled the data that they present? You haven't independently verified the information that's on those charts, is that correct?

A No, everything is from the NASS Publications.

Q Okay. And with respect to the acreage chart, for example, do you know how they captured that information?

A I'm not specifically certain how they captured it. Like I said, I just took the NASS Publication, and used the values that

NASS had.
Q Thank you. Oh, may I ask one other question?

JUDGE CLIFTON: Certainly.
BY MR. HILL:
Q And this is just for my edification, I guess. In your testimony, you indicated that in your third paragraph, it says the Department is taking a neutral position. Is that meant to mean that the USDA in its entirety is taking a neutral position or is the Economic Branch taking a neutral position? Or are they one in the same?

A I would say that the Department has taken a neutral position.

Q Thank you.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any cross examination from anyone else? This is a, this is very much like a public meeting, and so the leaders go forward, but they're not the only people that participate. And if anyone has a question for Mr. McFetridge, I would invite
you to come up and ask it.
All right. I see no other cross examination. Is there any redirect?

MS. DESKINS: I have no further questions.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Is there anything you wanted to add, Mr. McFetridge?

THE WITNESS: No.
JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Thank you, you may step down.

And, you may call your next witness, Ms. Deskins.

MS. DESKINS: I have no further witnesses.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Thank you. Mr. Hill, would you like some time or a short little break, or would you like to go?

MR. HILL: We're ready.
JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, you may
call your first witness.
MR. HILL: I'd like to call Tom
Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

Facer.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Yes, I would like a copy, thank you. And have you given the record copy to this lady now, or does the witness have a record copy?

MR. HILL: I made ten copies.
JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, then we would be marking this as Exhibit 8?

MR. HILL: Yes.
(Whereupon Exhibit 8 was marked for identification.)

JUDGE CLIFTON: And, Mr. Facer, state your whole name and spell it for us, please.

THE WITNESS: My name is Thomas Facer, T-h-o-m-a-s, F-a-c-e-r. Whereupon, THOMAS FACER, called as a witness herein, having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. Mr.
Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc.
202-234-4433

Hill, you may proceed.

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. HEDIN:
Q Yes, Mr. Facer, you have a statement that you prepared, correct?

A Yes, I do.
Q You can talk whenever you'd like to.

A Okay. My name is Thomas Facer. I'm here today to testify in favor of the proposed Amendment to the Federal Tart Market, Federal Marketing Order for Tart Cherries. I represent New York State as the lone representative to the Board.

I have served on the CIAB Board since its inception, either as board member or as an alternate board member, and have served as Treasurer and currently serve as its Chairman.

There is only one stated purpose for the Federal, for the Tart Cherry Marketing Order as published in the Federal Record, that
is, to increase the value of cherries to growers.

The current manner in which diversion credits generated from the unfarmed destruction of cherries by growers, are accounted for. It does support the stated purpose of the Marketing Order.

Tart Cherry Production has historically been variable to the weather conditions, which has led to highly variable grower pricing and marketing conditions, as you've just seen in the graphs.

When the Marketing Order was
initially developed and promulgated, the economic theory supporting the improvement in grower returns, was to control the supply of cherry products, marketed into the domestic tart cherry market. By controlling the supply available to the market each year, supply could be stabilized and grower returns would be stabilized.

The handlers of the tart cherry Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc.
crop are regulated, by restricting the sales the handler could make into the domestic market, to a percentage of a "free tonnage", established by the formula, for the entire industry, based on crop size, carry over inventory and prior three year average sales, industry domestic sales.

The handlers have the burden of proof, to provide documentation that the "restricted volume of cherries a handler handled, commonly referred to as the handle, was kept out of the domestic market. The primary supply control mechanism used, allowed handlers to place product into a 50,000 pound reserve inventory, to be used during years of low crop production, to stabilize supply. These reserve inventories would be released during low crop years, and replenished in high crop years, thus stabilizing supply and grower pricing.

The marketing order also allowed handlers to obtain credits towards their
restricted volume by, for exporting products, exporting products outside of North America, and to apply for a diversion of credits for new products or new markets, up to a 5,000,000 pound maximum per season. And that was industry-wide.

The final method allowed to satisfy regulation requirements, was for growers to destroy cherries in the orchard and obtain grower diversion credits. Handlers needed to obtain these credits, to use to satisfy regulation.

The Marketing Order was presented to the industry with several examples showing a stabilization of lower pricing of approximately 25 cents per pound, and in large crop years, a regulation percentage of 25 percent. The industry adopted the Marketing Order by a significant margin of both growers and handlers.

Since the initial adoption of the order, there have been several modifications
to improve the order, both by formal and informal rule making. Over that same time, there have been many exchanges in the consumption patterns of cherry consumers. Unfortunately, since the promulgation of the Marketing Order, regulation has in many years, been much higher than the 25 percent expected, and grower returns in those years has been much less than the 25 cents they anticipated.

There are many reasons for the increased regulation percentage that are really not relevant to the discussion of this amendment. However, as the regulation percentage increases, the use of orchard diversion is discouraged, rather than encouraged, as originally intended. And I would add something that's not in my testimony, that I've since then.

I would argue that, if this was anticipated, if this result was anticipated when the original order was promulgated by the industry, that it would never have been
passed. The agreement, or all the examples that were shown to the industry, show that in a bad year, a bad year being a very large crop, we could have to destroy 20, you know, we would have to regulate 25 percent. We never had examples as high as we have seen. Going back to my written, however, as the regulation percentage increases, the use of orchard diversion is discouraged rather than encouraged, as originally intended. All regulation of the Marketing Order is at the handler level, and handlers have been required to include all orchard diversion certificates received from growers, as part of their handle.

To this handle, the regulation percentage is assessed. The best way to understand this is to look at the example on the back of your, on the last page, is an example I put together, that what happens is, an individual handler utilizes orchard diversion certificates to satisfy regulation
requirements. In this example, the handler, this is one handler, has domestic customers that purchase on an annual basis, the products that produces, that require ten million pounds of cherries to produce that item. The handler has no export business, and has qualified for one million pounds of new product activity.

The products the handler produces have a shelf life of 18 months, which is relevant in the reserve situation. The handler plans to participate in the 50 million pound primary reserve, but does not want to participate in any secondary reserve, due to the shelf life of its products.

As you can see in the example, as the crop size increases, the regulation increases, and the quantity of grower diversion certificates required from the handler to satisfy regulation requirements, increases, disproportioned to the regulation increase. And the best way, in the top half, is the way the current structure Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
works. The first line is, and I've abbreviated the formula a little bit to keep the lines somewhat limited, but the top line is the National Regulation plus an anticipated carryover 50 million pounds, which has been in the historic in the order since we started. So, if you could look at it as crop sizes, the first column is 150 million pound cherry crop, plus 50 million in the carryover, the second column 225 plus 50, the third column 300 million, plus 50. Certainly, we've had crops smaller than 150 and we've had crops larger than 300.

I made the assumption in this that when there's a small crop, there's no orchard destruction. When there's a medium crop, there's a little bit of destruction, and in a larger crop there's more. The regulation percentage that would result in those, assuming that the non-regulated areas of the country would produce about ten million pounds, the first year the regulation the 20
percent, the second example is 42, and the third is 54.

As I mentioned, the handler needed to process ten million pounds to satisfy his customer's requirements, and with a, in the first column with a 20 percent regulation, that handler would have eight million pounds of product to sell, remembering he has customers for ten. In the second column, he would have 5.8 million to sell, and in the third column, 4.6.

## As we mentioned, the customer

 needs, in the next section, the customer needs ten million pounds to satisfy their customers, nine we'll call conventional, and one million that have qualified for new product products, coming to the total of the ten million sales. He has eight million available to sell, in the first column he has eight million available to sell, he gets a credit for the one million of new product, which means he's short a million pounds that he's got to produce, product thathe can't sell, and has to meet regulation.
The next section are the options available. We already mentioned that the processor would participate in the primary reserve, which is 100,000 pounds, his share, and the other options are to require growers to put fruit on the ground, or purchase export products from others that have excess, or purchase excess new product products from others. And as you can see in the example, if grower diversion certificates is the option to use, in the small crop year, which is unlikely that we would destroy anything, it takes 1.2 million pounds to satisfy the one million pound shortfall.

In the 350 million crop example, the process, or handler is 4.4 million pounds short to satisfy his customers. They can't, that he's processed, he can't sell. If he was to put, if he was to require growers to put fruit on the ground, it would require 9.4 million pounds of fruit to be put on the
ground to satisfy that requirement.
If he bought credits, it would be 4.3, assuming in all cases that he put a million, or 100,000 pounds in primary reserve.

Go back to the written testimony, growers will not destroy fruit in the orchard when other processors will accept the fruit. And as a result, growers then require payment for destruction. Handlers who have excess export and new product credits also sell those products to handlers who need them.

In this example, I'll not read it, which I've already mentioned, 200 million pound product, which is below national consumption, the regulation percentage is 20 percent, but the handler cannot sell one million pound equivalent of its production to customers, that expect the product, unless the handler covers the regulation requirement in some other manner.

With a crop less than the national
consumption, it's not reasonable to destroy
cherries in the orchard, but if that were the only option the handler had, they would require to destroy 1.2 million pounds, to free up the million pounds of product. As the crop gets larger, in the example, the quantity of grower diversions needed rises much quicker than the shortfall and sales opportunity. When the crop reaches 300 million pounds, plus the 50 million pound carryover, an example the quantity of grower diversions required to satisfy the 4.4 million pound regulation requirement, grows to 9.4 million pounds.

As an example, one of the handlers that I've worked with had the following experience in 2009. The 2009 share crop was large, and there was carryover from 2008 that resulted in a 68 percent regulation, leaving only 32 percent available to sell to their customers. The handler required some growers they dealt with to destroy a portion of the fruit in the orchard, which they paid the
grower five cents a pound for the fruit destroyed. The growers were paid 15 cents per pound for the crop that was harvested and delivered.

The growers the handler deals with, did not have enough fruit on their farms to meet the handler's sales requirements, plus destroy the amount of fruit necessary to meet the obligations. As you can see, if this handler was, if this handler was in this example, we actually had 60, rather than 54 percent in the one example, we have 68. So you can imagine that the amount the grower, of grower certificates needed, would have been in excess of 9.4 , plus the ten million pounds that they needed for their sales.

This forced the handler to purchase excess credits from other handlers and purchase cherry products that they did not market, to place into reserve. They were successful in a sealed bid offer to purchase credits for 20 cents a pound, and were
unsuccessful in all attempts less than 20.
If the stated purpose of the Marketing Order is to improve cherry grower returns, I suggest this example points out that there is a problem with the system.

The proposed amendment addresses the current inequity in the utilization of grower diversion credits, by allowing the handler to use them in an equal basis with other diversion activities. This will remove the current disincentive to destroy fruit when the regulation requirement is very high, due to larger crops and/or excessive free carry over from prior years.

The entire industry will realize a slightly higher regulation percentage with this amendment, but the quantity of tonnage available to be marketed will be the same as it is without the amendment change. Undoubtedly, handlers who typically generated excess diversion credits, will pose the amendment change.

I argue the windfall they have realized under the current method of handling grower diversions, does not fit the purpose of the Marketing Order. With this change I suggest grower returns will improve, as it was first envisioned with the original implementation of the Marketing Order.

In years when crop size is small to larger, there is unlikely a need to destroy fruit in the orchard. That does not change with this amendment. However, when there is a very large supply, crop size and/or carryover, some handlers will offer much higher payments to growers to destroy fruit, than has been experienced to date with the Marketing Order for the reasons I have already stated.

These payments for destruction will entice growers to destroy more fruit than has been destroyed in the past. In the past, the fruit that will now be destroyed, was in the secondary reserve pool. This excessive
reserve inventory cost the industry carrying costs, plus in most cases, frozen storage costs, plus some market value depression on the free market. These expenses will be saved, and market prices will be a bit less depressed, ultimately to higher grower returns.

Over time, production must be in line with consumption. The industry cannot produce more than is consumer. The change this amendment makes to the Marketing Order will aid in bringing the production, consumption closer to balance over time, and allow growers to realize increased returns.

On the bottom of the example, is the same information restated in the manner it would be handled with this amendment. And the only difference, as you'll notice, is that the regulation percentage does go up a little bit in the bigger crop years, and the need for more diversion certificates goes down, in the bottom. And the need for export credits, or
new product credits, could go up, actually goes up a little bit. But, the biggest change is a slight increase in regulation, but the significant increase, or decrease in the amount of diversion certificates that are required, thus increasing their value.

That's the completion of my testimony.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr.
Facer. Let's begin with what I'll call direct examination. Would anyone at Mr. Hill's table like to ask some clarifying questions or any kind of questions?

MR. HEDIN: Yes, your Honor, we would. Can we be informal and say Tom instead of Mr. Facer?

JUDGE CLIFTON: You may.
MR. FACER: I'll answer either
way.
BY MR. HEDIN:
Q Tom, during your discussion, you talked about 18 months in an inventory
reserves. Could you explain a little bit more what you mean by that?

A Sure. The question asked is, is my comment, and I specifically commented on the products that this handler makes, have a shelf life of 18 months. Several of the consumer products that are packed in the industry, have a limited shelf life. The way the inventory reserves were in the market order, I've referred to a 50 million pound primary reserve. That is compared with a secondary reserve, those being a hierarchy of potential release.

The 50 million pound reserve, can be released with a USDA purchase, and be released by handler exporting or making new products, and historically, the primary reserve, and you have the ability within the primary reserve to rotate products in and out.

So the industry has had the ability and has had the experience that the primary reserve does rotate, and you
theoretically could put some consumer products in that reserve, with the comfort that you could likely get them out within the time that they would, the product would still be salable.

Secondary reserve, however, is secondary by nature to the primary reserve, and there's no guarantee of when or if that product will actually get released. So, in this example, where the processor is only processing products that have a relatively short shelf life, it just is impractical to put down there.

Q In your example, Tom, it talks about the need for a significantly greater orchard diversions, to satisfy the restriction. Can you explain for us in a little bit more detail, how those orchard diversions are currently accounted for and why the numbers get so big?

A The question of how, why the inverse relationship, I guess, to the crops,
as the crop gets bigger, the requirement for diversion increases. And the way the order is currently accounted for, if you will, is that the, as I mentioned, the handler is the entity that gets regulated. So, the handler, everything, all cherry volume has to funnel through a handler. So, the diversion, any orchard diversion has to go through a handler to be counted, along with the products that it processes. And to that, the regulation, and the surplus is determined, the surplus in the industry is determined and a percentage is applied to that "handle".

So, as the regulation percentage or requirement goes up, and you have to include that, a handler has to include those orchard diversions in their handle, you're regulating the regulated. And in my testimony, I mentioned in 2009, when there was a 68 percent regulation, orchard diversion credits that were really intended, initially, to take some product, to destroy some product
to meet regulation, only 32 percent of that was actually destroyed because it had to be recounted, or had to be regulated again, if you will.

So, the intent was, the intent initially was that in these big crop years, we would remove some product, leave it in the orchard, but we never envisioned a 68 percent regulation. It was never, ever thought of, that it could, I mean, 25 was scary back when we promulgated the order.

Q Is there a point at which it becomes impractical to do orchard diversion?

A Well, I mean, in 2009, in 2009 there was a fair amount of, there was a fair amount of regulation done nationwide. However, a larger portion of that was done in an area where, it was done in one area, don't exactly know all the reasons for it. But, in the other areas, it was, you know, the other handlers felt it was impractical to do a lot, because it, you didn't get anything for it.
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| 1 | And growers would require some payment. It |
| 2 | was politically unacceptable. |
| 3 | MR. HEDIN: That's all I have, |
| 4 | your Honor. Thank you. |
| 5 | JUDGE CLIFTON: Did you have any |
| 6 | questions of this witness, Mr. Hill? |
| 7 | MR. HILL: Not at this time, your |
| 8 | Honor, no. |
| 9 | JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. I |
| 10 | would like to open up questioning by anyone |
| 11 | who has questions for Mr. Facer. |
| 12 | EXAMINATION |
| 13 | BY MR. ENGELER: |
| 14 | Q Good morning, Mr. Facer, and thank |
| 15 | you for your testimony here today. I have a |
| 16 | couple questions I'd like to ask you. |
| 17 | First of all, 1 don't know if you |
| 18 | said it in your testimony, I didn't hear it, |
| 19 | but are you a grower or a handler in the |
| 20 | industry? What is your -- |
| 21 | A A handler. |
| 22 | Q You're a handler, okay. And you |
|  | Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433 |

talked a lot about the grower diversion certificates and what not, but could you just briefly explain to us how that grower diversion process works, if a grower diverts cherries, or puts cherries on the ground, as you said, in the orchard, then what happens from that point forward? Is a diversion certificate, just the process.

A Just the process, okay. The question of the process, in the order, within the mechanism of the order, there are at least three different mechanisms that can be utilized or processes that can be utilized for a grower to put fruit on the ground. The least used is called Random Low, where the grower at the beginning of the season says, I'm going to leave 25 percent of my fruit in the orchard.

The CIAB has maps of all of the growers, growers are not regulated, growers are not required to map their orchards, because it's a handler order. So, the growers
are not formally regulated. If growers want to destroy fruit in their orchards, they can voluntarily map their orchards with CIAB, so that they're in, that starts the process, I guess, is a map.

So, they have map, CIAB has a map of the grower's orchards, with all the number of trees and the number of rows and the acres, and except for age. One mechanism is, the grower can say I want a random row, which means I'm going to, 25, I'm going to random row 25 percent. He would get a map from CIAB that specifies which 25 percent of the trees he cannot harvest. The CIAB has compliance officers in all the areas that check on the compliance. Did he actually leave 25 percent of his trees undone. That's one mechanism. Another mechanism is that they can choose to abandon an entire block of fruits. My orchard on such and such road, I'm going to leave the whole thing. Again, it's mapped, so that they go in and the map, they get a map
from CIAB that gives the grower and the compliance officer, a statistically chosen sampling of trees, they go in and harvest those trees, weigh the fruit, and extrapolate it to the whole block, to come up with a value.

And the other mechanism that's sometimes used is a partial block, where they'll just do a part of a block, that they've done that with the same mechanism.

The final way that they can do it is, they can actually harvest the fruit, and decide not to deliver it, essentially decide not to deliver, call the compliance officer and say, I've got $X$ amount of tank, cherries are typically harvested in tanks with water, I've got this many tanks of cherries that I would like to destroy and never deliver.

And the compliance officer comes out and certifies that they actually were destroyed and, but you know, like in all those cases, the CIAB office will generate a
certificate that says Grower A destroyed X pounds of cherries. That certificate gets issued to the grower, but it is of no value until, it's not recognized until it's in the handler's hand. So, the handler has to get that to meet its regulation, if it wants to do that. But it's a process.

Q Okay, so the grower will redeem the certificate with the handler, correct, and then the handler can, can the handler use that to satisfy a portion of his restriction obligation for that?

A Yes, yes.
Q Is that how he does it?
A Yes. And as I pointed out in the example, you know, that's one of the options it has. Doesn't have to, just an option.

Q All right. And in the past, has there been a lot of grower diversion activity in your --

A There hasn't been, in low crop years, there's been very, very little. In
lower crop years, the only destruction that has been done has been due to quality reasons, you know, just, it was marketable fruit, but it wasn't the greatest fruit. It had some problem, and would get destroyed.

In the bigger crop years, and we're really have two of them, 2009 being the most recently, it was the intention of people, to divert fruit in the orchard, and the initial regulation, the initial regulation, the year I don't exactly remember. I think it was, suggested it was going to be in the low sixties. But as the crop started to pick out long, I mean, the crop got larger, and the way the Marketing Order works, is in June, we establish a preliminary percentage of what the estimate crop size, the estimated carryover, in an estimated percentage. And then in September, we establish the final.

The crop was estimated that year, I think, at about 280 million pounds. We had
a 50 million pound carryover as a round
number. And it became obvious, after partially made it through the harvest, that the crop was actually going to be bigger, not smaller. They ended up, I think, picking 320 some million pounds, and what actually happened that year, in the year when you would anticipate as the crop gets bigger, you would put some more fruit on the ground, there was less fruit put on the ground, because of the penalty the handler would have to take, you know, it went from you're going to be able to use 40 percent of it, to only using 32 percent of it towards regulation. It was actually discouragement for putting fruit on the ground.

