

Re: Hearing on proposed amendments to Arizona-Las Vegas
Marketing Order 131; Docket No. AO-271-A37

Official Notice Is Requested Of The Following Documents

1. Decision on proposed amendments, Milk in the New York-New Jersey marketing Area; Docket No. AO -71-A34, August 4, 1958 (-F.R. – August 8, 1958).
2. Early Development of Milk Marketing Plans in the Kansas City, MO. Marketing area, Marketing Research Report No. 14, USDA Production and Marketing Adm, Dairy Branch, May 1952 (producer-handler exemption limited to 500 pounds per day) p. 39
3. Decision on proposed amendments, Milk in the Puget Sound, Washington Marketing Area; Docket No. AO-226-A11, May 10, 1966
4. Decision on proposed amendments, Milk in the Puget Sound, Washington Marketing Area; Docket No. AO 226-A-14, July 20, 1967, (32 F.R. 10742, 10746).
5. Report to the Secretary of Agriculture by the Federal Order Study Committee (1967), p. 57.
6. In re Independent Milk Producer-Distributor Assoc., AMA Docket No. 25-4, Jan. 4, 1961.
7. In re Jacob Tanis, 17 A.D> 1091, 1093-94 (Nov.10, 1958).
8. In re Associated Milk Producers, Inc., 33A.D. 976, 992 (Aug. 14, 1974).
9. In re John Bertovich et.al., 36 A.D. 133, 141 (Feb. 16, 1977).
10. In re Stew Leanard, 59 A.D. 53, 71 (March 16, 2000).
11. In re Milk-Key Farm, Inc., 54 A.D.26 (1995).
12. Acme Breweries v. Brannen 109 F. Supp. 116 (N.D. Cal. 1952).
13. Ideal Farms v. Benson, 288 F. 2d 608 (3rd Cir. 1961).
14. Freeman v. Vance, 319 F. 2d841 (5th Cir. 1963).