And as a result, we had a very
large carryover, we put an enormous amount of food in reserve. And we're still, we're sitting here in 2011, and some of that product's still in secondary reserve, after having a very short crop in 2010.

Q Now, when you talk about, there's Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc.
a penalty for, when there's a large crop and there's a penalty, you mentioned a penalty for when handlers acquire those grower diversion certificates, are you referring to the fact that volume regulation percentages apply to the certificates --

A Yes, yes, the penalty is that, you know, you anticipated that they were going to have this value, but they had less value.

Q Okay.
A It's not a penalty, it's a perceived penalty more than a --

Q And would you, would it be accurate, or I'll ask the question like this. Do you believe that that provides the disincentive in those high crop years for growers to divert, because handlers might be less likely to acquire the diversion certificates from the growers?

A Absolutely, absolutely.
Q And you believe that these proposed amendments, that this disincentive
would be removed?
A Yes, I believe, yes, I do. I mean, just in looking at the example, in the big crop year that we had in the final column, nothing in the top, I mean, this handler that I use, this fictitious handler, in the current structure, they've got a 4.4 million pound shortfall that they've got to satisfy. In the proposed structure, the regulation does go up, you know, there's no question it goes up. It affects that handler by 200,000 pounds. I mean, they have 200,000 pounds less to sell, because of that. However, if they use grower diversion certificates as an option, the amount they need goes from 9.4 million pounds down to 4.7, which has to mean more value.

My example, the example I used, where the handler I helped was working with pay a nickel for, grower has to put the fruit on the ground, and pay 20 cents for expert certificates. If you do the math at 68 percent, that nickel that they paid, I think,
equates to 17 or 18 cents, you know, for the value.

Afterwards, the other way of looking at it, if we had known that, you know, the certificates were bought in, you know, April, following the harvest, if we had known the certificates were going to cost 20 cents there, we could have paid six or seven for, to the grower, but no more than that.

Q Okay, and I need just a couple more.

A No problem.
Q You discussed a little bit about the impact that these proposed amendments would have on the volume control percentages, and you indicated that the, you know, the final percentages of grower diversion, if these amendments go through, grower diversion is accounted for in the form that the, that the restricted percentage would increase, correct?

A The restricted percentage will
increase, the restricted volume will not change.

Q Okay. We'll get to that in a minute. But, would the preliminary percentages be affected at all by this, these proposed amendments?

A I believe the, I believe the grower would be remiss if they didn't anticipate some in their, in their generation of the initial regulation. If it's a very large crop year, knowing how the formula would work in the revised structure, I think the Board would be, I think the Board would be remiss in not addressing that. And certainly there would be a way that they could address that.

But that's again, would be a Board decision, when the initial is done. But it certainly could be, anyway, because you would have to anticipate a number that would be destroyed. And no different than we have to estimate a number that's going to actually be
harvested, you know, that's going to --
Q So, are you saying that the grower diversions would be factored into the preliminary percentages?

A My opinion is they should be, and they certainly could be. It's my opinion they should be. It's certainly, procedurally they could be.

Q All right. Thank you. Just one other thing here. Well, let's follow up on your response a minute ago. You said that a certain percentage would increase, but the volume, the certain tonnage would not increase. Could you explain that a little bit?

A Well, the formula really says, I mean, if you dissect the formula, the formula says that we have to put on the market 110 percent of prior three year sales. That's the base. That doesn't change. That's not going to change, regardless of what we do with this. So, if we had sold, pick a number, if we have
sold 140 million pounds of cherries in the domestic market, on average, the last three years, we're going to put 154 million pounds of cherries on the market that next year. That doesn't change.

So, anything over, anything in excess of that 154 million pounds in the example, is, has to be regulated, is regulated tonnage. So, it's a matter of, you know, what are you going to divide by, what number. So, the amount of fruit, and I think the important thing is, the amount of fruit put onto the domestic market, is really what the Marketing Order is trying to do. Doesn't change. It's 110 percent of the prior three year average sales.

Q But if their circuit percentages increase, would that not reduce the amount of free tonnage that would be available to handlers?

A It can't. It can't because, as I said, the base is, we have to supply 110
percent to the, we have to supply 110 percent to the, we have to supply 110 percent of the prior three year average sales to the market. That's number one. How do you get there? The percentage if just a matter of that number, divided by whatever we're using for the available crop, the total crop or the harvested crop.

But the base number is the amount of fruit that we're putting on the market here. That can't change. Anything over and above that is regulated volume, and then it's just determining the percentage is a matter of what you divide that number by. Whether you divide it by 320 million pounds, or whether you divide it by 300 million pounds. Percentages change, but the amount of fruit doesn't change.

Q Now, since grower diversion certificates can be used to satisfy a portion of the recert and obligation, would that theoretically, as a handler, for using those
grower diversion certificates to satisfy a portion of the obligation, would that free up reserve, the cherries in reserve that you'd be able to then market as your own free tonnage?

In other words, because you're using grower diversion certificates to offset part of your obligation, does that make more of the, some of those reserve cherries available to you, to sell, as a handler?

MR. HEDIN: Can I ask a point of clarification? Reserve cherries are a specific thing, in the order, and that's what handlers have set aside from prior years. Can we, I think, are you asking about restricted cherries or release of reserves? BY MR. ENGELER:

Q Restricted cherries.
A Well, certainly, the way you originally asked the question was, if you use grower diversion, would it free up reserve. And as Perry pointed out, reserve has been established in the prior year, and yes, you
can use grower diversions to release that, if you over, going to go over-regulate in a given year.

So yes, you could do that. That hasn't happened, because we've had such high regulations to deal with on an annual basis, but it is possible. But certainly using, I mean, grower diversions is just one of the tools that exists to satisfy regulation volume. And, I guess, using them, I guess you could look at it any way. If you divert, obviously if you divert cherries on the ground, you're not going to sell them. And, you only will do that, I mean, you only will do that in the very large crop years. It's a question of what makes the most sense.

But you can, but initially your question was, can you use growers to get stuff, can you use diversions to get product out of reserve. Yeah, you can, if you over, actually use it for over regulate.

Q Okay. Just one final question.

In the proposal here, there are actually three proposed amendments to three different sections of the Marketing Order, and is it your understanding that the intent of these three separate amendments are all geared towards correcting this, or addressing the same issue?

A Yes, yes.
MR. ENGELER: Thank you. That's all I have.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Engeler. Who else?

MS. SALEHI: If I may.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Ms. Salehi.

EXAMINATION
BY MS. SALEHI:
Q Good morning Mr. Facer.
A Morning.
Q My name is Parisa Salehi, and I'm also with MOAB, Marketing Order Administration Branch. I just have two questions for you.

A Okay.

Q The one question $I$ have is that as a handler, when you have growers who participate in the grower diversion certification, how are their restricted and free percentages affected. And then what about growers who do not participate in that program?

A Well, I think your question is, how are the growers affected? The growers are not regulated.

Q No, how are, I'm sorry, as a handler whose grower is participating in the grower diversion program.

A Okay, okay.
Q How is the restricted and the free percentages affected for them?

A Your question is how are the free and restricted affected. Every handler that purchased cherries in the regulated districts, has the same percentage of regulation.

Q Okay.
A And grower diversions is just one
of the mechanisms they can use to satisfy it. So, if you, if handler $A$ said, I'm going to require, I'm going to get all of my restricted requirements from growers, and handler $B$ says, I'm going to get all of my restricted requirements by exporting, the amount they have to restrict is no different.

Q Okay.
A So, it's just one of the mechanisms available.

Q Okay. And my second question is, I just wanted to sort of take you back and ask you about whether you attended an industry meeting where these proposed amendments were discussed, and if you could describe how that meeting was like?

A These proposed amendments?
Q Yes.
A Well, as a chairman, I've been at several meetings where they've been discussed.

Q Okay. If you could describe for us the general, whether there was general
industry support, or how those meetings went?
A We discussed these amendments are many Board meetings. They were first brought up in the Amendment Committee that we have of the Board. They were, they've also been discussed at USDA in Washington, at a meeting we had. I would say that the overwhelming majority are in favor. There are, as I mentioned, there are some people who are not as in favor, and it's very, obviously, that they participate heavily in exports, and this undoubtedly, could lower the value of those export certificates slightly. But, that's the only, to my knowledge, those are the only oppositions that we've seen. I think our vote was 17 in favor and one abstention when we voted on it.

Q So, is it your opinion that generally in the industry, the belief is that if this amendment is passed, the growers and the handlers are in more of an equal footing, if you will?

A Yes, certainly, if these
amendments are passed, growers, in a small crop year, it makes no difference. In a very large crop, your growers will have a better opportunity. All growers will have a little better opportunity than they're had.

Q Okay. That's all. Thank you. JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Johnson?

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. JOHNSON:
Q Just a couple of questions, and one clarification to your statement.

You mentioned that the Board could
include grower diversions in its preliminary percentage. Now, if this amendment is approved, the grower diversion certificates, that would not be included in production, correct?

A Correct.
Q So, would it be the intent of the industry that in its preliminary regulation to just have estimated production, since that's
what it's based on, estimates, during the preliminary percentage discussions? You'll all go on estimates. You do or do not have actual production figures?

A Correct. We go on estimates.
Q You go on estimates.
A You know, the preliminary percentage that's done in June, is done solely on estimates.

Q So, at that time, would you have an accurate number for diversions?

A It would only be conjecture on the part of the industry.

Q Okay, okay.
A And that's why I say, could have, you know.

Q Okay. Just wanted to clarify that for the record.

Tom, I did want to go back in your testimony. Back when the order was promulgated, you say that there was 25 cents was anticipated, 25 cents per pound.

A Per pound, for the grower.
Q For the grower.
A Right.
Q What was the cost of production, and I'm asking you to go back, what was the cost of production per pound back then and what is the cost of production per pound today, if you can answer that?

A I don't have those numbers exact, but and again, the cost of production varies dramatically with crop size, because so much of the cost of production is fixed. So, crop size has a huge impact on cost per pound.

Certainly, the 25 cent anticipated return, from the Marketing Order in 1997 was encouragement enough for the industry to support a Marketing Order. So, obviously, it was, 25 cents was above the cost of production.

And since that time, two things
have happened. Yields have increased some, and input costs have also gone up
substantially, between chemical costs, land costs, tree costs, and fuel costs.

So, certainly, 25 cents was encouragement enough in the late mid-nineties, and certainly would be less encouragement today, but I don't have the actual cost of production.

Q I'm going to ask you to speculate, Tom, if this again, if this amendment is approved, and grower diversions are on equal, grower diversion certificates are on equal par with handler diversion, would that, in your estimation, provide a grower with a return higher than the cost of production?

A Oh, it would depend on, it's really going to depend on the crop size, because there's no guarantee that the market price is, there's no guarantee market price will be higher than the cost of production. You know, there's no rule that says the purchase price of product has to be above the cost of production. Over time it has to, but
in a given year, it doesn't necessarily have to. But, it's certainly going to raise it. It's going to come closer. If it doesn't exceed it, it will come closer.

Q Okay.
A And part of the cost of producing fruit is harvesting it and delivering it, and the marketing cost that would be saved.

MR. JOHNSON: That's all.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Ms. Salehi?
EXAMINATION
BY MS. SALEHI:
Q A follow-up question about the prices that you just mentioned. I just wanted to know if the price fluctuates during the production season?

A The price the grower receives?
Q Yes.
A Typically not. I mean, typically, it's a very short harvest season. The harvest window for tart cherries is typically not more than 30 days.

Q Okay.
A Maybe 40 days. And in a given region, or within a given geographic area, it's like 18 to 20 days. So, it's such a short harvest, it's very, it's unusual that you will see the price change within a region. Now, the prices between regions are different. But, within a given region, they typically don't change.

Q Thank you.
A Without premium for early or late or things like that.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Engeler.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. ENGELER:
Q Yes, just a follow-up question to one that Mr. Johnson asked.

If the grower diversion
certificates are placed on an equal par as the handler certificates, would it be your opinion that the grower return would likely be higher than if the diversion certificates were left
as they are now?
A My conjecture is that they, to answer the question about grower pricing, my conjecture is that they will, grower returns will improve for three reasons. One, in the large crop year, the value of those certificates is going to increase over what it was.

Secondly, because there currently
is a disincentive to put fruit on the ground in the big crop year, and in a big crop year, if you harvest the fruit, it automatically, it by definition, goes into the secondary reserve, which there is no guarantee of one that's coming out.

In the industry, the industry typically holds its excess inventory in frozen form. Frozen storage is expensive. That burden is shared by the, that burden is a cost to the industry. That would be, there would be some less of that. And even though product is in the reserve, it's held, by definition
it's not available for sale. It still is reported in all USDA reports of frozen inventory, nationwide, and when anyone picks up a report that shows a very large inventory, it does nothing to help pricing.

So, it has to depress pricing, even if it's a little. All three of those things would be similar for our pick with this which should improve our pricing.

Q Okay, thank you.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Ms. Salehi?
MS. SALEHI: I'm sorry, I have one more question.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Certainly. No, don't be sorry.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. SALEHI:

Q You stated that you're the Chairman of the current Board, is that correct?

A Yes, yes.
Q Perhaps in your capacity as

Chairman, you're privy to this information. If you are, could you please share with us, the general makeup of the industry, as far as, for example, how many handlers there are, how many growers there are, what's the average size of a grower or a handler?

A I am not privy to that information. There are less than a hundred handlers. I mean, I can give you generalities. Perry could probably give you a lot better numbers. But, there are less than a hundred handlers, and there are more than 500 growers nationwide. And the size is, the size of growers is, and handlers, is extremely variable. I mean, from very, very small to, there's individual handlers that would approach ten percent of the national crop. Or, individual growers and/or handlers that could approach ten percent, fifteen percent of the national crop. So, and there's large and small.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. McFetridge?

EXAMINATION

BY MR. MC FETRIDGE:
Q Marc McFetridge, USDA. Good morning, Mr. Facer. I just have a few questions. One more housekeeping than anything. Based on the Small Business Administration definition of a small business, having annual receipts of less than seven million, and a small agriculture producer as having annual receipts of less than $\$ 750,000$, would you classify, how would you classify your tart cherry operation?

A Our operation?
Q Yes.
A That I deal with?
Q Uh-hum.
A We would be small.

Q Small, all right. Just to follow up with that, based on the Small Business Administration definition of a small agriculture business or grower, would you feel that these proposed amendments would have any
adverse affects on the small business owner or grower?

A The question on the impact of small business, I would argue that these would help a small business, rather than harm a small business.

Q Thank you. Could you just give me kind of an overview of how these proposed amendments would impact your operation, as a small handler?

A The handlers that, and I represent a couple different handlers that are handling fruit. None of them are major exporters. They have relatively few new products. So, grower diversions is a very used tool in the people that I represent, work with. And it's been such a, you know, it's been such a disadvantage to use them, that in our situation, we would, in a large, very large crop year, we would encourage some growers to put some fruit on the ground, and the only way of encouraging them is to pay more than we
have in the past.
Q Thank you. So, getting into this topic of diversion, is diverting tart cherries in the orchard the most cost effective method of limiting surplus tart cherries, would you say?

A I would say it's the lowest cost.
Q Lowest cost. If these amendments resulted in increased grower diversions, would this reduce handler diversion activity, would you say?

A Other handler activity, you mean?
Q Yeah, handler diversion activity.
A Handler diversion activity as defined by export a new product development? I would doubt it. I significantly doubt it. Handlers are in business to operate their facilities and to market products. And that will, you know, that is their business, and they will continue to do that whenever possible. And as long as that economic, an economically viable operation, then doing this
is not going to discourage it.
Q I just had another quick question, just to make sure that I completely understand your figures from your testimony.

Looking at the current structure versus the amended structure, I just wanted to make sure I completely understand your regulation percentages. It's, so taking the 350 million pound case you have in your current structure regulation percentage at being 54 percent and then the regulation percentage, the amended structure being 58 percent, could you just give me a quick --

A Sure. In the initial, in the current structure, crop size and carry over determines, is what the surplus is divided by the crop size and carry over. And so it's, the 54 percent is the anticipated sales, and I believe I used 170 million pound, I can check my math, but 170 million pounds of sales, three year average sales plus the, three year average sales plus ten percent of
the 170 million, and the 350 million pound crop, I think will come up with 54 percent.

Q Okay.
A If you notice in the bottom, in my amended, I increased the orchard destruction by ten million pounds in the very large crop year to 30 , and it's the same 170 million pound, three year average sales plus ten percent, divided by 320, because we don't count the 30 million pounds of destruction. But, that's the reason that the percentage goes up, because I mentioned, the volume doesn't change.

Q Okay. So, based on the amended structure, these proposed amendments would actually now take into account the destruction at the orchard for tart cherries, right?

A Restate that, I'm sorry.
Q No, I'm sorry. So, based on the amended structure in calculating the regulated percentages, those tart cherries that are diverted at the orchard would not be, actually
be counted in the calculation of the regulation?

A No, they're currently counted. They wouldn't be counted in the new.

Q Oh, they wouldn't be counted. All right.

A They would be, we would be determining the percentage based on the process volume, not the crop volume.

Q Okay. All right.
A And currently they are counted.
Q Okay. All right. Thanks for the clarification.

JUDGE CLIFTON: I'd like to take a ten or fifteen minute break, depending on what you would like, and have Mr. Facer return to the stand, so if there are other questions of him, you may ask them. Feel free to talk among yourselves about what he said and what that raises in your mind, and what you may think should be brought out so that it's in the testimony, in the evidence, so that as
this result is crafted together, it's perfectly clear what would happen. Well, as best we can.

All right. How many want ten
minutes? How many want fifteen?
MR. HEDIN: Ten is fine.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Ten is fine.
Okay. It's 10:31, please be back and ready to go at 10:41.
(Off the record)
JUDGE CLIFTON: We're back on record at 10:50. Let's see. Who would like to begin with the next questions?

EXAMINATION
BY MR. HEDIN:
Q I'd like just to. Tom, would this amendment in any way change how handlers, what options they have to deal with restrictions?

A A question on what option, no, it does not change the options. Handlers have had options in the past of how to deal, and they continue to have options. It doesn't
change them.
Q So, it would just be a matter, potentially, of what they use in --

A Correct.
Q -- in restriction --
MR. HEDIN: I lost my train of thought. Others can proceed, your Honor, until I remember this.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Who else has questions? While he's recalling that thought, Mr. Facer, can you think of anything that might need clarification?

THE WITNESS: No, not at this point right now.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Hedin, anything?

MR. HEDIN: Go ahead, I'm sorry.
JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Are
there any other questions for Mr. Facer from anyone? Mr. Engeler.

EXAMINATION
BY MR. ENGELER:

Q Yeah, just quickly. In looking at this chart, and I was wondering if you could explain to us what calculation you used to get to the percentages here. If you did already I apologize, but I might have missed it.

A I anticipated, the top line is what the national regulated crop and the projected 50 million from carry over, which is the amount, that's the part of the formula, if you will. And the regulation, $I$ assumed a three year average domestic sales plus ten percent of 170 million. So, because we have to supply 110 percent of the prior three year average sales. And I used the same numbers in all of the examples. So, again, it was an example, relatively close to what we've experienced.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HEDIN:
Q So, Tom would that be 187 divided by whatever is the supply side?

A No, I believe Perry, it's 170.

Q Oh, 170 includes the market growth component?

A Yes.
Q Okay.
A I believe those are the numbers. I can look in my spreadsheet, but I think that's what I used. I didn't put it in my notes, but I believe it was 170.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Do you have your spreadsheet here in the room?

THE WITNESS: It's in my computer. Yeah, I've got my laptop.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Oh, okay. But it's not booted up?

THE WITNESS: No.
JUDGE CLIFTON: So it would take a little while.

THE WITNESS: Take a minute, yeah. I'm very sure. I will check when I'm off and if it's different, $I$ can let you know.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Why don't you do that.
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| 1 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 2 | JUDGE CLIFTON: Because I do think |
| 3 | we have to know what your -- |
| 4 | THE WITNESS: Not a problem. |
| 5 | MR. HEDIN: Tom, if I'm not |
| 6 | mistaken, I think it's 170 plus the market |
| 7 | growth -- |
| 8 | THE WITNESS: It could be, I think |
| 9 | that, I know I used 170 -- |
| 10 | JUDGE CLIFTON: You did great, I |
| 11 | mean this is very complex. To me it's very |
| 12 | complex. |
| 13 | THE WITNESS: I apologize for |
| 14 | this, okay I used it, I used the -- |
| 15 | MR. HEDIN: Your Honor, may I |
| 16 | approach? |
| 17 | MR. FACER: I'm sorry, I thought I |
| 18 | had a different -- I'm sorry. |
| 19 | JUDGE CLIFTON: Do you just need a |
| 20 | little more time? |
| 21 | THE WITNESS: Yes, I do. |
| 22 | JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. |
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THE WITNESS: Do you want somebody to go on, I can come back?

JUDGE CLIFTON: Yes, why don't you step down and we'll recall, Mr. Hedin?

MR. HEDIN: I was wondering if I can talk to Tom about the formulation. I think it may be incorrect --

JUDGE CLIFTON: Right, okay, well let's have him step down as a witness, and yes you are welcome to talk about it with him. MR. HEDIN: Okay. JUDGE CLIFTON: And then let's, in the meantime, we can either take a break so you can handle it right now, that's all right with me if that's the way you want to do it, or we can have you go back to that. They want to take a break right now. Let's stand at ease for about ten minutes, so please be back and ready to go at 11:15.

MR. HILL: We're okay with bringing on another witness.

JUDGE CLIFTON: I don't want Mr.
Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

Hedin's attention to be diverted from the next witness. You know, that won't work, okay so we'll go again at 11:15.
(Off the record.)
JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, now we're back on record. Mr. Facer?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. The formulas I used in determining the regulation percentage, which is the amount of regulation, not the free tonnage, but the amount of regulation. I used a number of 160 for the amount of free tonnage, or the three year average sales, for the ten percent number, because our, one of our numbers that we've used recently was 140. So I just plugged in the number of 160 as being the number. So it's, so the formula, if you will, is one minus 160 divided by the national crop plus 50 million, or the 200 or the 275 or the 350 to come up with that regulation percentage. BY MR. HEDIN:

Q The 50 million is what Tom, is it Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc.
one, is it five plus 50?
A Yeah, the crop plus 50.
Q Right, but you had that posted as three, as the gross supply don't you? So, your first line item --

A No, I don't have gross supply, I have regulated crop plus carry over.

Q But your number 350 is the 300 plus 50?

A 300 regulated crop plus 50, correct.

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE CLIFTON:

So, you start with three year average plus ten percent?

THE WITNESS: Right, the marketing order stipulates that we have to supply to the domestic market ten percent more than the prior three year average sales.

## ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE CLIFTON:

All right, and the reason you chose 160 is you started with the idea that we'll increase the $140 ?$

THE WITNESS: Right, and again, these were examples of, to be consistent. And in the bottom, in the bottom example, the only difference is the amount of work to destruction. It isn't included in the crop when calculating the percentage. So, I've shown a ten and a 30, a zero, a ten and a 30, so zero in the first, in the small crop, we wouldn't put anything on the ground. And in a little bit larger crop there may be ten million that goes on the ground, and in the larger crop 30 million that goes on the ground. And that --

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right and why is 30 different from the 20 that was used --

THE WITNESS: Because I, I made the assumption that with the change in the amendment, that it would, in a large crop we would encourage a little bit more orchard destruction.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay, and why is
it that these comparisons work at the bottom,
in the section called options, to satisfy regulation, when you have changed the parameter at the top from say 20, what is that, 20 million?

THE WITNESS: Look at the middle. Maybe the best way to answer that is because I've got two variables working in the last column, go to the middle column.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay.
THE WITNESS: The 275 column?
JUDGE CLIFTON: Yes.
THE WITNESS: If you, if the regulation percentage is 42 percent, in the top example, see that, and the actions to satisfy the regulation, if grower certificates are used it takes five point three million pounds of grower certificates, at the top, and three point one million pounds of, or three point one million pounds of export certificates --

JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay.
THE WITNESS: -- to satisfy that
Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc.
202-234-4433

42 percent regulation. You go to the bottom and I used the same 10 million pounds of destruction. The amount needed increases from the three point one up above, if you just look at the export slide, it increases from three point one to three point three, that's the reflection that the regulation percentage went up a little bit. So there's a little bit more needed by that handler. But since they will be treated, since orchard certificates will be treated the same as export certificates, it only requires three point three grower certificates now. In the up above example it referred five point three. And I apologize, I did add another variable in that last column, I shouldn't have. The middle column, that's the same variable, there's no change in variables.

JUDGE CLIFTON: But the reason you did is because you're anticipating that would be more realistic?

THE WITNESS: Right, I was to Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
trying to, yeah, I was trying to show what I think might happen.

JUDGE CLIFTON: And you did explain that when you went through it the first time, $I$ just wasn't quite --

THE WITNESS: I understand.
JUDGE CLIFTON: -- quite as able to grasp it when you said it then. All right, what other questions do people have? Mr. Hedin?

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. HEDIN:

Q Tom, in your lower portion, the option is to satisfy regulation, we see that grower diversion certificates, export or new product credits are all the same?

A It would take the same amount, correct.

Q So it reflects the fact that bottom line credits are now just that, --

A Right.
Q -- and they're equivalent to --

change. And the amount of grower diversion certificates, export certificates or new product certificates would be four point five. And the handler available to sell number would be four point three instead of four point two. The shortfall due to regulation is four point seven, and there's rounding numbers in that, in the rounding --

JUDGE CLIFTON: That's helpful.
THE WITNESS: I apologize for the

JUDGE CLIFTON: No, but thank you both, that's helpful. It's very fine tweaking here, very fine. What other questions does anyone have for Mr. Facer? There are none, you may step down. Thank you.

MR. HILL: Your Honor, I'd like to move Exhibit 8 --

JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any objection?

MS. DESKINS: No objection.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Exhibit 8 is
Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
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| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Whereupon, |
| 2 | JAMES NUGENT, |
| 3 | called as a witness herein, after having been |
| 4 | first duly sworn, was examined and testified |
| 5 | as follows: |
| 6 | JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. Mr. |
| 7 | Hill? |
| 8 | EXAMINATION |
| 9 | BY MR. HILL: |
| 10 | Q Hello Mr. Nugent, how are you |
| 11 | doing? |
| 12 | A Just fine. |
| 13 | Q Okay, so you have two documents |
| 14 | that we -- and marked as Exhibits 9 and 10. |
| 15 | You'll not give a prepared statement, but |
| 16 | you're prepared to speak about the documents, |
| 17 | correct? |
| 18 | A Well, let me give, I do have a |
| 19 | little bit that I want to state before I get |
| 20 | into them, if I could, please? |
| 21 | Q Sure. |
| 22 | A First of all, I'm a grower from |
|  | Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433 |

Suttons Bay, Michigan, which is up in the northwest Michigan area, near Traverse City. I'm a fairly small cherry grower, but I also serve as the grower, a grower representative from the northwest Michigan district on the CIAB, and I spent a career from 1976 through 2007 with Michigan State University, where I worked as a District Horticulturalist for MSU Extension and, at least in the last half of my career. And I was also the coordinator of the Northwest Michigan Horticultural Research Station for the Michigan Agricultural Experiment Station. But in the first half of my career, I also spent that in northwest Michigan, so I, my whole career was working with the cherry industry in northwest Michigan.
I'm here to support the proposed
amendment, because I believe it will benefit the growers, and I will try to present some information to support that, that conclusion. First of all, what we're talking about with
this amendment is the, what we're calling grower diversion, is in periods when we have no market, have no marketing order this is called crop abandonment. And it's not a new concept to the marketing order, period. We've historically abandoned fruit some years, sometimes because of natural causes, a storm or something. But typically, much larger abandonment in large crop years than small crop years. And so I did an analysis that I thought would help bring some perspective to the issue of crop analysis, and I looked at the years 1992 through '96, that was the five year period immediately before the Federal marketing order. And in the next five year period of '97 through 2001 was the fist five years under the marketing order, and it's quite interesting, both of those were periods of very large crops on average. What I've presented in this analysis is the average production in millions of pounds, so from '92 to '96 we produced on average 332 million
pound of cherries. Of that, 30 , and these, this data all comes from USDA data, the crop abandonment was 38.6 million pounds, given a supply for processing estimated at roughly 289 million pounds.

Those numbers don't subtract exactly like that, because within USDA figures they also put in fresh cherries that go to fresh market, and that really has nothing to do with the analysis, so this is just the USDA numbers. Our grower price average during that five year period was thirteen point two cents a pound, netting a farm gate value, according to the USDA, again, of 38.8 million pounds. None of those are calculated by any other, other than I just averaged the year, the year periods.

The first five years of the marketing order saw actually quite similar average crops, only slightly smaller at 311 million pounds, are now what we would call inorchard diversion, but if we want to look at Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
it as the USDA quantifies it as crop abandonment, was 24.5 million, actually less abandonment in the orchard, in the first five years of the marketing order. The net for processing was very, very similar, so I find that such an interesting time frame to compare, because the supply for processing at 284.4 million was only 4.4 million pounds difference in those two time periods. The grower price, though, did go up quite significantly, growers would have like to have seen that go up more, and I can talk about that in a minute. But it increased by four point seven cents per pound, which was a pretty huge increase, given the fact that the parameters of production, and particularly supply for processing, were virtually identical. So, quite a significant percentage increase in grower returns as a result of the marketing order. And it wasn't because we put additional fruit on the ground, we actually put less, it's because of the other parts of
the marketing order that made for more orderly use of the diversion of the excess product. The farm gate value during that five year period was 50.9 million, again up significantly.

The next period is actually a six year period, well actually, the next year is 2002, and I leave that out of date, I always do, because we had a record short crop. I mean, in this case I looked back at data as far as it goes in the USDA, which is 1927, at a time when we had a much, much smaller industry. But in 2002 we produced less cherries than we ever had going clear back to the 1927, as far back as data went. That crop really hurt our markets, and fortunately we had fairly large carry over, that's why when we talk about these diversion options we don't want a program that puts everything on the ground with no carry in, because then in a year with a short crop we would short the market.

Well in 2002 the crop was so unprecedentedly short, none of would ever plan for it, this was like a, like a 100 year event or, or more, in my opinion. And that's why it's not justifiable to use it in any statistical analysis. But it hurt our markets, and we have never recovered all of the lost markets we lost from that crop, and it's because we produce a processing commodity that, it's not like if you have a freeze out of oranges one year and you're selling them all at the fresh market, you can just be back on the market next year. In our case, if a manufacturer takes cherries out of their product line for their bagels or their pies or whatever, they may not put that cherry back in.

And so, if you look at that next period, '03 to '08, following the '02 disaster, our crop production has actually been basically moderate. Very significant drops from the 311 million pounds, we now
average 240 million pounds. Abandonment still occurred, as Tom mentioned, but at a very, very low rate, three point five million. The supply available for processing down to about 236 million pounds, about a 48 million pounds less supply for processing, roughly, and that indicates basically that, roughly 50 million pounds of market that we lost as a result of '02, in my opinion.

But, our crop size was not excessively large, the average grower price of 29.2 cents a pound during that period, farm value you can see is up, even though crop size is down, but the price is up much more so the farm gate value is about 70 million. In '09 I put that separate, because that is really the year that prompted this request for this amendment. We came in with our large crop again, and I would say that in looking back at data, $I$ haven't lived the whole life of the cherry industry, but in the data there was never such a long period of time between, Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
basically full crops. We had a full crop in '01 and we didn't come back with our next full crop until '09. Usually if we look back in data those full crops are about every three years, '01, '98, '95, '92, '87, all really big crops. So, three, four, five year intervals. We went a long period without a full crop and in '09 we had a crop of 353 million, that was the sixth largest crop. Now in this case I did go back only to 1960 in data, because that's all I had ready access to, I'm a grower now and not an Extension Agent, and I didn't have, that's all the data I had. But it's unlikely that there was anything in the 50 's or previous that would have changed that from the sixth largest all time, but certainly the sixth largest in the last 50 years.

Our abandonment was tenth highest, and what we actually processed according to USDA data, the supply for processing of 320 million was actually our second largest in US history. I believe that would surprise even
the growers and processors in this room to realize that. And I believe that the reason for that was the disincentive that the processors see, or the handlers, in having growers put fruit on the ground when there's a very large diversion, which is what prompted this request for an amendment.

The average grower price in '09, at least preliminary numbers, are, USDA are, 19.7 cents. That calculates, according to them, to a farm gate value of 64.2 million. The real impact is on 2010, when we came in with a crop that, about 200 million roughly, roughly 57 percent of '09's crop, but the grower, the price that growers will receive is not yet set by all processors, but it will likely be not much higher price than '09. And that really hurts cash flow if you're only producing 57 percent of a crop and the price per pound stays virtually constant.

And in the case of northwest
Michigan, where I'm from, we on average
produce 50 percent of the nation's tart cherries in the northwest Michigan district, and this year's crop was less than half of an average, the last five year average, in the last five year average, and that's the average compared to just last year it was more like a third of a crop. So, cash flow is really tight, because there's very low returns, so I believe that the amendment will improve grower returns in three ways.

First of all, and probably the most important, it will help to reduce the very large carry over from a very large, from a large crop year. Get that down to a more manageable carry over so it's not so much of a burden around the industry to deal with. Secondly, as Tom already mentioned, there is value in grower diversions, and I believe that this amendment will place greater value in the grower diversions, so I believe that that is a second way that growers will benefit. And the third is that if the, when the fruit is
abandoned in the orchard, there are some cost savings associated with that abandonment. The variable cost of harvesting on a, with an average crop year is about six point seven cents a pound, but the, I don't see significant abandonment under grower diversion in average years, it's only going to be in the larger crop years. And in larger crop years the cost per pound of harvest decreases, but it's probably, depending on the crop size, generally in the five to six cents per pound range. So there's about that much of a cost savings if $I$, if as a grower $I$ don't harvest the crop. Now that's including the variable cost of actually harvesting it, of cooling that fruit, of transporting it to the processor or, so.

I think in, to summarize, I think that the date would indicate that the Federal Marketing Order has been a benefit at, to the growers. You can see that by improved farm gate values. Now it's not comparable to look
at the shorter crop years compared to the larger crops, because as we get shorter crops we do get larger farm gates in general, but, certainly it has also improved our average prices. But the frustration on the part of the growers is that these prices are still not achieving our cost of productions, and from a long term sustainability perspective with the industry, we have to be able to achieve the, our cost of production. In the short term, we don't necessarily have to because part of our total cost of production is our fixed, our costs that are fixed. Not every grower has the same costs, there's a value for land calculated in and certainly if that land is all paid for that grower doesn't have that as out of cash expenses. There's a cost associated with growing the young orchard, of taking out the old orchard, replanting it, and bringing it up to bearing age. That's a period of, you have to get roughly up to about year five or six
before we have a bearing orchard.
So there's costs associated with that, and as a grower $I$ can get by on the short term by not making the new plantings. But in the long term we have to be planting new orchards or the industry isn't sustainable, and we have to be able to transfer that land with orchards to the next generation, or we don't have a sustainable industry either. And so, it's for those reasons that $I$ think that this amendment would be beneficial to the growers. So that's, I welcome any questions. I probably should mention that while $I$ was in Extension $I$ was co-author of the Cost of Production Studies, I think every one that's been done in the last 35 years I co-authored, but that doesn't mean I'll remember all those figures off the top of my head. But the latest one was published in September of 2010, so if folks from the USDA want to review that, that is available as a Agricultural Report No. 639.

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. HEDIN:
Q Could you specify that again?
A It's Agricultural Economics Report No. 639, it's titled Michigan Production Costs for Tart Cherries by Production Region. Prior cost of productions, with the exception of one done in the early '60's that I didn't have anything to do with, have analyzed the cost of production in northwest Michigan only. This particular time we did a more in-depth study looking at cost of production in southwest Michigan, in west central Michigan and in northwest Michigan. And in northwest Michigan we broke the group into the, some very, our largest growers and more of our midsized grower groups. So we actually have data compiled on both, and certainly it is more efficient to grow cherries in the larger operations than it is the mid-size to small operations. But, interestingly, the cost by regions don't vary all that greatly. The
biggest difference in cost per pound is the yield, and the yield does vary by region, so that influences the average cost of production.

But in, if we do a blended statewide average on cost of production, today according to our most recent calculation, our cost of production is 36 cents a pound, on a blended statewide basis on an average yield. In northwest Michigan, because our yields are, average higher, the blended average was, or the cost of production for the mid-size growers was 32 cents, about. I was trying to remember back to ' 97 and what it was at that point and I, I'm just not sure, I believe it was in the 26 cents a pound. I think the reason that growers were so pleased at looking at 25, is you'll look at the data for the previous five years and the price was averaging 13.2 cents a pound. That was a very compelling reason to increase it, because that was way, way below the cost of production, and
frankly they lost a lot of growers during that period, because the cost, because the prices were so low. No, production was high, but still, those, even at the higher productions, we were not, we were not covering enough costs.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Hedin, do you have a question? BY MR. HEDIN:

Q Yes, Jim, thank you very much. Jim, you speculated that you thought the price for this year will be comparable to '09. Is that because of the carry over factor?

A Yes, I think it will be up a little bit, the preliminary data is up just a small amount, but I, it is fundamentally because of the carry over, yes.

Q Also, you talked about the cost savings for, variable cost for harvesting. In your experience do growers also pay surcharges either from CMI or CIAB, and do they have those savings as well?

A The, the way the growers are paid is that the, the check that comes to them has a deduction for a half a cent a pound that goes to the Michigan Cherry Committee for, ultimately to the Cherry Marketing Institute for Promotion and Research, and there's also a half cent a pound deduction for the cherry industry, the administrative board for the promotion part, the processors pay the operational costs of the CIAB, but the industry voted a few years ago to expand our national promotion from just some, some of the states contributing to that half cent a pound. So there is an additional half cent a pound, or an additional cent a pound savings for those to, compared to, calculated into that total cost of production is that, are those figures, and yet in my, looking at the variable harvest savings I did not include those. So it would add another cent a pound if you included those, because of course those wouldn't be paid on fruit that's dropped on Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc.
the ground.
Q And then finally, Jim, on that economic report, how might we be able to get that?

A It's, good question, the bulletin office at Michigan State University Extension I'm sure would have that. Otherwise, contact Dr. Nikki Rothwell. Nikki is one of the coauthors and is the current District Horticulturalist in northwest Michigan and coordinator of the Horticulture Research Station. She took the position I retired from and Nikki, Nikki sent this to me electronically, so in a pdf.

Q Could you please spell that name--
A Nikki, N-i-k-k-i, Rothwell, R-o-t-h-w-e-l-l. Her, I don't know, I better not. I think I know her email address but I better not give it to you because I might be wrong. I can give you the phone number at her research station. Her office number is 231-946-1510.

Q That's all, thank you Jim. JUDGE CLIFTON: What other questions do people have of Mr. Nugent? Well, before we do that, Mr. Nugent are you also going to go into any detail on Exhibit $10 ?$

THE WITNESS: No, Exhibit 10 I
just provided because that's, that is basically where $I$ got the raw data from, and it's USDA published data. The industry puts out a statistics report where one of our, a former member of the AgiCom, a grad student at AgiCom, is from a cherry growing family in Utah, and he compiles the data and the Cherry Marketing Institute publishes it. So, it came from that, and $I$ just want to give that as supporting data in case USDA was interested in analyzing things in other way. BY MR. HEDIN:

Q Jim, for those who don't know, can you tell us who the Cherry Marketing Institute is and what it's function is?

A The Cherry Marketing Institute is Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
our national cherry promotion organization. They spend most of their dollars on promotion, they do spend some dollars on research as well, particularly in the area of health benefits and there's, it's, so the programs that fund it are all, ultimately come out of the, from the growers, but they have voted some individual state programs, most of those where they have them or have sent upon, not every state has a program. But, and those dollars will go into the Cherry Marketing Institute, even if it's coming from New York or Wisconsin or Michigan. And the, then through the CIAB, this was not initially part of, it was always part of the Act, but we didn't implement it for several years, but a few years ago, in addition to the supply management the, everybody thought it was so critical for us to expand demand that we voted to have an additional half cent a pound assessment. And frankly, I think that is really the key to our success, ultimately this
industry can't regulate itself out of over production. What we really, fundamentally have to do is get the consumption back up, and it was, I mean it sounds like it was all because of '02. '02 was a watershed event with the lack of crop, but the industry is also changing very dramatically, and we've been an industry that has typically supplied product for the desert market, and we're transitioning from that to, the growth markets are in the juice and dried areas, and both, both really are moving away from the desert market.

So, the industry is going through
a transition, there's no question about that. And ultimately we've got to be able to expand markets and that's what the Cherry Marketing Institute is all bout. MR. HEDIN: May I continue?

JUDGE CLIFTON: You may, Mr.
Hedin.
BY MR. HEDIN:

Q Jim, how do you think growers will respond if they have a chance to earn bottom line credits as compared to what they currently, they generate?

A Well, I think we're going to see more in orchard diversion. I agree completely with Tom, because, well first of all I think the processors are going to encourage more. I'm hoping that the processors also bring some money to the table, to the growers that do that. But I think we're going to see more inorchard diversion.

But, let me qualify that, Perry.
I think, when I think we'll have the differences in those really large crop year, and those are the problems, the crops like '09 that are really large, $I$ don't think it's going to make much difference in the moderate to short crop years, because everybody would really prefer to pack and sell as much as they can. And I think that the diversion options for new product, new market are really
critical and will continue to be critical. Because as we have been transitioning away from the traditional markets, that's really a key to success that the industry has implemented and it's been, I think, really vital. And there's a lot of support in the grower community for efforts on part of the industry to do what they've got to do to get the prices up.

Q Jim, some have said to me that they fear that this would cause growers to grow just for diversion. Is that a likely response from the grower community?

A I don't, I never figured out how the economics work out on that. There may be some people that try, but I don't think it'll last long, because unless there's a lot more value here than I think that's, not a very, not a sustainable economic model as I look at it.

MR. HEDIN: That's all your Honor, thank you.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Do you want to be a little more specific, how many pennies do they take in and how many did it cost to get those? Do you have kind of a ballpark --

THE WITNESS: You mean on the, on the diversion certificates?

JUDGE CLIFTON: -- if that's what you were going to use your crop to do?

THE WITNESS: Yeah, well first of all, $I$ think the biggest economic value of this is to get rid of those really large supplies that carry into the short crop year. I think that is really the biggest, but in terms of actually the value of diversion certificates it does vary right now. Tom mentioned his example of a processor that paid five cents a pound for growers that put them on the ground. Some processors have paid growers for what they put on the ground, I mean what they're really doing is sort of taking money from those that didn't put it on the ground to help level things out. We
haven't seen many growers that have just said, I'm going to put excess product on the ground rather than delivering it. I have known that to occur, and grower diversion credits have brought generally in the neighborhood of maybe ten cents a pound, if they have excess diversion credits for sale historically, I expect that price to go up with this, but it does vary a lot by year. And how much it will go up I don't really know, but I do think it will go up if they choose to do that, and if the price is going to be quite low there's incentive to do that, because the cost savings, the variable cost savings of inharvest and shipping.

So, I do think it's going to be a real incentive, and I do think that it will ultimately drop more money into the industries pockets, both in the year it occurs and then in the subsequent years. But this is one of those situations where we don't want to divert all of our fruit on the ground, because we
want some of it for new products, and we want some of it for export, so it's just one tool that I think will get better use, and I think '09 really pointed out that example that Tom was trying to get at in the data from the industry of what it did in terms of the processors saying, you know, instead of putting this on the ground, even though we're picking out long, let's bring this in and process it. And our markets just didn't justify processing 320 millions pounds, but that's what our processors did.

Q Thank you very much. JUDGE CLIFTON: Ms. Salehi?

EXAMINATION
BY MS. SALEHI:
Q For now I have two questions for Mr. Nugent. Mr. Hedin asked you a question and in there he referred to bottom line credits. What do you understand that to mean?

A That's a term that we used within the CIAB and as explaining this concept of how
we're going to, if this amendment passes, this new way of calculating the credits. And the terminology wasn't developed by me, I think it came from processors, and the reason they think of them that way is that once they have their pack put up, if they export product or sell it to, into a qualifying new market, they get a credit, a diversion certificate for each pound, you know, they sell it, the pound export, to get the diversion credit for a pound. If they ask the growers to put fruit on the ground, and so what they really want from the grower is, you know, 75 percent of their crop and put the other 25 percent on the ground, well with each of one of those diversion certificates that grower brings in it takes their, okay if they process 10 million pounds by each grower bringing in 75 percent, now they bring in this extra 25 percent instead of, instead of their handle, it's called, the fruit they handled is now, my math might be wrong, but roughly twelve point
five million instead of the ten million they actually pitted.

And so to a processor they see that as not the same way that this credit down here was, and so that's why they came up with the term bottom line, because this, these other credits drop, kind of the bottom line one for one. And the grower diversion credit changes their amount of handle, and when those were small figures, if the diversion percentage is relatively small this isn't such a big issue. That's why I don't think it'll affect very much how much gets put on the ground in shorter and moderate crop years.

But, in the large crop year, when the diversion percentage gets very high and it's very high when we are selling, you know, 50 million pounds less than we used and yet we hit a crop that's, you know, among our biggest ever, we end up with this very large percentage so that amount of diversion credits they have to bring up on top really don't fall
to the bottom line for them. And so that's where the term bottom line came with. It may not be the best term, but it's kind of what this whole concept has become to be known as in the industry.

Q Okay, thank you. My second question is, $I$ understood you to say that if this amendment is passed it will help the growers in three different ways. One is by helping them reduce the carryover.

A Correct.
Q The second is by having a greater value in the grower diversion certificates, and the third is the cost savings that are associated with abandonment. I think I understand the second and the third fairly well, would you please expand on the first, which was the reducing the carry over and I assume that's in the --

A Yes, that's a great question, I'm glad you asked that. I'll just use the example of the '09 and '10 situation, because Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
in this past year, in '10, the crop was quite small, 200 million would place it down, you could look at the date any place and it would be among the relatively smaller crops. Normally in that kind of a small crop year that price, the price goes up quite significantly, but the price is really a function of a combination of the new crop and the carry in. Now in the case of carry in within the CIAB, one of the reasons that the prices in the first five years in this analysis, that where the crops were very similar to the five years preceding, the difference is that a lot of that carry in is in our reserve pools, in the primary reserves, secondary reserve, wherever. But it's in the reserve pool so it's not available to market, but the market kind of knows it there. So the effect on price isn't, isn't like it was in the free market, when we have a big carry in in our reserve it, it's not as bad as having a big, if all that carry in was in free market
then the price would have, would be even worse. But it's not as good as not having all that carry in, because there is still carry in, so it has a depressing effect on the market. And in a year like 2010 with the size of our crop, if we would have had just an average carry in coming in, I believe that the price of the finished products would have gone up significantly and certainly the grower prices would have gone up very significantly. How much, you know, I haven't done that analysis, but that's what I mean is that there's a depressing effect when we have a very large, the carry in influences the next year's crop price. And to the extent that that carry-in is in reserve pool it's not as much of an effect, but there's still some and in a year like '09 when the production is so much above what we can actually market, we would have seen prices significantly higher this year if we would have put more on the ground last year.

Q Thank you.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Who else would
like to ask Mr. Nugent something? Mr. Engeler?

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. ENGELER:
Q Yes, Mr. Nugent you mentioned that if the grower in a large crop year put cherries on the ground or diverted, that he would not incur harvest costs. Could you mention a couple other costs there that they would be able to avoid, I think it was cooling and transportation. Do you have a, like an estimate on what cost for those functions are?

A Yeah, I actually included those but the, yeah I do. They, the variable harvest costs, at our average yield, is about four point five cents, but $I$ don't expect this to occur on average yields, it's going to be on the larger crops. And here I have to do some adjusting from what's in the book because our harvest costs, if we are harvesting a lot
of cherries per pound it is cheaper per pound to harvest a large crop than does a small crop, because we shake less trees to fill the tank. But we do have more tank handling, so on a per acre basis the cost does go up some, so I just can't take per acre cost and divide straight.

I increase the cost per acre, which is the way that they, we basically calculate it. I took that per acre cost of roughly $\$ 340$ per acre, variable cost of harvest, for an average crop. If the crop was really small the per acre cost would go down, really large it goes up, so I increased that 10 percent and then if I divided that 10 percent by, say 12,000 pound yield instead of a 7,500 pound more average yield, that got me to a cost of about three point one cents for that variable cost of the shaking. And then the cooling and shipping costs are pretty much just a function of how many cherries you handle. It doesn't matter if it's a big crop
or a small crop, it's pretty much fixed rate, and our combination of those two according to our cost of production study is two point two cents a pound, so a large crop, small crop, it wouldn't matter.

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. HEDIN:
Q Jim, can you explain what you mean by cooling?

A Cherries are harvested into water, they're mechanically harvested, first of all, rather than hand picked. And when they float off our mechanical harvesters they're conveyed into tanks of water that hold roughly 1,000 to 1,100 pounds of cherries. The cherries sink in the water but the water makes, adds in a buoyancy that it can handle them in big tanks. But if we were doing this dry, when we used to pick cherries by hand, when I was young, we picked in a lug where the depth of the cherry was only a few inches, because this is a very fragile, soft fruit. And if we stacked them
very deep, like in a bushel basket, they would just be smashed in the bottom. So our lugs were quite shallow.

When we mechanized the harvest we went to this water handling, so we put them in water and then we need to cool the, and bring the field heat out of the cherries, because when they go through the pitters the colder the cherry the better they pit. And getting pits out is probably our issue number on in this industry. It's, I wish we could get 100 percent out, but the colder we get that fruit, the higher the percentage of pits that we get extracted. So, the growers bring those tanks of the water onto an area where they flush water through them to bring that field heat down as to, you know, more of an equilibration with our, with our ground water temperatures.

EXAMINATION
BY MR. ENGELER:
Q Okay, just one other thing. Now as a grower I was wondering what, if you could
summarize what factors you would, you would consider in a large crop year whether to divert or not divert? I think what -- if you could just kind of summarize?

A Yep, yep, no that's a very good question. Number one factor, as a grower I'm going to talk to my processor and see what their plans are, because not all processors are in the situation as Tom, I mentioned some processors have more, do more exporting that others, have more new product, new market credits than others. So they have to look, and I have to work with my processors, processor or multiple processors, not, many growers deliver, some growers deliver to more than one processor, and some growers deliver to just one. So they're going to, that's going to be the number one step, and the processors will give every grower a clue of kind of what's their plan for this, this crop. And then as a grower, I can either follow just what that processor wants, or I
always have the option of diverting more in the orchard if I choose to. I have known that to occur, and actually in 1998 was a very large crop and we had a very low price, and one grower that diverted the whole crop probably made as much money or more, net, at the end of the year of anybody that harvested or just about anybody. I mean, so there is a time for it, but that's kind of the, that would be very exceptional. For the most part, most growers aren't going to divert excess.

Though, another really important factor that has come into it is what's my quality, because I'm talking about this, and yet if we have a windstorm come through the Suttons Bay area, I may need, it may be desirable for me to put more of my product on the ground and let my processor get somebody else to divert less somewhere else where the quality is better. So, and we do have a couple of major factors that effect quality. Wind is, wind is certainly one, it's such a
fragile fruit, and the other one is the fruit late in the season will sometimes get soft and if my processor puts up a lot of juice we can take that product, either wind whipped or juice and, or soft and divert into juice, but if $I$ don't my processor doesn't have those options, I might decide I'm going to put more product on the ground, or my processor might encourage me to put more product on the ground and then do some kind of a, a sharing, so that the grower that got to take in everything, we'd both get, you know, we'd both kind of share in that. So a lot of it is driven by the, in the order we call handlers, but in the industry we call them processors.

Q Would the relative value of the diversion certificate be a impact your decision at all

A Yes, it would. Yeah, I would definitely be more apt to put fruit on the ground under this proposal.

Q Thanks.

BY MR. JOHNSON:
Q Hi Jim, and thanks for that historical cost --

A I hope it wasn't too much, Ken.
Q Jim, just a couple quick questions. One, as a grower, do you see any potential downside if this proposal is --

A Yes, there's always trade offs in anything I can think of. This one has them as well. As we've already talked about, the diversion percentage actually goes up. The more fruit we put on the ground, the larger the diversion percentage is going to go up. If we picked out exactly an estimate because of the way it's calculated, because you're changing the divisor. So if, if I'm a grower that delivers to a processor that has a lot of exports, for example, and I don't, they could handle the diversion without putting anything
on the ground, my processors and therefore my fruit, more of it's going to end up in the diversion than it would otherwise. So there is a trade off, there's no question. That's, I can't really think though of another trade off, if there is one I'm not thinking of it right now, but that's the flip side. In my analysis I think overall that growers are going to benefit though more with this than without it. But it will vary somewhat depending on who my fruit goes to.

Q Okay, I will withdraw my second question that $I$ had for you.

A Thanks. JUDGE CLIFTON: Ms. Deskins? EXAMINATION

BY MS. DESKINS:
Q I wanted to ask you, Mr. Nugent, I just had couple questions about Exhibit 9 and 10. Exhibit 9, you said that you got all the information from USDA data?

A Yes.

Q Okay, and then is that the, and then you also testified you used Exhibit 10 made with the $9 ?$

A Yeah, yes, the data came from, from those, with the exception of my ranking, down on the, right were I ranked the '09 crop, it's there I actually went back further in time, and I didn't copy the reference material, but it all came from the same data source, only going back further in time.

Q I looked through Exhibit 10 and who's the, some of your sources also are the CIAB?

A To the, in that data I believe that's all what USDA publishes. Perry, you might have to help me on this one. I believe that's all USDA published data, but to the extent that they get information from USDA or from CIAB, it might influence that. Help me out on that one.

MR. HEDIN: Yes, the first page is
in fact sourced from, some from us, some from
the USDA. The capture date each and every year on production by district, so some of that would come from year, or the CIAB. Page two --

THE WITNESS: But do the totals create the district? He's correct, the USDA no longer gives us a break down of production or estimates by district in Michigan. They did for decades, but they quit doing that when we quit, when they quit doing an objective yield survey. They used to, we actually used to have them come out and count cherries for a more accurate, for an accurate pre-harvest estimate. When the industry decided to no longer fund that USDA quit doing it. They now present just statewide date. So, but that, I never used any of this analysis. I was always using US totals, so it wouldn't have come into play.

MR. HEDIN: And then the third page is indeed citing USDA non-citrus fruit -which is the production by year.

MS. DESKINS: Because on page two of Exhibit 10 you also refer to the food institute report of CHERRCO, did you get any information from them to prepare Exhibit 9 and 10?

MR. HEDIN: The pricing component, Sharlene, is from the food institute reports. The only entity we know of who generates price for products, so that's what they've cited there.

THE WITNESS: But I believe that the grower price then is actually based on USDA surveys, which I cited. I didn't use that particular set of data. I have used it for other purposes, and the farm gate value was also, I believe, USDA data, it's not dependent on that source. USDA does surveys each year of what the growers end up receiving. So I believe the data I cited was all USDA but I could be wrong. BY MS. DESKINS:

Q And I had a question for you also, Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc.
on page two of your table you have a column that's headed movement --

A I actually didn't bring a copy of that one up here, so if $I$ could get that, if you wouldn't mind.

MR. HEDIN: If I may note, as we had said --

JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Hedin, I think I should put you under oath, because you're giving us some information here that will be evidence if you're under oath.

MR. HEDIN: -- the last question.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Would you raise your right hand, please? Whereupon, PERRY M. HEDIN, called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

WITNESS HEDIN: I just wanted to mention, and Jim said this in his testimony, this was prepared by a fellow who was a
graduate student at MSU before, and he does this in conjunction with the Cherry Marketing Institute. So, I'm not sure that Jim is the person who can attest to some of that data, and he's just, and he's taking it from the CMI stats book which is published annually by the Cherry Marketing Institute and has been done so for probably 20 years.

WITNESS NUGENT: Yes, and what I used off, from that particular page, was the grower price average which according to the footnote is from the USDA non-citrus fruits and nuts. The movement data, $I$ better not speak to it because I'm not 100 percent sure where movement comes from. I didn't, I didn't utilize that in my, when I looked at supplies for processing $I$ did not use that movement figure. Movement is very relevant to the industry, but it includes what's sold, whether it was carried in or new product, and I, so I didn't use that.

## EXAMINATION

BY MS. ENGELER:
Q And then, you mentioned a report earlier, the Agriculture Economics Report?

A Yes.
Q Do you recall that, number 639?
A Yes.
Q Would you like us to take official notice of it?

A If, you might want to, yeah that might be a, might be a good idea, might be a good reference for you. So if you would like I can leave this copy with you, it would be fine.

Q If it's your only copy, if we could take official notice of it you don't need to --

JUDGE CLIFTON: Actually, I'd
like, if we can, anything we take official
notice of, I'd like to have a hard copy for the record. It's not legally required it's just practically very helpful, so if you wouldn't mind our taking it from you that
would be --
WITNESS NUGENT: Not a bit. I
don't think I've scribbled this copy up at all, so --

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, now because we're taking official notice of it, it doesn't get an exhibit number, but Ms. Salehi I'd like you to keep it with the materials that you're gathering.

MS. SALEHI: Yes.
JUDGE CLIFTON: And you may approach the witness. And Ms. Salehi, would you read into the record what it is and I will take official notice of it.

MS. SALEHI: Sure. The title of this document is Agricultural Economics Report, report number 639, dated September 2010. The title is Michigan Production Costs for the Tart Cherries by Production Region. It is authored by Roy Black, James Nugent, Nikki Rothwell, Suzanne Thornsbury and Nicole Olynk. I hope I did not mess up anybody's
name. Would you like me to spell those names? Roy Black, R-o-y last name B-l-a-c-k. James Nugent, J-a-m-e-s last name $N-u-g-e-n-t$. Nikki Rothwell, N-i-k-k-i last name R-o-t-h-w-e-l-l. Suzanne Thornsbury, S-u-z-a-n-n-e last name T-h-o-r-n-s-b-u-r-y. And Nicole Olynk, N-i-c-o-l-e last name 0-l-y-n-k. JUDGE CLIFTON: I hereby take official notice of that publication, and I would like those publications that we have official notice taken of to be included in what is filed with the hearing clerk, and hopefully posted on the website for others to see if they want.

## EXAMINATION

BY MS. DESKINS:
Q Mr. Nugent, you also testified the use of porpoise amendment, and I assume you're referring to the amendment to 930.59 , the grower diversion?

A Correct.
Q Do you, did you have an opinion on
the other two amendments that were issued this morning?

A The, basically all of those changes in the marketing order are all part of this same amendment issue. So I think it's, yeah I was really speaking generically of all three of the specific changes that need to occur for us to be able to amend the order to make it work in this new way.

Q Thank you. I have no further questions.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. McFetridge?

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. MCFETRIDGE:
Q Hello Mr. Nugent, this is Marc McFetridge, USDA. I just have a couple of quick questions for you. I know you stated earlier that you were a small grower, and I just wanted to get it on record, so based on the Small Business Administration, their definition of a small grower is having annual receipts of less than $\$ 750,000$ ?

A Yes, yes.
Q All right.
A Unfortunately.
Q And then based on the Small
Business Administration definition of a small grower, do you see these proposed amendments having any adverse impact on small businesses?

A No I do not.
Q Thank you very much.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Who else has
questions for Mr. Nugent? Mr. Nugent, is there anything else that you can think of that you'd like to add at this point?

WITNESS NUGENT: I don't believe so.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, thank you. You may step down. And Mr. Hill, would you like to call your next witness?

MR. HILL: One second.
JUDGE CLIFTON: All right.
MR. HILL: Richard DeRuiter we're going to call now.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, now Mr. Hill, if you will approach me I will give you the copies that have been made. I don't know which witnesses would speak to these. Now, you'll recall this little number is the number for how many copies needed to be made, so we will need numbers for the exhibits themselves. MR. HILL: Okay, sure. MR. DERUITER: I"m going to need my copy, too.

JUDGE CLIFTON: And let me give you this as well, even though this is for the next person. This is number, ten copies were made of that. I need a copy I didn't keep one and the Court Reporter, thanks. What's being handed out now is on the letterhead of, I'm going to try to pronounce this name correctly, DeRuiter Farms, Inc.? MR. DERUITER: Yes. JUDGE CLIFTON: And I'm going to mark this as Exhibit 11.

MS. SALEHI: I'm sorry your Honor,
would you please repeat that?
JUDGE CLIFTON: Exhibit 11,
DeRuiter Farms, Inc. I think I probably said it better the first time. It's a two page document.

MR. HILL: And your Honor, we're going to move for admission of Exhibits 9 and 10.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any objection to the admission of Exhibit 9?

MS. DESKINS: No objection.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Exhibit 9 is
admitted into evidence. Is there any objection to the admission of Exhibit 10?
(Whereupon Exhibit No. 9 was
admitted into evidence.)
MS. DESKINS: No objection.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Exhibit 10 is
admitted into evidence. All right, how many people did not receive a copy of Exhibit 11, the DeRuiter letterhead exhibit? That's good news. All right, would you please state and

doing?
A Good.
Q Exhibit 11 has just been marked as your personal statement?

A Mm-hmm.
Q And --
A Okay, thank you. I'm Rich DeRuiter, President of DeRuiter Farms Incorporated, which is located in Hart, Michigan, and in district two of the Federal marketing order. DeRuiter Farms Incorporated is considered a large producer and a small handler, by definition of the USDA. I have served as a board member or an alternate for the board since 1997, and I'm currently on the board serving as Secretary. I am here to testify in favor of the proposed amendments, I want to make that plural, to make in orchard diversion credits a bottom line credit.

Currently the way the optimal supply formula works, the higher the restricted percentage gets the less incentive
there is for handlers to accept the credits. Therefore, when there is a large crop, as in 2009, coupled with a large restricted percentage, excess cherries were packed rather than diverted on the ground. The proposed amendment would put diverted fruit at the same value as other credits that the order allows. One pound of credits on the ground equals one pound of bottom line credit.

DeRuiter Farms Incorporated has participated in every form of dealing with restricted fruit through the years. We have had export credits, new product credits, new market credits, and had diverted product at our plant and in our orchards. We have only diverted fruit in the orchard because of economic reasons, either the age of the tree was too young to put a mechanical harvester on them, or the crop size in that particular block was below the threshold of harvesting it.

> With the proposed change to the
bottom line credit, we would be more likely to divert fruit rather than speculate on fruit that has little or no chance of selling in the future. I believe the proposed amendment is grower friendly, because excess cherries that can't be sold in the export, new product and market expansion areas won't have to sit in a storage accumulating storage bills and lowering grower returns. And I would like to thank the USDA for tracking this, fast tracking this hearing, and I hope that they would expedite the rest of the process so this amendment can be in place by the 2012 season. Thank you.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Let's begin with Mr. Hill's table. Are there questions for Mr. DeRuiter?

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. HEDIN:
Q Rich, in the past you've heard a couple now testify about the volume of inorchard diversion activity. What's been your
history at DeRuiter Farms of in-orchard diversion activity?

A Like my statement said, it's been limited to either young trees or block that have had low crops on them and we take a chance on diverting them rather than incurring the harvesting cost.

Q Do you think that you as a producer and you as a handler will see more of that activity as a consequence of this?

A I believe if the amendment passes I think there will be more incentive for growers and handlers to participate in inorchard diversion credits.

Q And as both Mr. Facer and Mr. Nugent said, are you of the opinion that it will increase the returns to growers?

A Yes, as I stated it's going to reduce storage costs and to the grower, as other people have stated, harvesting costs and trucking cooling expenses at the grower level.

But at the handler level, too, it reduces
some costs of storage and of not selling the product for a period of time.

Q You mentioned that you had used all of the alternatives for in-orchard, or for excuse me, compliance. Which do you find the most beneficial and will this amendment equate the grower diversion price to the other alternatives?

A Well, we're a grower processor, and on the processor side I think it's better for us to try to sell fruit if we can make money doing it, if we can meet the restriction by doing so, but at a grower level if you can't make profit at it, it's better to walk away from it and divert it, so it's strictly an economic threshold how we make our decision.

Q Thank you, Rich. JUDGE CLIFTON: Ms. Salehi?

EXAMINATION
BY MS. SALEHI:
Q I just have one question for you Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
from your written testimony here. You have referred to the accumulated storage bill, and I just wanted to know if that was a huge percentage of the bill as far as costs are concerned?

A On our five plus one product, that's a certain product that we put up, the storage bill is somewhere between three quarters and a cent a pound a month to keep it in storage. So that's the accumulating bill that occurs on that product.

Q Thank you.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Per month, for how much volume?

THE WITNESS: Per pound.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Per pound. What other questions are there for Mr. DeRuiter?

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. ENGELER:
Q In your testimony you refer to being a member of the board and I assume, just for clarification purposes, you mean the

Cherry Industry Administrative Board, is that correct?

A Yes.
Q Okay, thank you. And you also indicated that you are a grower and also a handler, that DeRuiter Farms is a grower and handler, and I was wondering if your handler entity also receives cherries from any growers besides DeRuiter Farms?

A Yes, we do.
Q Okay, and the last witness testified that one of the decisions, one of the factors he would consider in diverting cherries would be he would first work with his processors and check with them. Would you, speaking from the processor, handler side, would you work with, tell your outside growers to do the same?

A Yes.
Q Okay, that's all I have. JUDGE CLIFTON: Who else? Mr. Johnson.

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. JOHNSON:
Q Hi Rich, just for clarification or to further explain, as a grower if you divert cherries you would, while there is no guarantee, but you would assume that by diverting those cherries you would save your handler money. And I guess it's your hope that that saving would be passed on to you and other growers in the form of a higher return?

A That would be our hope, because there would be lest cost than to handling the compliance for the restricted percentages.

Q From previous testimony of Jim Nugent, there's no way you could ascertain what that would be, but you would expect to have a --

A I would expect it to be higher.
Q Thanks. JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Hill? EXAMINATION

BY MR. HILL:

Q As well as a large producer and a small handler, do you see any kind of a disparate impact between small and large producers or small and large handlers from this --

A No, I don't.
Q And you mentioned the, this was a sign, you mentioned a five plus one product, what is that?

A Well, it's a form of processing, it's five parts cherries and one part sugar, that's how we pack it, with a sugar cap runs on it.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Ms. Salehi?
EXAMINATION
BY MS. SALEHI:
Q I was reading your testimony, could you please expand on the statement that says, I'm an on the fourth paragraph, and it starts with, we have only diverted fruit in the orchard because of the economic reasons, either the age of the tree was too young to
put the mechanical harvester on it, or the crop size of the particular block was below the cost threshold of harvesting it.

Q Sure, young trees, we have a mechanical harvester that grips onto the bottom of the tree and shakes the tree. And if the trunk diameter is too small in some blocks, it might meet the age requirement for the CIAB to divert, which I think is six years. And so we'll make a decision instead of to hurt the tree, or harm the tree, we'd rather divert that for another year and let them grow for another year, that was the one case. And then in the other year, when the, if a crop has a low corp, and I'm putting my grower hat on now, we'll sample the block on a block diversion and see if that poundage of diverting would be less than harvesting it, and that it would an economic, better for us to write that down rather than run a harvester over there for a few pounds and incur the high cost per pound of harvesting that. So that's
the economic decision of that, so.
Q Okay.
A That's when we made the decision to divert.

Q Thank you. JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. McFetridge? EXAMINATION BY MR. MCFETRIDGE:

Q Marc McFetridge, USDA, I just have a couple quick questions. How will these amendments impact the growers who do not divert tart cherries?

A I think that they probably bring in fruit the same to their handlers. I think their handlers are going to dictate what they want done, like what Mr. Nugent was talking about. I don't think it would, there would be a slight increase in percentage as on the restricted percentage. Other than that I don't think the growers would, they lean on their handlers. The handlers are who is being regulated, so.

|  | Page 162 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q Would there be any additional |
| 2 | costs that would be incurred by them versus |
| 3 | being diverted? |
| 4 | A I don't believe so. |
| 5 | Q I just have a clarification, when |
| 6 | using this word diverted, so basically the |
| 7 | cherries will not leave the orchard? Do they |
| 8 | still get picked or they fall on the ground, |
| 9 | or I guess depending on the grower? |
| 10 | A Abandoned is one way, some growers |
| 11 | choose to shake them on the ground to get the |
| 12 | fruit off the tree. It's a choice. I think |
| 13 | after we get all done harvesting and receiving |
| 14 | -- |
| 15 | JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Hedin? |
| 16 | EXAMINATION |
| 17 | BY MR. HEDIN: |
| 18 | Q Were you here when Mr. Facer was |
| 19 | testifying? |
| 20 | A Yes. |
| 21 | Q And he went through the |
| 22 | description of how the various orchard |
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diversions are done?
A Yes.
Q Is it your understanding from the same, can you adopt his --

A Yes, I agree with Tom.
Q Okay. And that fruit, that never comes back into a processing facility as a consequence, or after diversion, correct?

A That would be a violation, yeah.
Q Okay. Thank you.
JUDGE CLIFTON: What other
questions are there for Mr. DeRuiter?
Mr. DeRuiter, can you think of anything you'd like to add?

THE WITNESS: No.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. You may step down.

Mr. Hill, do you want to call another witness?

MR. HILL: Yes. I'd like to move Exhibit 11, the statement, into admission. JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any
objection to Exhibit 11 being admitted?
MS. DESKINS: No objection.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Exhibit 11 is
admitted into evidence.
(Whereupon Exhibit No. 11 was admitted into evidence.)

JUDGE CLIFTON: And who would be your next witness?

MR. HILL: Next would be Roy
Hackert. And we do have a page to hand out here, which I would like to mark as Exhibit 12.
(Whereupon Exhibit No. 12 was marked for identification.)

JUDGE CLIFTON: Were there enough
for everyone to have one, Exhibit 12? Is there anyone that needs one?

All right. I'll swear you in
seated. Would you first state and spell you name for us?

MR. HACKERT: Roy Hackert, R-o-y,
$\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}-\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{e}-\mathrm{r}-\mathrm{t}$.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. Would you raise your right hand, please? Whereupon,

## ROY HACKERT,

called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

## JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Hill?

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. HILL:
Q We're on the afternoon, the packet. I see you have a statement. Could you please read it for the record?

A Sure. Roy Hackert, 4262 West Kissler Road, Ludington, Michigan. I, along with my sons, Brian, David and Jason operate a family farm in Mason County. I've been a grower since 1970 and a processor and grower since 1973. We support the amendment for inorchard diversion that is currently being proposed.

> We need to look at this not as a
grower issue, but also a benefit to processors. With the old Cherry Marketing Order, which I served on the board, the order for grower diversions, certificate diversions, were bottom line credits. And that's the order prior to this one that, $I$ think it expired in '89 or something like that.

When the new order was drafted, I believe we thought diversion credits would be the same. And I can expound on that after. The problem exists in large crop years where the rules don't encourage in-orchard diversion. If there is a small restriction, up to 20 percent, it doesn't affect the value to the grower. Now that we're faced with higher restrictions, 20 to 55 or more, the value has decreased to a point where it's better to harvest and deliver.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Hackert, I
want you to re-read that just so that everybody knows that 20 to 55 were percentages, if you would.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, okay. Now that we are faced with higher restrictions, 20 percent to 55 percent or more, the value has decreased to a point where it's better to harvest and deliver. This has a negative impact by creating large surpluses in the industry. The value is less compared to the credits because a processor has to add the tonnage to what they handle.

In large crop years with bottom line credit, the grower will be encouraged by the processor to defer ground, defer food on the ground because they can get full credit and have the same values as other credits. The grower that diverts cherries on the ground should get the same credit value as export or market expansion because revenue on these cherries is zero.

The processor involved may not have access to export or market expansion. His only choice may be to have his growers do in-orchard diversion and collect the
certificates. With bottom line credits in place, a grower diversion will reduce carryover and increase grower price. The grower's cost is the cheapest with in-orchard diversion. The grower does not incur handling costs, freight and association fees.

The supply formula will change, but we believe that's okay. I spent a lot of time on committees to work toward creating a better marketing order. We think it is time for another change in the order so it operates better for the future.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Let's start with Mr. Hill's table for questions for Mr. Hackert.

MR. HEDIN: Thank you, your Honor. EXAMINATION

BY MR. HEDIN:
Q Roy, in your testimony you say it's better to harvest and deliver. Can you expound on that a little bit? Why is it better?

A Well, it comes to, like when we set our marketing plan as a processor, we'll decide how much food we're going to process first and then how much, we'll know the percentages, preliminary percentages for the Marketing Order Board, which I serve on the Board also.

And then once that's established we'll say okay, we harvest this much for free tonnage, we think we can export this much, we think we can have market expansion for this much. And then we would hope that the bottom line credits for on the ground would be there so that, and get the full credit, not this reduced credit because we have to add it to our handle. So, and when you get to this point of higher restriction, it's better to harvest and deliver because you don't get half the credit that you normally would get for the market expansion export, and you take your chances then in the marketplace of either demand release, and up in the, $I$ call it a
cesspool, it's a secondary pool. Because that, you can hardly get out of that, it takes credits to get out.

And so when you factor all that in with the way it was, it's better to deliver and harvest and take your chances. This will be a lot more orderly marketing plan that we'll be able to set forth, knowing we can get bottom line credits for diversion on the ground.

Also, though I believe it'll
still, diverting cherries on the ground will still be the last option we'll use. It would definitely not be a primary option for compliance, getting an entire company in compliance with the regulations. We'll use export, market expansion, will be the primary ones and then we'll look at how much room we have in the primary pool. We'll probably not want to try to be in the cesspool even though we might end up there because a crop may pick out higher.

So we'll be very conservative on the amount we ask our growers to put on the ground. And that'll be our last option. And I think that'll be the last option of most processors. I don't think that's a primary option unless he's in a mature market and he has no other options, no export, no market expansion. But his only way to comply would be on the ground and that would utilize there. But I think there's very few processors in that arena.

Q So it's the heavy discounting of the grower certificates as recurring and constructive that presents the problem?

A Yes. In 2009, you know, at our grower meeting, we're a cooperative, and I'm president of the cooperative, and we said, well, we'd better process them because the cost is so costly to add this to our tonnage, so we did. We ended up in a cesspool and we always carried charges. Hindsight it was a bad decision, but the decision was made and
the cost was so great of having this percentage so high.

And so it'll be an easier decision in the future with this, as a, it'll just be an orderly way to market our fruit.

Q You also talked about the old marketing order and the treatment of grower diversions. Now I realize that the old order with a grower rather than a handler, but exactly how were those old orchards, diversion credits used in the old order?

A Well, it definitely was a handling order and this is a processor order or handling order, but when a grower harvests his crop, they use the random roll method. And a lot of growers, that was the best way because the credits end up being a bottom line, but the burden was their burden by themselves. Whatever decision they made was their decision because they got the bill from the processor, the bill to process their fruit and the CIV actually held the fruit in their name. We had
to actually turn the title over to the marketing board for that fruit.

So if he did do a random roll, which I think there was another couple options to do, but random roll was the most popular back then. But he got a full, he didn't get charged against his account then for the fruit that was processed, and it was a full credit, it wasn't where, the handler did not have to add that tonnage onto his handle.

And so, I know I went to a lot of meetings on this new order, and I think a lot of assumptions were rose when you'd see bottom line credits. But after we got into it, first organization meeting we were at we found out that hey, somebody's got to account for this fruit. And it became the first handler to add that fruit onto its handle. And I don't know why that decision was made but I guess somewhere it slipped through the cracks on the original implementation of the grower.

Q So is it correct that you, your Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
understanding is that we're going back to what was the norm before?

A Yes, very much so.
Q Do you think that that will have any adverse consequences either to you as the processing entity or you as the growing entity?

A No, I think, I think I mentioned, Tom mentioned that, you know, you are going to work with your processor. He's the one that's going to market your fruit for you and actually buy your fruit. This will make it a more orderly marketing plan. And still, I said earlier, they'll still use the ground as a last resort, it will not be a primary resort at all.

But at least when they do the last resort, they'll know they get a bottom line credit and not end up in places where I think a lot of processors ended up in 2009, excess, cesspool quantities they carried. We were able as a processor, we had to buy our way out
but it took grower money to buy our way out of the cesspool.

So growers definitely will get a lot more money for that portion that goes on the ground because we don't have to give that money to somebody else to get this credit, we can do it in-house and our growers will get a bigger return, so.

Q Do you anticipate that your grower base will, in fact, utilize this a little bit more than the old system?

A You know, we're a cooperative so, you know, cooperatives, it's one for all, all for one. I may not have said that, but they usually do collectively what we recommend, and we have that plan behind it so I think that our growers will participate in orchard diversion. Because they saw the other side of not doing it a couple times, not just once, but a couple times in large crops. And large crops is one that's going to be used.

You know, I think in my testimony, Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

20 percent or less, it won't be used. But at least when they get bigger it'll really, it needs to be used. I mean, what are you going to do with the fruit? We can't sell it. And so just collect storage bill, do have a freezer. But that one year we had to truck our cherries, the new crop cherries, to Indiana because our freezer was full of cesspool cherries. So, and that wasn't a very comfortable either. So a lot of lessons learned. This is a great amendment for the order and for long term future.

Q Roy, some people have suggested to me that encouraging increased diversion might short our supplies for a succeeding short crop year. How do you feel about that?

A You know, we had the 50 million primary pool. I think most people in their marketing plan will take their full percent of that. I know there's talk of increasing that tonnage to up to maybe 75 or 100 million pounds. They think that would be a bigger
safety zone. But most processors will fill that, they will not take the chance of being shorted cherries if the market in the next crop year is short.

You can see by the numbers presented why the industry needs a marketing order, it's because we can't crop consistently year in and year out. We're just like the biggest saw you ever saw in the world, it's up and down. And if a crop cropped on averages, good averages year in and year out, this industry would not need a marketing order. But we do need it because of this crop variation.

So I don't know if I've answered your question now, but I really believe that it won't be overused. I think it would be the last, last resort because they'd rather protect the markets they have. Each market you have today in this economy is so important that they're not going to short themselves. By shortening themselves we drop too much on
the ground. It's possible it could happen, but I think that's a rare, you're going to see very conservative on the ground with this rule in place.

Q Mr. Facer talked about way back when, the promulgation time of the order that numbers 25 cents and 25 percent was fixed on the high side, and that had the consequence we've seen been known, they probably wouldn't have gotten the order passed. Do you agree with him on that?

A Well, you know, any industry that has economic bad condition is open for some change or open for something that looks better down the road. And they had the experience of the prior marketing order which I served on that board also. And that was successful just that that order could not be amended, it had to be re-voted on each time anything was done to it. There was a lot of amendments that that order needed because at the time you start ordering, you don't know everything, you
know later on. It's the same with this order. But this order was in place so that we could amend it without losing it. And so with this amendment it just makes it a lot better, and we made other amendments, and we need more, we need more amendments. But as we grow and things change, it's unfortunate we do need to make amendments. Otherwise you have a tool that's obsolete. And we know what obsolescent does, it doesn't continue, so.

MR. HEDIN: I have no further questions, your Honor. Thank you.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Hedin. What other questions are there for Mr. Hackert?

Mr. Hackert, you were talking about a pool, and it sounded to me like you were saying cesspool.

THE WITNESS: That's correct.
JUDGE CLIFTON: What is that?
THE WITNESS: Well, it's the
secondary pool that you end up after the
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primary is full. So if you had this tonnage you packed, and the percentage is filled for the primary pool, then you end up with a secondary pool, which I've named it the cesspool, which it really is. I mean it's hard to get out and you can't borrow any money on it, you can't pay the growers any money. Your growers are, you're covering the cost of carrying this and so it's a pretty easy way to call it the cesspool.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Are you not permitted to market it until the primary pool is gone or something like that?

THE WITNESS: Yes. You can't market it until the primary pool is gone, other than you taking credits that, again, the credits you'd have as a grower, credits on the ground to get it out, but that penalty's so bad that you kind of live with it until you figure another way to get out or in market expansion or export. And we know export market, the prices back to the grower isn't
very good compared to, because we're competing with Poland, which is in the EU Union and we've had a tariff going to Poland.

So, it's a costly deal to get out of it. It's best to have this tool and won't have the cesspool as much. We might end up somewhere, because you can't get it exactly because we're working off estimates -- final in September, but this is just a plus. JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. McFetridge? EXAMINATION BY MR. MCFETRIDGE:

Q Mark McFetridge, USDA. Mr. Hackert, I'd also want to do, I want a clarification on, I know you said you're representing both the grower and the handler?

A Yes.
Q And I wanted to, based on the Small Business Administration definition of a small business having annual receipts of less than $\$ 7$ million and a small agricultural producer having annual receipts of less than
\$750,000, how would you classify your tart cherry operations?

A As a grower, you mean, first?
Q Yes.
A Probably large, then.
Q Okay.
A Well no, under seven million, small.

Q So that's for, as a handler --
A Well the handler would be just for that teeter totter selling.

Q Okay. And then as a grower --
A Under seven million.
Q Under, it's \$750,000 --
A Well as a grower we'd be over that.

Q Okay, all right. Thank you very much. And based on these definitions of a small agricultural producer or small grower, would you see these proposed amendments having any adverse effects on small growers or handlers?

A No. We have large growers and small growers in our cooperative and we think it's an advantage to all.

Q Thank you very much.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Johnson?
EXAMINATION
BY MR. JOHNSON:
Q Hi Roy. One question. Do you see as, under this proposal, do you envision growers, a widespread diversion of, to grow a product? And if you do, given the situation in 2009 when there was adverse publicity because of product being dropped, do you think the benefits would outweigh any negative PR you might get from the dropping of, the diverting of product?

A Well, I believe part of that publicity was growers weren't getting hardly anything for what they did, dropped underground. And now, I believe that price will increase because it'll have the same value as the other credits, and it'll just be
a better way to comply with the restriction. And so I don't, I think the publicity on this will be more positive. I mean, you still might get a couple growers that don't have a home for their fruit, that aren't tied to any processor, that sort of thing, but most growers of tart cherries are tied somewhat close to their processors and agree to their marketing plan. And this will be so much easier for a processor to develop a marketing plan that makes sense, instead of the one we have today.

Q Thanks. JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Hedin? EXAMINATION BY MR. HEDIN:

Q Roy, you mentioned discussion about, and I hate to bring this element up, but we have the preliminary and the final numbers and right now those have been changing, they will change by definition but does the fact that bottom line credits will
have a given value regardless of what happens with the pack out, enhance their work to you as the processor?

A Well, again, it depends on the crop size. You know, the large crop is where all this will come to play, and that's when we have, when they're all the same value, you'll be able to, like I said, earlier said, a good marketing plan that makes sense that, so your growers can get the largest return. We're a processor but we're a grower/processor. We're owned by growers, so our incentive is to get return growers as much money as we can and still maintain a strong profit. So we see this as a plus, plus for that to get more grower money back in their pockets.

To add to that, you know, 2009, we actually had to take money out of our cooperative and give it to one of our competitors because he had some credits to sell. That doesn't set very well, you know. We enhanced our competitor. And these
credits, we would manage our own business this way with this, and that's where it should be. We should not be helping a competitor.

Q Thank you.
JUDGE CLIFTON: What other
questions are there for Mr. Hackert? Mr. Engeler, you thought of something? All right. Mr. Hackert, is there anything else you'd like to add?

MR. HACKERT: Yes. I think we really need this for 2012 crop. You know, the weather conditions, we had a little experience here in Michigan, we had snow when we left this morning. And so all conditions are coming for us to have a favorable crop in the condition. And so, and you never know. I mean, we could have a frost two weeks from now and hurt us bad, but traditionally when we get late spring like this we're going to have a large crop. And this rule needs, really needs to be part of our marketing plan this coming year.

And I appreciate the time you spent and to fast track this. But I think, hopefully when you're done with these hearings, between Utah and here, that you're going to find how important this is to the industry. It's a tool we need so our growers can get more money. Because in the testimony earlier, you know, and I believe some of these numbers are correct, the cost of production is pretty high. And this year it's going to be higher yet. We got higher sugar costs, we got higher plastic costs, you know, our, more fuel, our capital bills are more. I mean, I'm jumping between grower and processor here, but we need every tool we can get. So, and the growers, you saw our returns. They're not where they need to be for growers. And this will really help.

One other thing I'd like to add, in Tom's report, there's one page here, I don't know if you're going to spend any time on this, but $I$ think it's page 10, I think
there's an error in 2009 on grower pricing. JUDGE CLIFTON: Is that the top page or?

THE WITNESS: Page 10 at the bottom.

MR. HEDIN: Which report are you referring to?

THE WITNESS: I think it was Tom's.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay, now I'm in --

THE WITNESS: No, no, it's not Tom's, okay, here it is, U.S. Tart Cherry Crops decision, who presented that, marked it. Okay I think that hopefully, I'm sure when you go down to Oregon here, page 10, the grower price was not 85 cents.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. So we're in Exhibit 7, we're on page 10, and help walk me to --

THE WITNESS: We'll go to the top and find Oregon and we went --

JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay.
THE WITNESS: -- down to 2009.
JUDGE CLIFTON: All right.
THE WITNESS: I believe there's an error of some sort there. And I've never known USDA to make an error but it's possible. And then if you move over to Washington, -washing 47 cents, I think that's an error. I think it's more of a consensus between this around 20 cents a pound or 25 cents a pound probably is where 2009 crop. And so I wouldn't want you to think that one state's unable to pay growers a lot more than another. And I think that, hopefully that, you know, that I can make more emphasis that this will be the last resort processors will use. It's not the first resort. We've got too many other tools to use to get growers to get a return for the dollar. So if anybody thinks this is primary use of compliance, it'll be the last use. I think I can speak for a lot of processors.

MR. HEDIN: Your Honor, can I follow up on that?

JUDGE CLIFTON: You may, Mr. Hedin. BY MR. HEDIN:

Q Roy, can you look at page eight, the last two lines there, '09 and '10, showing the US product of 358.9 and 190.4 respectively. And then on page ten it shows grower prices for the same years at 19 and 21 cents respectively. Earlier you talked about the impact of the large carryover generated from '09. Do you think those prices reflect that fact?

A Oh, I think very much so. I know as president of our cooperative we still have not paid off 2009 crop because we still got some cherries in inventory yet that we probably shouldn't have had, and I know we wouldn't have had if we would have had this rule in our tools to use to market this crop. And so our growers are still hanging out
there, you know, how much are we going to get for 2009, I said well, storage keeps eating things up, and three-tenths have said, or is it roughly is it a month?

But we're still in that seven cents a pound on the year, and here we are, what year is it, you know, and here we still got 2009 crop inventory.

Q Thank you.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Hackert, if you were to have the rule available for the 2012 crop, when would the final rule have to be published in the federal register in order for that to work for your operation?

THE WITNESS: I believe somewhere around the first of July would be sufficient. A couple days after would be okay. But somewhere in there so we know what we're doing. But this later crop we have coming, I think that, I think Mr. Johnson's guarantee is we'd have this for 2012.

JUDGE CLIFTON: So, just so I'm
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clear, you're saying if you had it by July of 2012 you could use it for 2012?

THE WITNESS: No, did I say '12, I said '11, I meant '11.

JUDGE CLIFTON: You didn't say.
THE WITNESS: Oh, okay, I retract all that. Okay. It's 2011 we need this for. MR. HEDIN: For the next harvest? THE WITNESS: This next harvest coming up, yeah. And so, and I know a lot of effort's been put forth by USDA to fast track this, and so we appreciate that. Except that hopefully we don't get bogged down somewhere. And I know Utah will I think be all positive, I believe. And I think you'll see Michigan is somewhat positive or all positive. I didn't see any negatives.
And it's very seldom you get a
motion across the board, the CIAB Board that passes, you know, to the level instead with -so that's how serious I think everybody feels they need it. There's some people that's not
for it but for different reasons than not for what's best for the industry. I think the people you've seen testify here today really believe they're testifying what's best for the industry and for the future.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Any other questions of Mr. Hackert?

MR. HILL: I would like to enter this into evidence --

JUDGE CLIFTON: Is there any objection?

MS. DESKINS: No objection. JUDGE CLIFTON: Exhibit 12 is admitted into evidence.
(Whereupon Exhibit 12 was admitted into evidence.) JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, Mr. Hackert, you may step down. MR. HEDIN: Your Honor, I know we're running a little bit past schedule, but we have only one more person in the crowd I believe, who is planning to testify. So could
we proceed with that and then take lunch? JUDGE CLIFTON: Well, let me see what else we're going to do today. Is this the last witness that you folks have, today? MR. HEDIN: I will be following up.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Well, let's take a little break and confer with the gentleman who would be testifying and see if he would like to have lunch first and then testify.

MR. HACKERT: He rides with me so I don't think he wants --

JUDGE CLIFTON: You don't think he's going to what?

MR. HACKERT: I --
JUDGE CLIFTON: So you'd like for
him to be able to testify?
MR. HACKERT: Yes.
JUDGE CLIFTON: All right.
MR. HACKERT: That way they can
leave.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Very good. You
Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc.
202-234-4433
may come forward. I don't know what that does to the schedule for the courtroom. Let's go off the record a minute.
(Off the record.)
JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. While we were off record Mr. Hill called Daryl Peterson. Mr. Peterson, would you please state and spell both your names?

MR. PETERSON: Daryl Peterson, D-a-r-y-l, P-e-t-e-r-s-o-n.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you, and I'll swear you in as you're seated. Whereupon,

## DARYL PETERSON,

called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you.
EXAMINATION
BY MR. HILL:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Peterson. Could you tell us a little bit about yourself?

A Yes. I operate a management company called Bushel Basket Orchard, Incorporated. We operate in Mason County. We manage properties for over 20 different owners. And we grow tart cherries, sweet cherries and apples, mostly. The handler for our operation is Michigan Food Processors Cooperative, of which Mr. Hackert is the president.

And so when Mr. Hackert says that he has to convince his growers of his marketing plan, I'm one of those growers he's got to convince. So I would, in speaking for the Order, I am in favor of the amendment changes that are needed to make orchard diversions equivalent to other diversion credits.

To give you an example of how that would work in our operation, because I manage properties for a number of different producers, in the event we have a weather event, we may abandon a particular block of
fruit. That may be, happen to belong to one of the producers I'm managing for. So then we would prorate his value based on the total that we harvest in our operation. So we can pick and choose which quality is the best to divert. And we have done that before, but the incentive of not getting pound for pound credit has been a deterrent to doing that.

So it's my opinion that we do need these changes to the marketing order to make our marketing plan, which Mr. Hackert will present next spring, or this spring, something we got a better handle on.

The other comment I'd like to make is in regards to how rules have unintended consequences. And when this rule, when I first, when the marketing order was first adopted and I became aware of this rule, and the way it was to be operated, it was like, I can't believe that. If I take cherries and don't deliver them, by choice, the person who I give the certificate to for those non-
harvested cherries has to count them as harvested cherries. Makes no sense to me. It's a rule that makes no sense, thus the amendments.

So, that's the comments I would
like to make.
Q Can you please tell us what was the name --

A Oh, Bushel Basket Orchards, Incorporated.

Q And is your, are you a small or large entity?

A I guess we would be classified as large. But I'd like to make a point, $I$ have a couple of people who $I$ manage a five acre cherry plot for, so.

Q You know, you mentioned the issue of grades, or quality and proration, can you explain for those who are new to the industry what quality, the quality issues and how they reflect your payment schedules?

A Well, generally the pricing for
the product from our cooperative is based on a percentage score. And the general breaks are like if it's 92 to 100 is one price, if it's an 88 to a 92 grade it's a different price, and if it's 85 to 88 it's a third price and then anything below 85 is considered a juice price.

Q So, the ability to divert some of the poorer quality is really an important factor in determining how to handle your various --

A Right, in terms of our operation. If we have a site that we know that quality has deteriorated for whatever reason, we can do that diversion. And with these amendments then get equivalent credit.

Q Thank you. As a large producer, you said you manage very small producers?

A Right.
Q Are any of them, have you heard any concerns about how this may affect them, this new regulation --

A At this point, no. No one's commented on it. In some cases if they get their rent or check payment based on the operation, they're happy, we move on.

JUDGE CLIFTON: What other
questions are there for Mr. Peterson? BY MR. HEDIN:

Q Can you describe for us some of the, are you on the Board at Michigan Foods?

A No.
Q And what are some of the other activities, these are -- activities you engage in?

A I'm on the Michigan Cherry Committee. I serve, I'm on the Board of Directors of Indian Summer. And I'm involved in several other events, locally.

Q Thanks.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Do you have a question, Ms. Salehi?

MS. SALEHI: Yes, please. EXAMINATION

BY MS. SALEHI:
Q Mr. Peterson, you are speaking about the adverse impact or unintended consequences of rules earlier. I wanted to ask you, and this might sound like I'm asking you to speculate, but do you see any foreseeable adverse impact or effect of this proposed amendment upon the growers?

A In my case I do not, because our cooperative, one of our goals have been to figure out how many different ways we can market cherries. And we can market cherries about every way you can think of. And so therefore having this rule won't adversely affect our marketing ability if, for example, we were only an exporter. So I don't see an issue in that case.

Q May I continue? Do you agree with Mr. Hackert that this is a last resort as opposed to a first?

A Yeah, it's our philosophy, and we've been working with the cooperative, and

I have been working in association with Mr. Hackert since 1990. And our philosophy is we don't grow the fruit to put it on the ground. It's a last resort.

Q Do you think that philosophy is shared in the industry?

A I would guess probably because look what happened in 2009. We put up far more cherries than we probably should have.

Q Okay, thank you.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Are there other questions for Mr. Peterson?

Mr. Peterson, when you answered
Mr. Hill by saying you believe that your enterprise is large, did you have a dividing line in your mind between what's small and what's large?

THE WITNESS: Well, the other gentleman was asking the questions, so as a grower we're above his 750,000.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Is that
what you wanted to know, Mr. Hill?

MR. HILL: That's the way I intended the answer.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Are there other questions? All right. Thank you, you may step down, Mr. Peterson. Did you have anything you wanted to add?

THE WITNESS: I appreciate taking the extra time so my testimony could get in so I have a ride home.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Before you all leave for lunch, I want to know is Mr. DeRuiter still here? Mr. DeRuiter's exhibit, Exhibit 11, does close with the request that the amendment will be in place by the 2012 crop season. Do you think, does anybody know whether Mr. DeRuiter was really talking about 2011?

MR. HEDIN: May I?
JUDGE CLIFTON: Yes.
MR. HEDIN: I'm pretty sure that he was thinking that it was the 2012 crop year, not thinking that we would be able to
accomplish this by July of next year. Notwithstanding Roy's wishes.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Not, but it would be unlikely it could be done by July of 2011 ? MR. HEDIN: Right.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Yes. Well, that would be very, very, very fast, but I understand --

MR. HEDIN: We'll adopt Roy's position.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Yes, I understand the problem is you're about to have another bumper crop. I understand. And nobody knows, but.

MR. HEDIN: Odds are that we will.
I think we will get --
JUDGE CLIFTON: Can you offer something?

MR. NUGENT: I'm quite sure he did mean 2012 -- he didn't think it was possible to get it through for '11.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, and --
Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

MR. NUGENT: He wanted to get it as soon as possible and I'm sure that's what he meant --

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. And you are Mr. Nugent?

MR. NUGENT: Yes.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Okay. I don't know whether it's possible to do it this fast.

MR. HEDIN: Martin is shaking his head yes.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Engeler, can you comment?

MR. ENGELER: I can offer an opinion on that. I don't think it's, not with the things that need to be done and the time frame between now and July of this year that it's possible. Because ultimately this will have to go to a grower vote, and there's several steps that we have to do before that, and comment areas and so forth. I don't think it's physically possible to do it this year.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Yes, you are Mr.
Facer?
MR. FACER: Yes, I think the concern -- so we would all love Roy's position of 2011, no one would like 2013 and 14.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right.
Anything further, Mr. Peterson?
THE WITNESS: No.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right, thank you. You may step down.

How long will we take for lunch? What would you suggest, Mr. Hill?

MR. HILL: 45 minutes is fine.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Does that work for everybody?

MS. DESKINS: I would think an hour.

JUDGE CLIFTON: I'm not familiar with the neighborhood at all. I don't know where we can go. If you're in a large group you're not apt to get waited on as quickly, but --

MR. HILL: Okay, we'll do an hour, hour's fine.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Hour? All right. It's about 1:30 now, please be back and ready to go at 2:30. (Off the record.)

JUDGE CLIFTON: We're back on record at 2:37. Mr. Hill?

MR. HILL: Yes. We're going to call Randy Willmeng.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Please make yourself comfortable and be seated. And would you state and spell your full name for me?

MR. WILLMENG: Randy Willmeng, R-a-n-d-y, W-i-l-l-m-e-n-g.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. W-i-l-l-m-e-n-g?

MR. WILLMENG: Yes.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. Would you raise your right hand, please? Whereupon,
called as a witness herein, after having been first duly sworn, was examined and testified as follows:

JUDGE CLIFTON: Thank you. Mr. Hill, you may proceed.

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. HILL:
Q Good afternoon, Mr. Willmeng.
A Hi.
Q How are you today?
A Good.
Q Well, could you tell us a little bit about yourself and why you're here?

A I'm a cherry grower from southwest Michigan. Come from a family farm, been there over 100 years. I raise approximately 100 acres of cherries. I'm affiliated with CIAB, I'm the director from CIAB, Southwest Michigan. I'm also on the CMI Board which is the Cherry Marketing Institute Board which does advertising for the cherry industry. And I'm on Coloma Frozen Food Board, which is
situated in Coloma, Michigan which processes cherries, apples, blueberries, asparagus and other crops.

Q Okay. So obviously you're aware of the purpose that we're hear, so could you tell us about your feeling about the proposed amendment?

A We got an amendment to, yes, change the diversion certificates for growers.

Q And what's your position on these proposed amendments?

A I think it's, I think it's a good thing, especially for the growers. Number one, I believe it should put more money into grower hands. It should become a lot easier to use, a lot easier to understand, and I think in the big crop years we'll end up putting more crop on the ground because of the way we will be using these in the future. So I think it's a good deal for the growers, especially.

Q Now, what basis do you use to say Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc.
that it's going to put more money in grower hands?

A Well, in the past as the diversion goes up, as you know if you have 100 pounds of cherries and there's a 30 percent diversion, you need 130 pounds, the processor does, because somebody has to pay for that diversion and it falls on the processor. So if you go up to a 50 or 60 percent diversion, all of a sudden the processor has only 50 percent worth of cherries to use to remove product from storage and whatnot.

Therefore it gets to the point where they become worth less and less money to the processor, so in the end your processor will tell you to harvest them all. Because you have a bigger chance of having a smaller crop next year than you do to put them on the ground and not get any money for them. And as a grower, we don't raise them to put them on the ground. That's not our intent. We raise all our cherries to harvest for sale.

Now, we also realize we want to make money. So in the long run if you have a huge crop, there comes the diversion. And if you have diversion credits that are now with a little more money, the processor will offer you more money to divert them, which is basically on the ground, and pay us so much a pound to do that. And it would be more in our favor to do that.

Q It's been asked, mentioned before, is this going to be like a first-line issue or a back-up issue, using diversion, is it something that's going to be used as a first thing that you do or is it going to be something that --

A Well, I guess it depends on the crop, you know. As I say, or first thing we want to do is harvest them all, that's why we raise them. So it'll depend on the crop, it depends on the supply, what's the carry in, what's the formula and what's the diversion percentage. They're all intertwined together.

|  | Page 212 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Q Now you said you're a grower, |
| 2 | correct? |
| 3 | A Yes. |
| 4 | Q Are you, under the FDA definition |
| 5 | would you consider yourself a small or large |
| 6 | grower? More or less than -- |
| 7 | A Well, I'm a hundred acre grower, I |
| 8 | don't know where that falls at. |
| 9 | Q \$750,000 receipts, more or less -- |
| 10 | for cherries. |
| 11 | A It's what? |
| 12 | Q Gross receipts of 750,000. |
| 13 | A For gross sales? |
| 14 | Q Correct. |
| 15 | A I'm right there. |
| 16 | Q All right. |
| 17 | EXAMINATION |
| 18 | BY MR. HEDIN: |
| 19 | Q Randy, in the southwest you guys |
| 20 | tend to harvest early. Does that change what |
| 21 | happens with decisions to divert or not? |
| 22 | A Well, we, in the southwest we have |
|  | Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433 |

a disadvantage because the, we're one of the first. So if the preliminary diversion number, let's say it's 30 percent, and in September it goes to 35 or 40 , the boys, as you go, as I say, as you go to Midwest or Traverse City, they can see the crop whether it's larger or not. Being the first ones in we have to make our decisions right away based on what we have right now, the information we have right now.

So I don't know if, it is a disadvantage being where I live.

Q Will the fact that either concern, I'll use the term bottom line credits, help you guys make decisions about whether to do that or not?

A Well, basically it's going to fall back on your processor, who you are, who he is, what his crops are and what he wants done to his plant. So a lot of times we have a lot of processors in the north who want to get started early so there's a pressure on us to
harvest them all so that they can start their plants early, and therefore they will make separate deals maybe with the guys from the south to harvest them all so they can, they can stretch their pack time, which as you know would lower their price, or their costs.

Q There have been a couple of observations made to me that we might have a situation where we short market opportunities by dropping fruit in a big year, and the not having any carryover to the next year. What are your thoughts about that?

A Well, basically we want to make money. That's why we're in business. And the growers realize that if we have too many, the price will be low. So this, with this situation, if we can have grower diversion certificates the same, that will increase our bottom line because the processors aren't going to pay us more if they think it's better to put them in storage. They're realizing if they put them in storage like we did in '09
with the big crop, it costs them storage and interest for a year, year and a half. And they don't want to incur that cross either unless there's a good idea they can market them.

Q Have you guys done, you, yourself done much diversion?

A Very little. I might do a younger box when I don't want to shake them, because it does injure the tree. But basically I shake most of my crop.

Q And is, that's likely to be, again be driven by what crop sizes are and what your handler --

A Right. As I say, we're kind of unique in the southwest being that, being first, it gives me a disadvantage but also an advantage because a lot of times a package will come down and want to get started and make sure they get their fill, because you know, if we have a big storm, we could lose the crop. So they all come down, want to Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
start down there and get what they can and move up. And if they get to the north when they've got all they want, they'll cut them off.

Q That's all I have. Thank you, Randy.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Who else has questions for Mr. Willmeng?

## EXAMINATION

BY MR. ENGELER:
Q I just have one at the moment. You mentioned that you don't, in the past you haven't participated in the orchard or Grower Diversion Program yourself, but if this proposal were implemented do you think that would change?

A Well, as I say, it's going to be market grid, but I think it will be advantageous to the grower being that the diversion certificate should be worth more money. And it's like last year we had crops where we had a real bad windstorm. And some
of the guys had to divert their whole crops because they couldn't find a home for it. Well, they were lower than what I thought they should have had, I think with this program they would have been higher.

But as I say, we're unique in the south that there's not a lot of diversion going on down there except if the crop's damaged or if it's a huge crop.

Q So that's not unique to your individual operation, it's based on where you're located --

A Yes. Because we start first, yeah.

Q Thanks. That's all I have.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Who else has questions for Mr. Willmeng? Mr. Johnson? EXAMINATION BY MR. JOHNSON:

Q I'm ready. I just want to ask you, do you see any potential down side if this proposal was adopted?

A No. I think it's nothing but a win, win for the industry and the growers. As you know, it's very confusing the way we do it now, when you have to, as we call it bulk off the number. And a lot of guys still have trouble with that. And I think this would be very simple, very easy to use and a win, win for us.

Q Thanks.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Who else has questions for Mr. Willmeng? Mr. Engeler? EXAMINATION

BY MR. ENGELER:
Q I just have one that I've been thinking about as we've been going through this hearing up to this point, but if this proposal's implemented at the restricted percentage, when final percentages are calculated, restricted percentage would be higher. And I was wondering if that in itself, having a higher restricted percentage is, what kind of impact that could have on the
grower return.
A Well, yeah, I understand your question. You know, I'm not sure if it will have an impact. I don't think it'll have an impact. And what normally happens is if you carry too much fruit over, it comes in on a carry in to next year which will force the percentage up. And so if it forces a percentage up then next year you may leave more fruit in the ground that year. So for a year it might affect it but $I$ really think in the end it'll be fine. I don't think it will have any problem with that.

Q In the longer term if this proposal's implemented, $I$ guess in the immediate year I guess it would be, what would be the impact on the reserve inventory if it were implemented? Would that decrease?

A This year if it was?
Q Yes.
A I think it, well, number one it basically would be according to the crop. I
mean, right now we're looking at potential for a huge crop again. And we have a good carry on, we're going through good sales this year, but we also have a big carry on coming in. So I think you'll see more cherries on the ground if this was implemented this year. I wish the judge could just go yeah, let's do it and be done, but $I$ know she can't.

JUDGE CLIFTON: I'd love to rule from the bench but.

THE WITNESS: Yeah, I just hope, yeah, if you had a gavel and say okay, we're going to do it this year, it would be a good thing. But I understand and I'm hoping for next year.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Hedin? EXAMINATION

BY MR. HEDIN:
Q Randy, I know you sit on the board at Coloma Frozen Foods, and Coloma's been very aggressively developing the juice concentrate markets. Do you anticipate this would affect
those opportunities that you guys have been developing?

A No, I really don't. Well, as you know, because of the way we do new market, we do have diversion credits which encourages us to bring more in because of that. So I don't, I don't see this being nothing but a win, because if we did divert, I do believe it would get more money for them.

Q And if you, we've had some discussions about quality and all of that, are your opportunities, given a strong focus on juice, better with your poorer quality fruit? And would that cause you to think about doing less orchard diversion, just you, send that in for juicing.-

A Well, it could, it could, yeah. Yeah, it could.

Q But it won't harm that opportunity that you guys are working on?

A No, no, there's no problem.
Q Thank you.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Are there any other questions for Mr. Willmeng? Mr . Willmeng, can you think of anything you'd like to add?

THE WITNESS: No, I think we've covered it, you know, pretty much. I think it's, as I say, I appreciate the chance to express my view.

JUDGE CLIFTON: We're glad you did. Thank you, you may step down.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Mr. Willmeng, I
don't know whether you signed in on that sheet that's on the chair behind you there. You don't have to use your signature if your printing is more legible.

And Mr. Hill, you may call your next witness.

MR. HILL: That will be Perry Hedin.

JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. Mr. Hedin, do you want to stay where you are?

MR. HEDIN: Whatever you'd like to do. It may be a little easier for everybody else if they're, I've got, I've got those foam boards so it's probably better up there.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay, great.
MR. HEDIN: I'm not sure we'll get to them today, but.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Let's go off the record just a moment.
(Off the record.)
JUDGE CLIFTON: We're back on record at 2:58. I've already sworn you in, but would you again state and spell your name?

THE WITNESS: Certainly. My name
is Perry, P-e-r-r-y, Hedin, H-e-d-i-n. I'm the Executive Director of the Cherry Industry Administrative Board and have been so since its inception.

JUDGE CLIFTON: And Mr. Hedin, has everything that you have provided by way of information thus far been the truth?
the WItness: Yes.

JUDGE CLIFTON: You may proceed, Mr. Hill.
(Whereupon Exhibit Numbers 13 and 14 were marked for identification.)

EXAMINATION BY MR. HILL:

Q Mr. Hedin, we've marked three documents, 13, 14 and 15. Number 13 being a document from September 22nd, 2010, number 14 being a document, letter from November 24th, 2010 and number 15 being the testimony that you're about to give. Can you tell us about 13, 14 and again, comments about numbers 13 and 14 before you start your testimony?

A Certainly. These are the documents that we sent to the Secretary of Agriculture, I sent on behalf of the CIAB following the lengthy discussions we had in putting this, in formulating this request. So I wanted to make sure that it was in the record as well so that if reference is
necessary that it be there.
Q So you just memorialized, basically, your understanding of what you wanted to happen in the future?

A Correct. And then number 14 is the follow up to Mr. Engeler and Ms. Salehi for the formalization of the process once we got through the details of what was going to be done and how it was going to be crafted. And again, just to document and have it on the record.

Q And all of these documents were sent by you?

A Yes, prepared and sent by me.
Q Okay. And you also have some testimony. You can start whenever you're ready.

A Okay. Before we jump into the written testimony, I contacted my office to get some information that Ms. Salehi asked earlier. In the year 2009 we had 662 growers across the United States who either delivered
or processed, or they were charged for processing or diverted them. We have fewer growers than that. There were 576 growers when we eliminate duplicate addresses for some of those growers. For example, when Mr. Peterson spoke earlier he said that he has a number of clients for whom he works. If we eliminate all of those individual ones, it comes down to 576 for the industry.

JUDGE CLIFTON: I'm sorry. You
stated a year and I didn't get it written down.

THE WITNESS: 2009. JUDGE CLIFTON: 2009.

THE WITNESS: The last crop year.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: And then there were
43 handlers of record.
JUDGE CLIFTON: Also 2009?
THE WITNESS: Yes. But as I, I
want to say, I should confirm with Heather because I think that that was supposed to be

2010, so I can confirm that. But Ken, when she sent you the labels recently, it was for the 2010 season, wasn't it?

MR. JOHNSON: Yeah.
THE WITNESS: So it is 2010 not 2009, forgive me. BY MR. HILL:

Q For just the handlers?
A For both handlers and growers.
Q Oh.
A I mis-spoke. And then going with the testimony, and I will read that into the record, the Cherry Industry Administrative Board or CIAB, is a federal marketing order for tart cherries produced in various states across the country. It applies to a production of tart cherries in the states of Michigan, New York, Oregon, Pennsylvania, Utah, Washington and Wisconsin. It's authorized under 7CFR930 et. cet. If I can interject, for those who aren't as familiar with the order, there are three districts
within the state of Michigan. They're delineated as Northwest Michigan, West Central Michigan and Southwest Michigan. So there are nine districts in seven states.

Going back to the prepared testimony, the order was created in 1996 at the request of the industry, and implemented by order of the USDA. First year of operation the order was crop year 1997, and it has operated every season thereafter, hereto and including crop year 2010.

The ultimate purpose of the CIAB, like all other marketing orders, is to improve grower returns. It accomplishes this end by seeking to improve the environment for the production, processing and sale of tart cherries in both the domestic and international marketplaces.

Principal mechanisms utilized in the order to reach the desired outcomes are one, control the supply of cherries moving through the "free" market and two, the funding
of market promotion activities. Regulated entities under the marketing order are processors of tart cherries, also known as handlers. Growers are not directly regulated under the marketing order, but they can and do participate in the compliance aspects of the order by undertaking in-orchard diversion activity.

Marketing order has gone through a number of amendments to make it fit better with the needs of the industry. The present amendment is intended to do the same. Current amendment seeks to change the way in which account is made for grower in orchard diversion activities, and the manner in which grower diversion certificates are used within the industry.

To accomplish this change it is
necessary to amend and alter what is considered to be "handing" under section 930.10 with tart cherries under the order, and to define how the diverted cherries are
incorporated into the optimum supply formula, also referred to as the OSF, which is described in section 930.50.

The grower in-orchard diversion process has not worked as well or as effectively as it should in dealing with the surplus production. The current marketing order effectively discourages in-orchard diversions, especially in those years when the restriction percentage is large and/or increases from the preliminary calculation.

This aspect in the order needs to be structured to better serve the needs of the tart cherry industry. The way to accomplish this is to redefine what included in the term handle, which in turn will impact the operation, the optimum supply formula and permit "bottom line" in-orchard diversion, ie., in-orchard diversion credits that handlers can use pound for pound against restriction obligations.

Under the order, growers have a
right and the opportunity to undertake inorchard diversion of cherries under section 930.58. These diversions are done during harvest in accordance with procedures delineated under the order, and they're overseen by the CIAB. If these orchard diversions are done properly, the CIAB issues "grower diversion certificates" to the growers that represent the pounds of cherries that were left in the orchard. Tom Facer described some of the processes by which that happens. Section 10 of the order, handle, growers themselves do not use their certificates, rather grower diversion certificates are used by handlers as one of their compliance alternatives. However, in the current construct of the order, handlers must include the pounds of cherries represented by the certificates as part of their "handling" as though these cherries had been delivered and processed.

Under the order as currently
crafted, grower diversion certificates are treated as though they were harvested and delivered for processing. I won't quote the order itself except to note that it says, handle includes obtaining grower certificates issued pursuant to Section 930.58. The fact that grower diversions are considered part of a handler's handle, creates confusion and difficulty for both growers and handlers. Grower diversion certificates contribute to the supply in the restricted districts for purposes of the optimum supply formula, consequently grower in-orchard diversions effectively increase the supply of restricted shares in any given year, even though none of these cherries were delivered for processing.

Optimum supply formula, section 930.50, the OSF is a mechanism by which the supplies of tart cherries and the demand for them are brought into proper relationship with each other. When the supply of tart cherries
available for the marketplace exceed the average demand for them in the "free" market, the crop is restricted regarding what may move into the free market.

A restriction percentage is calculated pursuant to the formula, and each handlers' handle of restricted cherries is subject to that percentage. As a side note, please recognize that there are some districts that are not restricted, and those are Oregon and Pennsylvania as a general rule. And in some years as happened in the last season, districts that produce less than one-half of their average are also unrestricted. So the restriction is only applied to the production in those districts where they've met their restriction threshold for the year.

The interaction of sections 930.10 and 930.50 establish that grower in-orchard diversions will be subject to and then discounted by the restriction percentage calculated for the year. Therefore, when the
handler utilizes the grower diversion certificates it receives from growers, the certificates will have a reduced worth as a compliance tool. I've footnoted and I'm making a distinction between the term worth and the term value, worth meaning their use as a compliance tool, value is intended to reference dollar value.

I have prepared an example of this impact, it's called table one. On the lefthand portion of assumed handler at 10,000 pounds of restriction. There is a, or 10,000 pounds of production, excuse me, there's a restriction of 25 percent and on the right side I calculated out the degree of restriction by the source, so with cherries for processing at 9,000 pounds at 25 percent, 2,250 of those delivered cherries are subject to restriction. And if they take in grower certificates of 1,000 pounds, that too is subject to restriction of 250 . So the net, or the gross of those two is 2,500 pounds of
restriction on the 10,000 pound handle.
Consequently they were also going to have a free inventory component of 7,500 and a total restricted inventory of 2,500. And to the right of that table one, I've calculated net grower certificates to be used against other restrictions. Of the 1,000 pounds that they delivered, 250 have to be used against their obligation created by that certificate, so they have 750 left to apply against other restriction obligations.

I'm going to move down to the paragraph starting with the discounting. It says the discounting of the grower diversion certificates is certainly a problem. The issues is compounded by the fact that the restriction percentage will end by definition, will be definition, excuse me, change during the crop year. In June the preliminary percentages are calculated using the industry's best estimate of cherry production. In September the percentages are adjusted to
reflect actual production, both delivered and diverted, for the season.

The estimate of production and the actual production will never be the same. Therefore and by definition the restriction percentage will change during the course of the season. It could go either up or down, depending upon the nature of the harvest. And again, as a side note it tends to go up rather than down because we tend to underestimate the crop.

If the actual production is less than the estimate, the restriction percentage will decline. Either way the changing restriction percentage will impact the worth of in-orchard diversion certificates to the handler between the time they are undertaken by growers and the time they're incorporated in the handler's compliance plan.

As Randy was saying, that early in the harvest season, they're harvesting often by the fourth of July, at which time no one Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
knows what the final restriction is going to be, a handler, however, submits its compliance plan to me in November. So they can be taking certificates in in June, July, August and not incorporate them into their plan until November.

Assuming, going back to the testimony for discussion purposes, that the restriction percentage increases between June and September, there will be a decline in the worth of the in-orchard certificates. In the example set out in the table below, we've assumed that the restriction percentage increased from 25 to 50 percent.

As can be seen, the volume of diversion certificates available to offset other handler diversion requirements, increased accordingly. The net grower certificates declined by 250 pounds or onethird of their original compliance worth to the handler. It is this decrease in worth of those diversion certificates that presents
such a difficulty for both growers and handlers in undertaking and utilizing inorchard diversion activities and grower diversion certificate compliance with crop restrictions mandated by the order.

The table two on page four is
similar to the one set out in table one, except that I do have a 50 percent restriction. To the right we talk about the restriction by source, it's gone from 2,500 to 5,000, of which the grower diversion certificates have now 500 pounds of restriction applied to them instead of 250, and the net grower certificates for other uses has declined to 50, or 500, excuse me.

And this is the point at which the handlers decide it's better to take that fruit in than to take certificates, because it's a matter of diminishing returns at that point, anything above 50 percent restriction. In an example, the compliance worth of those grower certificates is reduced in value solely
because the restriction percentage has increased.

This fluctuation of the restriction percentage and its impact upon grower diversion certificates creates considerable uncertainty within the industry, and nobody likes uncertainty. Neither handlers nor growers know what will be the worth of the grower diversion certificates. Growers who harvest early in the season in accordance with what they believe to be adequate diversion, may find themselves needing to supply more certificates for the fruit that they had delivered.

Handlers who have acquired and paid for certificates under the original set of parameters may find that their compliance plans are severely disrupted. All of this flows from the fact that grower diversions are part of the supply in the OSF and contribute to restriction determination.

In some years the restriction Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
percentage becomes so large that it provides a very strong disincentive to undertake inorchard diversion activities all together. It becomes a matter of diminishing return to accept in-orchard diversion credits as a compliance tool. In such cases handlers are often more inclined to receive fruit, process it, and either hold it in inventory reserves or otherwise divert it through another option available to handlers.

While this alternative may not
make economic sense from the grower's perspective, it may make sense from a handler's compliance perspective. Regardless of the perspective, this outcome suggests that the marketing order does not operate as effectively as it should, and this is especially so when restrictions become large. Historical perspectives of inorchard diversion activities. Grower inorchard diversion activities varies quite a bit by year. There are various factors that
contribute to making decisions about whether or not to divert cherries in the orchard in any given year. The size of the crop is a very significant factor in this decision, but other factors include carryover, inventory reserves, the size of the previous year's crop, handlers plans for complying with restrictions and/or handlers prospects for sales of products in the upcoming year also are factors in the grower's decision about whether or not to divert cherries in the orchard.

Then I put forth on table three the degree of orchard diversion activity sorted by year, and the pounds of restricted crop for that year, the percent that those orchard diversions are of the total restricted crop, and then for informational purposes both the preliminary and final percentages for the respective years.

Table four is the same information but sorted by the restricted pounds. And as
a number of the witnesses have already talked about, we tend to see increased restriction volume as the crop gets larger. In 2009 we had a 338 million pound crop with 37.75 million diverted. In 2001, which was also a very large crop, there were 336 million pounds and almost 61 million pounds of in-orchard diversion.

On page seven is a graph of table four, and if you look at that graph it can be seen and stated that the general trend for inorchard diversions is for there to be greater volume of in-orchard diversion activity as the crop size increases. In those years when there's a smaller crop there's less diversion, in those years when there's a larger crop there's greater diversion. Often time both handler's processing capacity and the poorer quality of cherries associated with larger crop drives the in-orchard diversion decisions.
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the information indicates that there is, in fact, a demonstrable correlation between the two, and r-squared value of this relationship is .622 and the t-stat is a positive 4.26, that's in Exhibit A of my testimony. And Mark, I hope you will not be too aggressive with me about analyzing key stats and the like.

It must be noted, however, that are were instances when the in-orchard diversion activity in any given year does not comport with the general trend. This suggests that growing diversion decisions are not premised upon crop size alone, but rather incorporate other factors as well.

In-orchard diversion activity must also be viewed in light of the alternative compliance options available to handlers. It is the handlers, as has been said many times here today, who are regulated under the order, and it is they who must comply with restriction obligations. Each handler will
craft a compliance plan that is appropriate for its business model, and it will utilize the most appropriate tools for its situation.

The default position in dealing with restrictions for any given year is placing the restrictive product into inventory reserves, which could be either primary or secondary reserves, depending on the circumstances. In lieu of maintaining inventory reserves, handlers may earn post harvest diversion credits for their finished goods that are moved into export markets, into expansion markets, as new products, as charitable contributions, or as destroyed products.

In addition to these post harvest options, handlers can use growers' in-orchard diversion credits to meet their restrictions. And again, as a number have said, it is the choice of last resort as a general rule.

From the following table we've seen that post harvest diversion options have
been of considerable worth to handlers. In the early years of the order, export markets were a major outlet for restricted cherries. The importance of this category has lessened in more recent years, but it still occupies an important position within the industry.

It will also be noted that secondary market activities, noted in the table as "market expand" in the table have become very significant as compliance options for handlers. Utilization of in-orchard diversions has fluctuated considerably during the years of operation of the marketing order.

The interest of handlers and the alternative compliance options is certainly understandable. Selling products generates revenue for the handlers, buying in-orchard diversion credits is a cost. Post harvest diversion activities support and develop markets, having fruit diverted in the orchard precludes those cherries from being marketed.
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having them diverted in the orchard reduces unit operating costs for the handler, as noted above in-orchard diversion credits present a risk to handlers, post harvest diversion credits present no risk since they are fixed, known and will not change in worth as a compliance tool.

All of these elements serve to
lessen the attractiveness of in-orchard diversion credits to handlers. There is potential risk associated with receiving, processing and storing cherries, and relying upon the alternative compliance tools to deal with restriction.

The cherries will remain in inventory reserves until handlers' compliance plans are fulfilled. If handlers engage in post harvest diversion activities without much delay, then the decision to receive and process cherries will be a good one, both for handlers and growers.
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are unable to earn post-harvest diversion credits in a timely manner, then the cherries harvested, processed and stored in inventory become a burden of the handlers to the growers and to the industry, in the form of carryover inventory.

Unfortunately too often such cherries become reserve inventory rather than revenue generating sales, which serves to reduce returns to growers. The proposed amendment and how it will operate has mentioned, well the gist of the amendment is the redefining of the term handle, so that it does not include grower-diverted cherries.

With this change the OSF operates just as it has traditionally, but it does so with a smaller production base than it previously used. Those cherries diverted in the orchards are not subject to restriction as they were before, and handlers may use those certificates generated by this activity, pound for pound against their restriction
obligations, in the same manner as they currently do with their post-harvest diversion credits.

In other words, grower diversion certificates will not be discounted as they currently are. This change eliminates this incentive for orchard diversions currently present in the marketing order and it will result in a more tenable outcome for growers and handlers.

The process of how the change works is somewhat technical, if not very technical, and it will be discussed at length using an example to demonstrate the change. The example is titled, bottom line in-orchard diversion credits, their impact upon OSF restriction compliance, is attached to this testimony as Exhibit $B$ and incorporates, and I incorporated by reference.

I don't know what the best place for this to be seen is. Can that be seen well enough?

JUDGE CLIFTON: He won't be near a mic if he is standing next to it pointing at things, is that your plan?

THE WITNESS: Yeah.

JUDGE CLIFTON: So, I'd rather have him with the mic in front of him. Oh, portable mic. Or tape recorder, yes. Let's do bring it closer to the people who will help you write the brief. That looks like it's not

THE WITNESS: You're not going to be able to see it though.

JUDGE CLIFTON: That's okay. I'll come around, but I'm concerned that it's not on there stably. There, $I$ guess that was it. Okay, I'm going to come around so that I can see as well.

MR. ENGELER: I have a quick question. I'm wondering if there's a smaller -- somewhere.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Do we have it in the materials what you have on your --

THE WITNESS: The equivalent is attached as Exhibit B.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Great. Good question, Mr. Engeler --

MR. ENGELER: Oh, I don't have a page one of one, that's why I don't -JUDGE CLIFTON: Exhibit B, page one of one?

THE WITNESS: In this we're predicting a crop of 300 million pounds, and notwithstanding the fact that $I$ said that it will never be the same, for this discussion I'm going to assume that the harvest and the estimate were in fact the same, at 300 million pounds.

I would assume the in-orchard diversion activities of 30 million pounds, as you can see here, and that that is a constant, unlike Tom who says it went from, I'm just assuming a fixed number on that. The free -is 50 million pounds, and that's constant throughout, and we have a demand of 170
million pounds in this assumption.
I have three columns, this is the supply formula at it's preliminarily found in June, the OSF in its final set of numbers as we do in September, and then the third column is with the adjustment as proposed by the amendment.

Before going through the steps of the various formulations it must be clarified what the in-orchard diversion figure represents and how it played into the various equations. In column one the OSF operates with the estimate of production. And by, again by definition, any and all cherries that become diverted cherries are incorporated in that estimate.

When Randy sits down and goes out and says I've got 10 million pounds of fruit in my orchards, he's making a gross projection. We don't know in June how many cherries will be diverted, and not withstanding Tom's testimony earlier today, I
don't think that there's any effective way to project what our orchard diversion activity will be in June. So I think we have to assume that we would operate in the June numbers without a projection for orchard diversion activities. All we know is that there is an estimated crop in the orchards and that's how we calculate it.

In the second column the supply formula operates with the known figures of what happened during the harvest with diversion, the 30 million pounds here are, represent certificates that my office issued to those who did in-orchard diversion activity. It is, as represented here, included as part of the supply, so in this we have a process production, 265 million, we have a orchard diversion of 30 , for a total in the restricted districts of 295 million pounds.

To that is added the production in unrestricted districts, Oregon and

Pennsylvania generally, and in some instances other districts. So, we come with a total production, both for the preliminary and the OSF final is currently constructed of 30 million pounds, and to that we add the carry in of 50 million pounds.

MR. HILL: Perry, did you mean to say 300 million pounds? You only said 30 million pounds.

THE WITNESS: 300. Well, 300 million pounds is the supply from the restricted districts. So when we add the carry in, free carry in, the total supply for the year is 350.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Go ahead and finish those thoughts though with million pounds.

THE WITNESS: Million pounds, okay, I'm sorry.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Just so that -THE WITNESS: We can do that. Column three, which is the amendment
formulation for the supply formula, we include that which was delivered for processing on the process production side. Instead of having the 30 million pounds of orchard diversions incorporated on the supply side, it's down here in a separate line item, the orchard diversions per amendment, 30 million pounds.

The restricted supply in column three includes only those cherries that were delivered for processing and processed. The final restriction percentages are calculated using the tonnage actually processed, the 265 plus the 5, or 270, 270 million pounds. And the result of this change in treatment of the orchard diversion cherries -- OSF calculation, this was what Tom is referring to before, is smaller than it used to be. Instead of being 350 it's 320.

The restricted supply under the amended OSF is the volume of cherries received for processing by handlers. Looking to the elements in the OSF equation, those cherries
that were diverted in the orchard, 30 million pounds, will not be included in the supply component by which regulation is calculated. And this is because they're not available to move into the markets for cherries.

Surplus cherries, the amount of excess supply of cherries for the OSF is the volume of the cherries available to the industry in excess of the three year average. In this case three year average is 170 million pounds in all three of the calculations. So the surplus is 350 less the 170 for 180 million pounds.

The diverted cherries are included when calculating the excess of cherries produced over the average demand because they were, in fact, produced by growers, they were really part of this original estimate that was made, and if not diverted they would have been delivered for processing. But in both the current and amended formulations, the average demand is compared to the total produced, and
again, as Tom was saying the amount of pounds regulated stays constant in all three formulations, or 180 million pounds. Going into the restriction calculations, we then take the 180 million pounds, it's divided by the restricted number and my number's a little bit different than Tom's, but it's 61 million pounds under the order as currently constructed, and 68 percent of the, in the amended formulation.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. Help me again, it's 68 percent of what?

THE WITNESS: It's this number being divided by the restricted tonnage of 270.

JUDGE CLIFTON: This number being the surplus?

THE WITNESS: The surplus, yes. JUDGE CLIFTON: Surplus divided by the --

THE WITNESS: By the production from the restricted districts or the processed
production from the restricted districts are 270.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay.
THE WITNESS: So, in this case
although 180 million pounds is the same restricted pounds, we're dividing by 270 instead of 300 , therefore the restriction percentage goes up. The supply and excess is no different.

Going to the second paragraph from the bottom on page 11, the restriction percent in the two formulations is different because of mathematics. While the surplus, the volume of cherries in excess of demand remains constant, the divider in the two calculations changes. Since the divider in the calculation and the amended OSF is reduced by the amount of cherries diverted in the orchards, there's a mathematical increase in the calculated restriction percentage.

Now, at first blush, and Martin, it sort of seems that you have been addressing
this, it appears a congruous result, that the amendment's going to increase the degree of restriction. And why should a change in the supply formula do that? Well, I think it's premature to render that conclusion since this is only a portion of the equation. When we talk about compliance with restriction under revised supply formula, the benefits accruing to handlers with this change actually exceeds the increased restriction.

Market growth factor is, and I'd like to also mention, in my footnote on page 12, that's footnote number 4, talks about when we talked with Ken and previously with Patty was with us, we had to look at the restricted percentage, or the gross restricted percentage. They never acknowledged the net restriction percentage or changes from that gross figure. We in the industry do in fact look at a net restriction that's created when we go through the market growth factor. So it's not just a gross restriction from the
industry's perspective, it's the net restriction that is really driving how we proceed. Market growth factor is a provision of the supply formula and a mandate from the USDA that cannot be altered, as Tom said in his testimony, requires that the cherry industry supply 110 percent of the average demand in the free market. We always have to do the 170, we always have to add to that that in this case, 17 million pounds on 170 million. We are obligated to do that.

That same practice will happen when we're in, under the new formulation. We will still take the 17 million pounds and make sure that we supply the market at the 110 percent, 170 plus the 17 , so the net restricted number is 163 and the net percentage is restricted at 55 and 45, reduced from 61 and 39. That's how the industry deals with the supply formula. The same is going to be the case under the OSF as amended. We will make that same adjustment to get to a reduced
figure. So the supply formula's working precisely the same in any of the formulations. Now, once those numbers are established -- can you guys see through this thing? The handlers have to deal with, that's pretty hard to see, isn't it? It's the handlers obligation to deal with that restriction, the 180 million pounds across the board here.

In our supposition here, when we're dealing with the preliminary numbers, we don't have any orchard diversion activity to post, but we made a couple assumptions here that the exports are about 15 million pounds, the market expansion is about 35, the market growth factor is really a way of dealing with that gross restriction, and those added together, we're assuming then that handlers are putting the excess into inventory reserves, in this case 113 million pounds. And that's how they would deal with the restriction in this preliminary formulation.

I've assumed the exports, the market expansion and market growth are the same across any of the three, but with the supply formula as is currently constructed, growers, or handlers would take the 30 million pounds as part of its compliance tool, the 15, the 35 , and the 17, and then they would reduce the amount of fruit that goes into inventory reserves by 30 million pounds. So we go from 113 to 83. That is the same with, in the amended order. Again, where we would see the difference as a result of having the orchard diversions is in reduction in the inventory reserves.

Earlier they talked about, a couple people referred to the primary reserves, or the reserves, we have primary and secondary, and under the terms of the order the primary reserve is maxed at 50 million pounds. So growers and handlers, or excuse me, handlers will always maximize their primary reserve, and they get a proportionate
interest in that. The balance is held in secondary reserves or as, or referred to as a cess pool, and in this case it's 113 million pounds.

Over here it's the same, you would have 50 going to the primary, we'd assume the secondary at 85 . You know, the beginning of the year, 85, we'd add to that the 113 or a total of 198 in the secondary reserve or 248 total. In this case you would have 85 in the secondary, these are just assumptions, but only 83 going into the additional reserves, so we would be at 168, the total 218.

And this is what a couple of them had previously described is that we would have a less total inventory in the industry, which should have a increasing effect on grower pricing. The perception, or the 248 million pounds of total inventory here is going to depress prices, it being 30 million less here should increase grower prices.

Looking at page 14 of my
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testimony, if we assume, paragraph two, if we assume the handlers engage in other diversion options in the same fashion as they have, and that's what I was talking about here, the effect will be a reduced inventory reserves and the impact as seen most dramatically when comparing either of the compliance programs under these, to this.

There are significant savings that are generated as a result of this. On the grower's side as Jim Nugent said very well, harvesting costs are reduced, transportation costs are minimized, and the promotion surcharges from both the CIAB and CMI are eliminated to the grower. My calculation is that it's about seven and a half cents per pound savings if they don't do orchard diversion. From the handler's perspective, their variable costs are saved for the amount of fruit that is not processed, and the storage costs, I think it was Rich who said about three-quarters of a cent.

You'll note in my footnote I've heard anywhere from a half a cent to a penny a pound per month. So there is a range of the savings that would be generated from the storage costs. A number have asked and talked about the impact and how to utilize.

As has been mentioned by many, the decision to deliver cherries or to divert them is going to be dependent on that dialogue that happens between grower and processor. If the handler encouraged the grower deliver and is willing to pay accordingly, and I think that's an important statement there, it's likely the grower will, in fact, delivery those cherries.

On the other hand, if the grower feels that the expected return for delivered cherries is likely to be inadequate, he may divert cherries in the orchard. This same process is going to continue under the amended supply formula, and since the amendment of the OSF increases the compliance work, and consequently the dollar value of the in-
orchard certificates, the decisions made by growers may well be different than they were under the old formulation.

If we look at the history of the orchard diversion activity, it's apparent that the volume of in-orchard diversion increases the crop size in general. As others have said, in large crop years when those crops get over 300 million pounds, there undoubtedly will be significant in-orchard diversion activity as we saw in tables one and two. When there's large volume of cherries to process, the supply far exceeds the demand, the industry faces capacity constraints. It's very difficult for them to move all that fruit through the facilities in a timely fashion. Grower opportunities to deliver are limited, and the quality of the cherries suffers. In these situations handlers and growers both conclude that orchard diversions are warranted.

The same logic will continue to Neal R. Gross \& Co., Inc. 202-234-4433
pertain to large crops when the proposed amendment is implemented. And in fact, grower in-orchard diversions will probably increase above the historical level in years with large crops. Under the amendments this restriction will be higher, handlers will need more compliance activities to meet their obligations and most importantly, all parties will know that the in-orchard diversion credits will be pound for pound of credits against restriction obligations.

Furthermore, growers will be more inclined to divert cherries knowing that there will be a stronger demand for their certificates, and knowing that the prices paid for them, for their delivered cherries will be significantly reduced due to crop size. And I refer there to Exhibit C, which is a chart that Ray Rowley, who you'll meet, and Utah put together describing the inverse relationship between crop size and grower prices. As the crop size gets larger, the grower price
generally gets smaller.
In medium crops, when tart cherry crop is more moderate, from 225 to 300 million pounds, in-orchard diversions are generally more moderate as well. The industry does not face the same capacity constraints, growers do not face delivery quotas, and the quality of the cherries is generally better since the trees are not over-stressed.

Deliveries of cherries can proceed in a timely fashion as the fruit ripens. In these instances, the degree of diversion has ranged from two and a quarter percent to 6.49 percent of the restricted crop. While much of the same logic will apply in medium size crop years under the amended supply formula, as have applied under the current OSF, it is likely that we'll see proportionally more diversion. The incentives to divert in the orchard will probably be greater under the amended OSF, I should say than they were under the current OSF.

The interplay in grower prices and diversion activities will be much more dynamic in these sized crops. Growers might elect to divert in these situations where they might otherwise have delivered under the existing OSF .

Handlers will continue to need compliance alternatives, with the need for these increasing as the crop size increases. Anticipated prices for delivered cherries will play an important component in the decision to deliver or divert.

In small crop years there tends to be very little in-orchard diversion activities, as many have said. Handlers want to protect their markets so they encourage delivery of cherries for processing. The price to deliver cherries is much higher in the short crop years than in the medium or large crop years, and the interplay of prices for delivered cherries and diversion certificates will continue to favor the
delivery of cherries in short years.
Grower prices and in-orchard diversion activity, as I said a few minutes ago grower prices tend to have an inverse relation to supplies. And again, reference Exhibit C. When there are large crops grown, delivered and processed, grower returns are lessened. By contrast, in shorter years the returns to grower tend to be increased. Given this relationship, it may be more prudent for growers to leave cherries in the orchard than to deliver them for processing.

Under the present constrict of the marketing order and the OSF, the impact on pricing and grower diversion activities has not been very pronounced. Under the proposed amendment of the order, this influence will increase. Should the growers engage increased in-orchard diversions, they could influence the supply of available cherries and thereby increase returns they receive. This is a principal goal of the marketing order and it
should be encouraged.
Grower returns under the marketing order, while grower returns under the marketing order are not a direct issue for this amendment, this topic is always of great interest to the industry and to the USDA in assessing the benefits of the marketing order. Reviewing information reported by NASS, USDA and its non citrus fruit and nut publications, it can be demonstrated that the marketing order has, in fact, accomplished the stated purpose of increasing grower returns.

In discussing grower returns, there is a tendency to speak only in terms of the grower price per pound for their cherries, and that's a constant issue that comes up for the grower community. Grower pricing has been stabilized under the marketing order, and the degree of the inverse relationship between the crop size and the grower price has, in fact, been lessened. The downward trend in pricing experience from '82 to '95 has been stopped,
reversed and stabilized. Again, referring to Exhibit C, these aspects are very important in valuable outcomes of the order.

While price per pound is
important, it may be more demonstrative to look at the farm gate value as a measure of success of the marketing order. Now I had not seen Jim's presentation before this, so it may be a bit duplicative of his. The farm gate value calculates the industry's total return by multiplying the reported grower price by the pounds produced. Using this calculation, the following results are seen. And table 11 goes through that.

And then visually if we can look at some of the graphs from my, I believe it's Exhibit D.

JUDGE CLIFTON: Yes.
THE WITNESS: You'll see that during the CIAB, which goes from 1997 through 2010 on page one, this is off of the materials that Mr. McFetridge had put into the record,
that the average farm gate value under the marketing order is 57,214, one, two three, four of the chart on page one. Prior to that, from '82 to '96 it was $\$ 46,699$, a change of over $\$ 10,500$ and an increase of 22 « percent.

I then wrote down the periods of the marketing order, or the period from ' 82 to '86, '87 to '91, '92 to '96, '97 to 2001, 2002 to '06 and '07 to 2010, showing the average production, or average value during those periods. And on the bottom right of table 11, talks about from '82 down to '96, we saw declining farm gate value, since then we've seen increasing average farm gate values.

Farm gate value for the tart cherry industry has climbed steadily under the umbrella of the marketing order. During this period, as I said, the decline has increased. If we can look at -- the farm gate value is on the graph, page two of four, and then on page three of four I did the five year average, rolling average prices for farm gate value,
and it shows a fairly steady increase in return from a farm gate perspective.

The proposed amendment for the bottom line credits will improve the grower return, even more it will further restrain the tendency for grower prices to trend inversely to the crop size, it will alter the supply/demand relationship of tart cherries in the marketplace, and will lead to stronger farm gate values for the growers.

In conclusion, the amendment of the marketing order to allow for bottom line grower in-orchard diversion credits, will improve the functioning of the marketing order, will enhance the likelihood of inorchard diversion in those years when it is most appropriate to do so, will place growers in a more equal footing to handlers in dealing with restrictions on the crop, and will improve returns to growers.

It will not adversely affect the
tart cherry industry in any known or
demonstrable manner. It is supported by the vast majority of the tart cherry industry. And adding to that, mentioning that this was, in fact, a virtually unanimous motion by the board, is an unusual fact as Ken can attest. We did have one abstention, but otherwise it was a unanimous approval by the CIAB. The proposal should therefore be implemented as requested by the industry.

Now, you can all wake up.
JUDGE CLIFTON: I don't think we can sleep, we had to keep up. Who would like to take a stretch break before we have questions of the witness?

MR. HILL: I will point out it's 4:00 o'clock right now. We have to be out of here --

JUDGE CLIFTON: It will be a long stretch break, it'll be overnight. Okay. I think we should start to pack up. MR. HILL: Okay. JUDGE CLIFTON: All right. So
you'll still be on the stand first thing in the morning.

MR. HEDIN: We have some others coming in the morning who would like again, to get off as quickly as possible. Can I fill in after they've --

JUDGE CLIFTON: Certainly.
MR. HEDIN: Thank you.
JUDGE CLIFTON: I presume you all
would like to begin at 9:00 in the morning? Yes, Mr. Engeler?

MR. ENGELER: Would it be an option to maybe start a little earlier? I know the notice didn't allow for that, or didn't --

JUDGE CLIFTON: Well, what time will your witnesses be here? The ones that you want to --

MR. HEDIN: Well I can be here at any time and can continue. I talked to Eric and his boss is maybe going to come down early. I'll put in a few phone calls and see
if we can get them down earlier. JUDGE CLIFTON: Okay. MR. HEDIN: So I don't think that's --

JUDGE CLIFTON: When can we get access to the courtroom?

COURT REPORTER: Are we off the record, your Honor?

JUDGE CLIFTON: No, let's stay on the record while we figure this out. This is important.

MR. ENGELER: Would 8:00 o'clock be acceptable for everybody?

JUDGE CLIFTON: Works for me. Can
everybody do that? All right. We conclude for the day at 4:02 and I will see you here at 8:00 a.m. ready to go.
(Whereupon, at 4:02 p.m., the
hearing was adjourned is scheduled to resume at 8:00 a.m. on April 21, 2011.)
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